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Abstract: Development of an engineering software that is very suitable for project planning was done and tested with GAP international limited. The work involved a project planning tool that can maintain multiple projects and can be used by anyone (such as small independent firms, public municipalities, and government organizations) for their engineering projects. The research objective was to provide a suitable engineering project planning software that is cheap to afford, easy to use as well as being powerful enough to plan long-term projects and flexible enough to adapt to changes, constraints and clients needs. The critical path method (CPM) was employed in achieving it. This paper also looked at the use of powerful planning tools like Gantt charts and task lists to schedule engineering projects, assign tasks and make milestones to stay motivated in order to achieve meeting-up with project completion date. The developed software is used for determining critical paths, shortest project duration, and project completion date. When compared with some available planning software, it showed that the results on the critical paths were the same but the developed software from this work was more user friendly (much easier to use) thus, does not require high level of expertise to use. Having completed the analyses and given the importance of each criterion (robustness of software, ease of application, time, computational cost and reliability and accuracy of results) the paper therefore recommends that the developed engineering planning software is a better planning model for the case study in particular, and for engineering projects in general, thereby enhancing grassroots reduction of scarce resources and increase productivity in the industry.
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I. Introduction

One of the innovative project planning software available to engineering firms is the Microsoft project (MPS) and it makes use of the Gantt chart (a horizontal bar chart developed as a construction control tool in 1917 by Henry L. Grantt, an American engineer and social scientist (Grant and Taylor 1917). Others includes; Primavera and the PERT chart (a project evaluation and review technique otherwise known as the program evaluation and review technique developed in 1958 by Booz Allen Hamilton) (Platie and Gayer, 1994). Both the Gantt charts and PERT chart have the simple versions created on graph paper and the more complex automated version created using project management applications such as Microsoft project or excel (Scacchi, 1984).

The most common mathematical programming approaches are linear programming (LP) models, of which a wide variety exists (Kolisch and Draxel, 1996). In most of these models, capacity is modeled as a fixed upper bound on the total amount of a resource that can be consumed in a time period (Hans and Royld, 2002). The classic algorithms also called exact algorithms are groups of algorithms that separates the environment into different sections. These are enhanced version of the preliminary motion planning algorithms bug, potential field (Mac et al., 2016). Mathematical programming and the geometry-based algorithm are widely used for this kind of research work (Ge and Cui, 2000; DeBoer, 1998). A vast amount of literature has been dedicated to project scheduling and includes: Demeulemeester and Herroelen, 1992; Ozdamar and Ulusoy, 1995; Kolisch and Drexel, 1996; Elijah and Etebu, 2019). To develop a Linear Programming model with both work in progress (WIP) and finished goods inventories that were modeled distinctly, under most reasonable assumptions on inventory holding costs (specifically, that inventory holding costs are non-decreasing in the amount of processing that has been completed on the project) (Mendes et al, 2009).

De Boer and Schutten (1999) propose several heuristic algorithms for RCCP problem in which they try to minimize the total number of hours of non-regular capacity that is used, that is $C = 1$ ( $\forall K, t$) and conducted similar studies for the multi-project-scheduling situation, and their conclusion was that the shortest activity for shortest project (SASP) and maximum total work contents (MAXTWK) were found to be superior to the other scheduling rules; Some early pioneers include Sir James Watt, who built the first steam engine and Charles Babbage (from the United states of America) one of those who developed the modern day computers. Mohring,
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(1984) utilized linear programming in his model formulation. He commented that while there is no difficulty in problem formulation, there are a large number of variables and constrains even for small-sized problems and as such, the model has very little practical application. He concluded that in order to be certain of achieving the ‘best’ solution, it might be necessary to try different heuristics and to select the best, which may give the optimal or near-optimal result.

Akpan and Chinzea, (2000); De Boer and Schutten (1999) proposed on problem (P) that one or more precedence relations are violated in intervals \((r_i, d_i)\) and \((r_j, d_j)\) overlap and the precedence relation between job \(J_i\) and job \(J_j\) is “repaired” by specifying a week \(T_{ij}(r_i + p_i \leq T_{ij} \leq d_i - p_i + 1)\) before which job \(J_i\) must be finished and before which job \(J_j\) cannot start; De Boer and Schutten (1999) suggest a number of heuristics based on problem (P), that is, based on problem (Ps) with set \(S\) such that \(S_j = r_i\) and \(C_j = d_i\) (Hans and Rroyl, 2002), and also consider the so-called resource loading problem.

The resource loading problem can be seen as an RCCP problem with simple precedence constraints, where the project network is a chain. They present a hybrid model of the resource-driven and the capacity-driven variants; they extended the precedence relations as in our RCCP problem description (Hans and Rroyld, 2002). Kolisch and Kelly (1995) were more interested in those heuristics that give the shortest project duration.

However, the above planning software requires a high level of training, and cannot be used by everyone. It is for this setback that the intent of this paper is to develop an engineering project planning software (a computer program with a user friendly interface) in engineering projects scheduling used for determining critical paths, shortest project duration, and project completion date in engineering projects. To achieve this objective, a simplified project capacity planning process that encourages the engineer in the use of computational approach to engineering project plan is employed (Maevis, 1980; De Waar, 1999; Davis, 1975).

II. Material and Methods

2.1 Materials

Study Location

GAP international limited located in Delta State, Nigeria was used as the case study in this research work. It was contracted by an oil and gas company to design, construct and install a fire protection system along side with the piping facilities that carry its petroleum products at some point in the facility and at some other point within the same facility was specifically based on reconstruction of the existing terminal’s fire protection system, changing the pipe from epoxy coated carbon steel to heavy wall carbon steel.

Data Collection

Project planning in the case study was investigated through the civil engineering. Project executed are broken down into activities prior to execution. Data for the project of reconstructing the terminal’s fire protection system was collected from the department of civil engineering and project planning unit of GAP international limited and tabulated as shown in Table 1.

### Table 1  Showing activities and resource data for the case study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
<th>Duration (weeks)</th>
<th>No. of shifts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Design</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarification &amp; draining pipelines</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting out</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracking of road surface &amp; concrete walls</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laying of pipeline</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casting and laying of Kreb &amp; slabs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation and coating of pipelines</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging line with foam seal chemical &amp; Testing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean up and Demobilization</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Methods

Data Analysis

The project is broken into a number of rather large work packages, called job. The network diagram analysis is used to establish the critical path, which is the optimum solution. The models for the earliest finish time, latest finish time, total float time and others are developed as shown below.

\[
C_j = \min (d_j, \min k_{ij}; - J_k r_k - 1) \quad \text{Equation no. (1)}
\]
To determine earliest finish time:
EFT = EST + Duration

To determine late start time:
LST = LFT – Duration

To determine total float time:
TF = LET – EST – Duration

Determination of the critical path or activities
TF = LFT – EST – Duration = 0

Determination of the critical cost
Sum up the duration of the activities on the critical path. Time is money (resource) as such referred to as cost.

Other important formulae or Equations are follows:

(P): \( \min \sum_{j=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} C_{kj} U_{kt} \)

Subject to
\( \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{ji} = 1 \) \( \forall j \),

\( x_{ji} \leq \frac{1}{p_j} \) \( \forall j, t \),

\( U_{kt} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{d} q_{jk} x_{ji} - Q_{kt} \) \( \forall k, t \),

\( x_{ji}, U_{kt} \geq 0 \) \( \forall j, k, t \),

\( x_{ji} \leq \frac{s_{ji}}{p_j} \) \( \forall j, t \),

Where: parameter \( s_{ji} \) indicates whether processing of job \( J_j \) is allowed in week \( t \):

\( s_{ji} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_j \leq t \leq C_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \)

\( \sum_{t=r_j}^{t-1} \chi_{it} \geq y_{ji} \) \( \forall (i, j) \in \rho, \ r_j \leq t \leq d_i \),

\( \sum_{t=r_j}^{t} \chi_{jt} \leq y_{ji} \) \( \forall j, t \),

\( y_{ji} \in \{0, 1\} \).

Design the Software
For the purpose of clarity, some of the codes used in designing the software are shown below:
Public Class Form3
    Dim act, desc As String
    Dim dur, start, en As Integer
    Dim ES, LS, EF, LF, TTF As Integer
    Private Sub btnLoadInput_Click(ByVal sender As System.Object, ByVal e As System.EventArgs) Handles btnLoadInput.Click
act = Me.txtActivities.Text.ToString()
desc = Me.txtDescription.Text.ToString()
start = Val(Me.txtStartingPoint.Text.ToString())
en = Val(Me.txtEndpoint.Text.ToString())
dur = Val(Me.txtDuration.Text.ToString())
Me.DataGridView1.Rows.Add(act, desc, dur, start, en)
Me.txtActivities.Text = ""
Me.txtDescription.Text = ""
Me.txtDuration.Text = ""
Me.txtEndpoint.Text = ""
Me.txtStartingPoint.Text = ""
Me.txtActivities.Focus()
End Sub

Private Sub btnCompute_Click(ByVal sender As System.Object, ByVal e As System.EventArgs) Handles btnCompute.Click
    Dim I, J, K, N, MIX As Integer
    N = Me.DataGridView1.Rows.Count - 1
    K = 0
    Do
        For I = 0 To N
            J = Me.DataGridView1.Rows(K).Cells(4).Value
            If Me.DataGridView1.Rows(I).Cells(3).Value = 1 Then
                Me.DataGridView1.Rows(I).Cells(5).Value = 0
                Me.DataGridView1.Rows(K).Cells(2).Value
                Me.DataGridView1.Rows(I).Cells(2).Value
            ElseIf I <> J And J = Me.DataGridView1.Rows(I).Cells(4).Value Then
                MIX = Math.Max(Me.DataGridView1.Rows(K).Cells(6).Value,
                Me.DataGridView1.Rows(I).Cells(2).Value
            End If
        Next
        K = K + 1
    Loop Until K = N
    End Sub
2.3 Flow Chart

![Flow chart image]

**Figure 1:** Flow chart used in designing the software.

2.4 Algorithm

An algorithm is a special case of an iterative method, which generally need not converge in a finite number of steps. Instead, an iterative method produces a sequence of iterates from which some subsequence converges to a solution. An algorithm terminates in a finite number of steps with a solution. In using algorithm to solve planning problems, three (3) basic ingredients are considered: objective function which is required to be either minimized or maximized; set of factors of variables which affects the value of the objective function; and set of constraints that allow the variables to take on certain values but exclude others.

III. Results and Discussion

3.1 Results

The network diagram for the case study is shown below.
A snap-shot of the welcome page of the software is shown in figure 3.
At the bottom right hand corner is located the start command button, when clicked, the windows views pops up as shown in the figure (3, 4, & 5) below. The bottom left hand corner of the welcome page has the exit button, and when clicked, it exits the program.

Figure 2: Network diagram for the case study project.

Figure 3: A snap-shot of the software welcome page.
Figure 4: A display of the analysis window for input parameter

Figure 5: A display of the analysis window for the critical path before the input parameters are transferred into it.

Figure 6: A display of the analysis window for output parameters.
Once the load input button is clicked, it transfers the input parameters to the designed table waiting for computation of the early times, latest times, and float (which determine critical activities and hence critical path). The table of data from the information (input parameters) is entered and computed as shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 7: The display computed values of total float and critical path for different activities.](image)

Table 2: Computer output of schedule data and the critical path for the fire protection system renewal project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Duration (Weeks)</th>
<th>Starting point</th>
<th>Ending point</th>
<th>Earliest start time</th>
<th>Earliest finish time</th>
<th>Latest start time</th>
<th>Latest finish time</th>
<th>Total float</th>
<th>Critical path</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(3-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(5-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(7-8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Discussion

In the design, construction and installation of the fire protection system as well as the renewal project carried out by the case study, the first activity is the mobilization of all the necessary resource needed for the
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project. The resources include materials (such as pipes, new flanges, values, red oxide coating, and so on), machinery (such as trolleys, pumps, vice, and so on), and man (expatriate, quantity survey, fitters, and so on).

Based on Table 2, the critical path for the case study used in this research work is (1-3), (3-5), (5-7), (7-8), or (B-E), (E-F), (F-3).

The developed software is used to automatically compute early times, latest times, total float, and critical activities, requiring as input the activities, duration (their performance time requirement), and the precedence relationships established (i.e starting and ending nodes). The software’s output are the duration for the whole project (which is the possible shortest completion time), and the end date of the project.

3.2.1 Study of Sensitivity Analysis

The project duration was 28 weeks using the developed software and it corresponded to the result obtained when solved manually. The calculation of total float which determine the critical paths, are based on the two extremes of the earliest and latest starting times. Actually, there are over five (5) different paths from start to finish through the network, but the shortest path is that of 1 – 3 – 5 – 7 – 8. This route gives the best option to the completion of the fire protection system renewal project. The total cost of this path is 28weeks. For projects networks with multiple paths (such as this case study), one could enumerate all the alternative paths/routes to find the longest path, but it has no relevance and there is no benefit in doing so. This is because clients normally subscribe to the shortest delivery date as long as the quality of the completed project is not compromised.

3.2.2 Advantage of the Developed Software over Existing one used by the Case Study

The project planning unit of GAP international limited uses a specific software as a tool in managing their project. This software determines the critical path/activities of any project when the activities start nodes, end nodes, and duration are inputted. Figure 7 shows a display of the computed values the critical path for the project activities using the developed engineering project planning software. The result was the same with what was obtained using the existing planning software of the case study. However, using the existing planning software requires a high level of expertise and therefore requires the training of personnel that needs it for planning and control/monitoring. For some oil and gas multinationals, training before now, requires sending personnel abroad and of course, it involves a lot of money (transportation, lodging, feeding, and cost of training). Nowadays, training is relatively cheaper due to competition (as some cost about $555 per personnel) as it is now done in Nigeria.

This cost of training personnel can be saved by employing the software developed from this research work. Aside other staff in the planning unit, the case study has five (5) personnel’s working directly with the existing planning software. So, if the existing planning software is replaced with the developed one in this work, it will help to save as much as (5 x $555) spent on training alone. Also, the cost of purchasing most of the licensed project planning software (like the ones used in this research) are very high when compared with the developed engineering project planning software.

IV. Conclusion

Development of an engineering software that is very suitable for project planning was done and tested with GAP international limited. Most of the commercial planning software available are incorporated with the different models which the mathematical principles and basic assumptions associated with them are not clearly known. Hence, they make the study of such phenomenon not to be precise and reliable. Therefore, the developed engineering planning software employed the critical path method (CPM) in its design. The work critically evaluated and compared the developed software with some available ones against a set of five broad criteria which include robustness, reliability and accuracy of results, time, ease of application and cost. Having completed the analyses, the findings therefore provide better insight into advantages of the developed engineering planning software.

The successful completion of any project is a function of appropriate firms to perform key project activities (critical activities), clarity of project assignment. Making provision for networks communication system within the project, making use of performance milestone and giving adequate financial incentives for all groups involved all leads to a successful completion of projects on time. If time is spent up front planning the project (in all ramifications) and subsequently working the plan through proper execution and control as can be achieved based on the performance of a planning software is it obvious that project are likely to be completed within budget, specification and on schedule. A project would therefore run accordingly if and only if these principles and techniques are applied as and at when due by the project manager through a team while making sure that the owners specification are always met.
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Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EST</td>
<td>Earliest Start time</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Earliest Finish time</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST</td>
<td>Earliest Start time</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFT</td>
<td>Latest Finish time</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>Total Float</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>Free Float</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jn</td>
<td>Set of n-Jobs</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rk</td>
<td>Plans on k-resources</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Time Horizon</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qkt</td>
<td>Capacity of Resources in week, t</td>
<td>tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xj,t</td>
<td>Fraction of job J, performed on Resource, R, in week, t</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/Pj</td>
<td>Maximum fraction done per week</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cj,k</td>
<td>Cost of U hours of non-regular capacity of resources, R, in week, t</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Set allow to work (ATW) window</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Activities</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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