# Effect of Health Educational Program About Constipation On Elderly Quality of Life

# Hanaa Kassem Farg<sup>1</sup>, Mervat Elshahat Ibrahim<sup>2</sup>, ElsaidaGamal Ali Bagdady<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>lecturerof Family and Community HealthNursing, Faculty of Nursing, Suez Canal University <sup>2</sup>lecturerof Family and Community HealthNursing, Faculty of Nursing, Suez Canal University <sup>3</sup>lecturerof Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Port Said University

# Abstract

**Background**: Constipation is a common intestinal complaint among elderly andhas a significant effecton their quality of life (OOL). Aim: This study aimed to assess the effect of health educational program about constipation on elderlyOOL.Design: A quasi-experimental design was used with a pre-postevaluation in carrying out the study. Setting: the study carried out at Geriatric Social Club at Ismailia city and chronic diseases clinic in Suez Canal University Hospitals. Sample: A convenience sample of elderly people (male and females) who are selfindependent. Tools of data collection: three tools used, tool I: Structuredinterview consisted of demographic data and self-care managements of constipations. Tool II: World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire- Brief (WHOQOL-BREF), Both tools were used for evaluation, pre and post intervention. Tool III: Educational program on constipation. Results: Pre-test reveals that a very low percentage of the elderly hada higherQOL in all areasof QOL compared to the same elderly on post-test. Also, atpost-intervention phase, most of the studied elderly moved to the appropriate methodsto overcome constipation related problems such as follows a planned diet, increasing fluid intake and (walking). Whilealmost all of them prevented the useof lots laxative and enemas. Also, itindicates that, the differences between pre and post the intervention program tests were of highly statistical significance (p<0.001). There was significant improvement of elderly QOL. Conclusion: The health education program implemented on constipation had a significant impact on elderly's QOL and their practices regarding the constipation problem. Recommendation: Repetition similar studied with different places, ethnic backgrounds and population to generalize results and effects.

**Key words**: Elderly; Constipation; quality of life.

Date of Submission: 29-01-2020 Date of Acceptance: 14-02-2020

·

# I. Introduction

Constipation is a common gastrointestinal complaints and challenge among elderly people<sup>1,2</sup>. Chronicconstipation is described as defecation less than three times per week with tough lumpy stool and difficult stool passage (incomplete evacuation and or need Strain) for more than 6 months. It affects 33.5% of the elderly aged 60 - 101 years<sup>3,4</sup>.

Causes of constipation among the elderlyare linked to several factors, including slow or lack of normal bowel movements due to immobility, sedentary lifestyle, low fiber dietary intake, insufficient fluid intake, ignoring the urge to defecate, lack of regular exercise, stress and overuse of laxatives<sup>5,6,7</sup>

The constipated elderly may experience unpleasant symptoms such as distention, abdominal pain /discomfort, straining, lumpy, hard stools, feeling of incomplete and infrequent evacuation of defecation (less than three excrement per week) <sup>7,8,9</sup>. These symptoms have worked impact on the elderly 'quality of life. Some studies have found that people with constipation usually a have poor quality of life <sup>4,10</sup>.

Constipation management and care depend on preventing constipation formation and providing comfort when constipation occurs by support functions and reducing the severity of symptoms<sup>1,11,12</sup>. This is achieved through planned health education which aims to the elderly with suitable information and alternative to prevent and avoid factors causing constipation, and the appropriate ways to deal with them, and thus improving the quality of life<sup>7,8,13</sup>.

The education which provided related to constipation contains lifestyle modifications (such as, healthy nutrition, daily fluid intake, regular physical activity, good toilet habits and regular health monitoring), mitigating risk factors and providing a controlled laxative treatment <sup>14,15</sup>.

Nurses and health care providers play an important role in preventing and managing constipation by giving lifestyle advice for people /elderly with constipation, information on eating rich fiber, adequate drinking fluids, and exercising regularly. Education provided by nurses or other health team, must provide individuals with the necessary tools to reduce the severity of constipation and increase the quality of their lives<sup>7</sup>.

DOI: 10.9790/1959-0901115765 www.iosrjournals.org 57 | Page

# Study aim:

This study aimed to assess the effect of health educational program about constipation on elderly quality of life.

# **Research Hypothesis**

The health education program about constipation will improve the QOL ofelderly people who have chronic constipation.

# **II. Subjects And Methods**

# StudyDesign:

A quasi-experimental design was used with a pre-post evaluation through carrying out the study.

# **Study setting:**

The study carried out at Geriatric Social Club at Ismailia city and chronic diseases clinicin Suez Canal University Hospitals

# **Target population**

A convenience sample of elderly people(male and females) according the following criteria:

- *Inclusion criter*ia:
- 60 years and above
- Self-independentelderly
- Elderly diagnosed with chronic constipationat least 6 months
- Exclusion criteria:
- Mental confusion or other psychiatric problems
- Abdominal surgeries
- Constipation due to metabolic disorders, organic diseases and drugs

#### Sample size:

The following equation was used to estimate the sample size:

$$n = \frac{N}{(N^x - 1) E^2 + X}$$

# Where:

n= sample size

E = margin of error can accept = 10 %

X= confidence level = 95%

 $N^x = N (P/100) = 12708$  elder

P = Prevalence of constipation among elder = 33.5% (Mugie et al., 2011)<sup>3</sup>

N= Total population (elder Ismailia) = 37933 (Egyptian population survey, 2107)<sup>16</sup>.

\*\* $Sample\ Size\ (n) = 57$  older people 60 years and more were included in the study. The researcher added 3 elders to the sample size to facilitate the statistical analysis.

# Tools of data collection:

Three tools were used to collect the necessary data:

#### Tool 1

An interview questionnairewas developed by the researchers based on a literature review. It consists of two parts: *First part*:

- Socio- demographic data of the studied elderly (gende, age,education, marital status, occupation,type of family and residence:
- Medical &Surgical history and Smoking habits

#### Second part:

- Self-care managements of constipations, practice of exercise, dietary habits, fluid intake and using enemas.

#### Tool 2

World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire- Brief (WHOQOL- Brief)<sup>17</sup>: This questionnaire is a self-assessment scale, consisted of 26 items: (2 items)askingabout elder's satisfaction about his quality of life and general health, (7, 6 and 8) items for domains of physical, psychological healthand environmental

healthrespectively and 3 items for socialrelationshipsdomain. It was adopted by **Abdel Hai et al.** <sup>18</sup> whoever has translated into Arabic and written consent for use it. The whole group of questionshas been related to the past two weeks.

The study tools were used two times pre and post programto assess the effect of health educational program about constipation on elderly quality of life.

# Tool 3

# **Educational program on constipation**

It was developed by the researcher to provide the studied elderly with essential information about constipation and different way to prevent, control symptoms, and self-care management, as diet, practicing exercises, daily walking, increase fluid intake, and prevent a lot of laxatives.

# **Scoring system:**

**For Self-care managements** items. Score 1 was given to yes response and zero for no. For every part, the scores of theitems were summed up and the total divided by the number of the items, giving a mean score for this part. Then the scores were converted into a percent score.

For WHOQOL-BREF, questionnaire uses a Likert scale form five points starting from never (1) to always (5). Domain scores were scaled in a positive direction. For each domain, the mean score was calculated by dividing thesum of item scoresby their number. Theses scores were transformed to a percent score. Higher scores signify higher in quality of life. The elder quality of life was considered low if percent scores were <60%, moderate if percent scores were  $\ge 60$ , and relatively high if percent scores were  $\ge 80\%$ . The Cronbach alpha coefficient for WHOQOL-BREF was 0.788.

# Pilot study

The pilot study was conducted on 10% of the elderly sample to test the clarity, reliability and suitability of the tools. The sample of the pilot studywas excluded from the study sample.

# **Ethical consideration**

Oral consent was obtained from every elderly before the start of the educational interventionafter explanation of the purpose and benefits of the study. They were reassuredthat all gathered data collected would be clandestine and used only for research purposes. The researcherinformed them that, they are entitled to leave the study at any time.

#### Field work

- Data were collected: once before starting the health education program (pre -test) and the second time two weeks after the program (post test)
- The data collection forms were applied using the interview with the elderlyand all his/her answers were recorded nearby them. It took about 15-20 minutes to collect the data
- Aftercompleting the questionnaires, the educational **program** on constipation was given by the researcher.
- After the sessions were completed, aConstipation Education Booklet was given to everyelder in the study.

# **Education program**

- Constipation Education Booklet was prepared by the researchers based on available research, literature review and the assessment of the actual educational needs of the elderly who were studied. It was written in simple Arabic and covered information related to self-care management of constipation
- Theprogramcontents were organized by choosing theappropriate teaching methods and media.
- The educational program on constipation was carried out in a room located in the outpatient clinics at the university hospital and geriatric club.
- The program took approximately 60–90 minutes to carry out the education. It was divided into 3 sessions, lasting 20- 30 minutes for each.
- The education was taught individually and interactively to each elderly, using avisual representation.
- The "Constipation Education Booklet" was used during educational sessions to strength the education.
- The education booklet consisted of two parts. First part; contained the definition, risk factors, complications of constipation, some recommendations for preventing constipation, treating constipation, and the importance of self-care in constipation.
- Second part; contained information on a healthy, balanced diet and types of nutrition, sufficient of fluid intake, abdominal massage, exercise benefits, selection of appropriate exercise, and toilet training.

- All questions posed by the studied elderly were answered by the researcher.
- The same tools used in pre-test wereused in post-test to evaluate the effectiveness of the educational program in improving quality of life among studies elderly. The study took period time about 6 months from (Mach to August 2019)

# Data analysis

Data analysis was done using the SPSS version 20.0.Data were presented using descriptive statistics in the form of frequencies and percentages for qualitative variables and means and standard deviations for quantitative variables. The Cronbach alpha coefficient was calculated to assess the reliability of the developed tools through their internal consistency. Qualitative variables were compared using chi-square test, Pearson coefficient and Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (r) were used for assessment of the interrelation among quantitative variables and ranked ones. Statistical significance was considered at p-value <0.05

#### III. Results

**Table 1:revealed that,** the age of studied elderly ranged from 60 to 90 years with mean age of  $65.9 \pm 6.8$  years, more than half (56.7%) were male, 40% Read and write, 48.3% were married, 46.7% were Retire/ non-working, three fourth (73.3%) were living in extended families and 53.3% live in rural areas.

**Table 2**: illustrates that two third of the study sample (65. %) had hypertension, while (31.7 %) of them suffered from diabetes mellitus, gastrointestinalsymptoms represented in (10%) of them

**Table 3: shows that**, 70% of study, elderlyreported thatthey took medication (laxatives) to overcome their constipation, while the less common methods include exercising (walking) and using an enema problem in the pretest.

At post-test, most of the studied elderly switched to appropriate methods such as follows a planned diet, increasing fluid intake and exercise (walking) to overcome constipation and its problems, while almost all of studied elder prevented using alot of laxatives, and enema. All of these changes are statistically significant (p<0.001)

**Table (4):** reveals that; in the pre-test, the very low percentage of elderly had higher quality of life in all areas of quality of life compared to the same post-test elderly. It also shows that, there were differences between pre and post-intervention and this difference was statistically significant (p<0.001).

**Table (5):**represents that; were differences between studied elderly self-care management and their OOL were statistically significant

Table (6):displaysthat, there were statistically significant relationships between elderly QOL and their education and residence inpost test

# **IV. Discussion**

Constipation is a common bowel symptom that is frequently reported in the elderly and has a major impact on quality of life and overall health<sup>19</sup>. This study was conducted to assessthe effect of educational program about constipation on elderly quality of life.

The present study revealed that, almost half of studied elderly were in the retirement and this phase is accompanied with poor health outcome, presence of chronic diseases and lack of activity. While two third and 31.7% of studied elderlyhad hypertension and diabetes militias respectively, this could be explained by the fact that elderly people with diabetes and hypertension who adherent to medications e.g. Anticholinergic drugs - opiates- Calcium-channel blockers - antipsychotics can have chronic constipation. Also, smooth muscle dysfunction, autonomic neuropathy and Neuro-endocrine disorders may also lead to thedevelopment of chronic constipation. Anorectal dysfunction, either due to sensory or motor distortions, should be considered, in client with diabetes militias 5,18,20

The study revealed that 70% of studied elderly were used laxatives before educational program which decreased to 40% after implementing an educational program this was agreed with **De Giorgio et al.** who mentioned that 85% of patients with constipation who need medical managementare already using laxatives, in the United States about 82 million dollars are spent on over-the-counter laxatives every year <sup>21,22</sup>.

In addition, there are many different types of laxativeavailable, whichranging from syrups, tablets, powders, fruit pastes to local lotions that are inserted directly into the back passage (enema or suppository). The three main types of laxatives (Cathartics); are bulk producing agents, irritants, lubricants, saline cathartics and osmotic factors. While **Chernich**<sup>23</sup> mentions that the study contained 60 individuals used other therapies: as massage, reflexology and aromatherapyto improve constipation symptoms and abdominal pain. The study also shows that there was statistically significant improvement regarding all aspects of constipation, self-care management, pre and post educational programThis finding was in accordance with**Nour-Eldein et al.**<sup>12</sup> and **Oztrur and** 

**Kikic**<sup>7</sup>who statedthat education on lifestyle modifications lead to an improvement in the severity of symptoms of constipation and quality of life for theelderly. Therefore, teaching the importance of a healthy and balanced diet, adequate fluid intake, regular bowel habits, the best position to defecate, the benefit of exercise, choosing the right exercise and indicators of laxatives are highly important.

In the pre-test, very low percentage of studied elderly had higher quality of life in all areas of quality of life, that was similar to (**Ruiz-Leopez and Adame**, (2015)<sup>24</sup> in his studyQuality of life in patients with different sub-types of constipation based on the Rome III criteria. Who proved that functional constipation and irritable bowel syndrome with constipation are very prevalent and affect the quality of life of those who suffer from them; both the social and working life of patients who affected.

Hinkle and Cheever<sup>25</sup>; De Giorgio et al.<sup>19</sup>; Jiang et al.<sup>26</sup>in their study, when responding to a

Hinkle and Cheever<sup>25</sup>;De Giorgio et al.<sup>15</sup>; Jiang et al.<sup>26</sup>in their study, when responding to a questionnaire, the study sample had 8 areas about health status, including general health, vitality, social performance, emotional role (limitation of daily activities causing emotional problems) and mental health. The highest score was associated with normal and healthy status. Compared to those with slow transit constipation or restless bowel movements, those with greater psychological stress, and poor health-related quality of life. Also showed a higher prevalence of hostility, paranoid ideation, and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Moreover, anxiety disorders, depression, sleep disorders as well as somatization.

While**Belsey et al.** <sup>10</sup> reported in his study that poor quality of life forelderly suffer from constipation is close toother more serious conditions as chronic allergies, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and diabetes. Moreover, it was noted that the improvement in quality of life and mood improvement with effective treatment of constipation.

# V. Conclusions

Based on the results of this study it can conclude that, the health educational programgiven to the elderly improves their practices with regard to self-care management about constipation and improve their QOL

#### VI. Recommendations

- 1- Education about side effect laxatives, must be directed to the elderly who exaggerates in use it.
- 2- Lifestyle modification is an issue of a global concern and is recommended to prevent and manage chronic constipation among the elderly
- 3- Giving much concern to prevent the risk factors of chronic constipation in the elder population, especially those with chronic disease as diabetes mellitus and high blood pressure.
- 4- Repetition similar studied with different places, ethnic backgrounds and population to generalize results and effects.

# Referances

- [1]. Schuster B. G.; Kosar L.; Kamrul R., (2015): Constipation in older adults -Stepwise approach to keep things moving. Canadian Family Physician Le Médecin de famille canadien | Vol 61: february février 2015
- [2]. **IgorD.;Terri N.; Mladen J.; Milica S. L.; Tomica M., T. M., (2019):** Gastrointestinal Tract Disorders in Older Age. Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Volume 2019, Article ID 6757524, 19 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6757524
- [3]. **Mugie SM; Benninga MA; Di Lorenzo C., (2011):** Epidemiology of constipation in children and adults: a systematic review. Best Pract ResClin Gastroenterol 2011;25:3–18. doi:10.1016/j.bpg.2010.12.010
- [4]. Munch L.; Tvistholm N.; Trosborg T.; Konradsen H., (2016): Living with constipation-older people's experiences and strategies with constipation before and during hospitalization. International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Wellbeing, 29 March 2016; Published: 26 April 2016)
- [5]. **Prasad V. G. M.; Abraham P., (2017):** Management of chronic constipation in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Indian Journal of Gastroenterology*, 36(1), 11-22
- [6]. Bharucha AE.; Pemberton JH; Locke GR III, (2013): American Gastroenterological Association technical review on constipation. Gastroenterology. 2013;144(1):218-238
- [7]. Ozturk M.H.; Kılıc S. P., (2019): Effective of education on quality of life and constipation severity in patients with primary constipation. Patient Education and Counseling 102 (2019) 316–323
- [8]. Forootan M.; Bagheri N.; and Darvish M., (2018): Chronic constipation- A review of literature. Medicine (2018) 97:20(e10631)
- [9]. Yilmaz c. k.,; Asiret G.D., (2017):Identifying the Constipation Levels of Older People and their Interventionsfor Recovery .

  International Journal of Caring Sciences September-December 2017 Volume 10 | Issue 3 | Page 1605
- [10]. **BelseyJ.; Greenfield S.; Candy D.; Geraint M., (2010):**Systematic review: Impact of constipation on quality of life in adults and children. Alimentary Pharmacology Therapeutics, 31, 938\_949. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04273.
- [11]. Kyle G., (2008): A proactive approach to the treatment of constipation, Continence 2 (2008) 36–44.
- [12]. NourEldein H.; Salama H. M.; Abdulmajeed A. A.; Heissam K. S., (2014): The effect of lifestyle modification on severity of constipation and quality of life of elders in nursing homes at Ismailia city, Egypt. *Journal of family & community medicine*, 21(2), 100
- [13]. **AbdAllah E.S.; Ibrahim H. A.A.; Fahmy H. A., (2013):** Effect of nursing intervention on constipation among elderly in Zagazic city Sharkia governorate-Egypt. Journal of American Science, 2013; 9(3s).http://www.americanscience.org
- [14]. Touhy T.A.; Jett K.J., (2014): Ebersole and Hess "Gerontological Nursing & Healthy Aging 4th ed., Mosby, Elsevier Inc.

- [15]. Yiannakou Y. H.; Piessevaux M.; Buchoucha I.; Schiefke R. Filip L.; Gabalec et al., (2015): A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of prucalopride in men with chronic constipation, Am. J. Gastroenterol. 110 (2015) 741–748, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2015.115
- [16]. Egyptian population survey, (2017): Egyption Demography. https://egypt.opendataforafrica.org/tadpaqg
- [17]. World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire- Brief (WHOQOL-Brief), (2012): World Health Organization Quality-of-Life Scale.(WHOQOL-BREF): Analyses of Their Item Response. Theory Properties Based on the Graded Responses ModelWHOQOL-BREFhttps://www.who.int/mental\_health/media/en/76.pdf
- [18]. Abdel Hai R.; Abou Hashima F.; El-lawindi M.; Seoud I., (2004). Quality of life and health needs of children with some chronic diseases. Master Thesis Organization Studies, Cairo University.
- [19]. **De Giorgio R.; Ruggeri E.; Stanghellini V.; Eusebi L. H.; Bazzoli F.; Chiarioni, G., (2015)**: Chronic constipation in the elder: a primer for the gastroenterologist. *BMC gastroenterology*, 15(1), 130
- [20]. Nettina S. M., (2014): Cardiovascular function and therapy. In Lippincott Manual of Nursing Practice (pp. 324-379). Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA.
- [21]. **Rosdahl C. B.; Kowalski.M.T., (2017).** The Effects of applying Nursing Counseling Program on the Anxiety and Vital Signs of Patients undergoing Colonoscopy admitted in Imam Khomeini Hospital, Tehran. *Iranian Journal of Nursing Research*, 13(5), 78-85
- [22]. Digestive health organization Augusut, (2010): Information about constipation www.gesa.org.au. retrived 26-12-2019. 3. 36 pm
- [23]. **Cherniack E. P., (2013):** Use of complementary and alternative medicine to treat constipation in the elder. *Geriatrics & gerontology international*, 13(3), 533-538.
- [24]. Ruiz-López M. C.; Coss-Adame, E., (2015): Quality of life in patients with different constipation subtypes based on the Rome III criteria. Revista de Gastroenterología de México (English Edition), 80(1), 13-
- [25]. Hinkle J. L.; Cheever K. H., (2014): Brunner & Suddarth's textbook of medical-surgical nursing. China: 13. Press
- [26]. **Jiang Y.; Tang Y. R.; Xie C.; Yu T.; Xiong W. J.; & Lin L., (2017):** Influence of sleep disorders on somatic symptoms, mental health, and quality of life in patients with chronic constipation. *Medicine*, 96(7).

# **Tables:**

Table (1): Distribution of the studied elderly according to socio-demographic characteristics (n=60).

Characteristics	No	%
Age in Years		
60-	33	55.0
65-	16	26.6
70-	3	5
75-	4	6.7
80-90	4	6.7
Mean ±SD	65.	9 ±6.8
Gender:		
Male	34	56.7
Female	26	43.3
Education		
Illiterate	19	31.7
(Read and write + Basic)	24	40
Secondary	10	11.7
University	7	16.7
Marital Status		
Single	1	1.7
Married	29	48.3
Divorced	4	6.7
Widow	26	43.3
Occupation		
- Retire/ non-working	28	46.7
- Free work	13	21.7
- Technician	8	13.3
- Employee/ worker	11	18.3
Type of family		
Nuclear	16	26.7
Extended	44	73.3
Residence		
Rural	32	53.3
Urban	28	46.7

Table (2): Distribution of the studied elderly according to their medical & surgical history (n=60).

	No	%
- Medical & Surgical History*		
None	16	26.7
Hypertension	39	65.0
Diabetes mellitus	19	31.7
Urinary diseases	7	11.6
Anemia / headache	7	11.6
Gastrointestinalsymptoms **	6	10.0
Heart diseases	3	5.0

Others***	7	11.7
Smoking		
Yes	19	31.7
No	41	68.3

# *N.B.*

Table (3): Distribution of the studied elderly according self-managements to overcome their constipation pre and post-test (n=60).

pre and post-test (n=00).						
self-managements to overcome the constipation		pre		Post		$X^2$
	problem?	No.	%	No.	%	
1-	Do physical activity (sport / walking) None					48.758
	- Regular	33	55.0	26	43.3	(0.000)**
	- Irregular	8 19	13.3 31.0	15 19	25.0 31.7	
2-	Take medication (laxatives)	42	70	24	40	17.143 (0.000)**
3-	Increase fluid intake	17	28.3	46	76.7	7.826 (0.000)**
4-	Follow a planned diet	14	23.3	35	58.3	13.043 (0.001)**
5-	Use enema	4	6.7	2	3.3	28.966 (0.003)**

<sup>(\*)</sup> Significant P< 0.05

Table (4): Distribution of the studied elderly according their quality of life domain in pre and post-tests .

0 14 616 1	pre		Post		/ 5
Quality of life domains	No.	%	No.	%	r test / P
Physical health domain					
Low QOL	47	78.3	10	16.7	
Moderate QOL	13	21.7	48	80.0	0.404 ( 004 ) ##
High QOL	0	0.00	2	3.3	0.404 (.001) **
Mean ± SD	19.25 ±	± 2.89	23.5±	2.64	
Psychological health domain Low QOL Moderate QOL High QOL	44 16 0	73.3 26.7 0.00	9 51 0	15.0 85.0 0.00	0.724 ( .000) **
Mean ±SD	17.75 ±	± 3.03	20.23± 2.15		
Social relationships domain Low QOL Moderate QOL High QOL	22 22 16	36.7 36.7 26.6	11 21 28	18.3 35.0 46.7	0.856 (.000) **
Mean ±SD	10.20 ±5.86		$10.38 \pm 1.92$		

<sup>\*</sup> More than one answer.

<sup>\*\*</sup> GIT (heartburn, distention, peptic ulcer and dyspepsia, liver disease).

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Others= (anal- fisher, Vaginal polyp and vaginal ulcer).

Environmental domain Low QOL Moderate QOL High QOL	29 31 0	48.3 51.7 0.00	17 42 1	28.3 70.0 1.7	0.816 (.000) **
Mean ±SD	23.81±	2.15	25.85 ± 3.62		
Total QOL Low QOL Moderate QOL High QOL	35 24 1	58.3 40.1 1.7	10 49 1	16.7 81.7 1.7	0.646 (.000) **
Mean ±SD	70.78±10.58		80.33 ± 9.68		, ,

# r: Pearson coefficient

(\*) Significant P< 0.05

N.B: score < 60, low QOL; score  $\ge$  60, moderate QOL; and score  $\ge$ 80, relatively high QOL.

Table (5): The relationship between studied elderly self-care management and their total QOL scores in pre and post-test (n=60).

	•	QOL				$\mathbf{X}^2$
Self-managements of constipation		Pre		Post		(p-value)
			%	N	%	
	Regular	8	13.3	15	25.5	
Diaminal and the	irregular	19	31.7	19	31.7	60.226 (0.000)*
Physical activity	None	33	55.0	26	43.3	
	X <sup>2</sup> (p-value)	1.240	6 (0.536)	1.091 (0.579)		
	Yes	42	70.0	24	40.0	130.197
Take medication (laxatives)	No	18	30.0	36	60.0	(0.000)*
	X <sup>2</sup> (p-value	1.206 (0.272)		3.569 (0.059)		
	Yes	18	30.0	46	76.6	137.06
Increase fluid intake	No	42	70.0	14	23.4	(0.000)*
	X <sup>2</sup> (p-value)	0.126 (0.722)		0.554 (0.457)		
	Yes	14	23.4	35	58.3	144.013
Planed diet	No	46	76.6	25	41.7	(0.000)*
	X <sup>2</sup> (p-value	0.386 (0.534)		0.77 (0.782)		
Use enema	Yes	4	6.7	2	3.3	168.638
	No	56	93.3	58	96.7	(0.000)*
	(p-value)	0.160 (689)		0.096 (0.757)		

X<sup>2</sup> Chi- Square (Kruskal-Wallis Teat)

<sup>(\*)</sup> Significant P< 0.05

Table (6): Coloration between the studied elder quality of life domain their socio-demographic data, smoking habits and medical and surgical history pre and post-test (n=60).

smoking habits and medical and surgical history pre and post-test (n=60).				
Items	QO	L		
Tens	Pre	Post		
Age	-0.092 (0.486)	-0.206 (0.336)		
Sex	-0.170 (.194)	-0.126 (0.336)		
Residence	0.135 (0.303)	0.340 (0.008)*		
Education	0.229 (0.078)	0.357 (0.005)*		
Family type	0.028 (0.830)	0.085 (0.528)		
Occupation	047 (0.727)	0.045 (0.734)		
Marital status	-0.145 (0.269)	0.058 (0.660)		
Smoker	0.100 (0.445)	0.115 (0.382)		
Medical and surgical history	-0.125 (0.343/0	-0.245 (0.059)		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level .

Hanaa Kassem Farg, etal. Effect of Health Educational Program About Constipation On Elderly Quality of Life ". *IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science (IOSR-JNHS)*, 9(01), 2020, pp. 57-65.