Assessment of Learning Needs for Patients Undergoing Brain Tumors Surgeries at Al Mansoura General Hospital

⁽¹⁾ Asmaa Ebrahim Glal, ⁽²⁾ Wafaa Ismail Shreif, ⁽³⁾ Madiha Hassan nabih Mohamed

⁽¹⁾Bachelor of Science in nursing.⁽²⁾Professor of Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Mansoura University.⁽³⁾Lecturer of Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Mansoura University.

Abstract

Background: Assessment of learning needs can help in responding such needs and affects patients' lifestyle. Aim: Assess learning needs for patients undergoing brain tumors surgeries. Study design: A descriptive research design was followed in this study. Subjects: A purposive sample composed of 72 adult patients who met inclusion criteria were included in this study. Setting: The study was carried out at Al Mansoura General Hospital affiliated to Ministry of Health and Population. Tools: One tool was used for data collection. A structured interview questionnaire included two parts: Part I:Socio-demographic data and health history Part II: Learning ne eds assessment of patients undergoing brain tumor surgeries. Results: The results revealed that all studied brain tumor patients had high learning needs in all investigated domains. There were a statistical significance relation found between patients' learning needs and age (P=0.001), education (P=0.001), occupation (P=0.03) and marital status (P=0.001).Conclusion: All studied brain tumor patients require learning needs in all investigated domains. There were a significance relation found between patients' age, level of education, occupation and marital status and their learning needs. Recommendations: Patients lea rning needs should be assessed by nurses constantly and periodically. Simple booklet written in simple Arabic language should be available for all brain tumor patients included all needed information.

Keywords: Assessment, Brain, Learning Needs, Surgeries, Tumors.

Date of Submission: 13-05-2020

Date of Acceptance: 26-05-2020

I. Introduction

Cancer is a major public health problem worldwide affecting all ages. It is the second commonest cause of death in developed countries and among the three leading causes of death in developing countries. WHO reported that about 24.6 million people live with cancer worldwide. There are 12.5% of all deaths are attributable to cancer and if the trend continues, it is estimated that by 2020, 16 million new cases will be diagnosed per year out of which 70% will be in the developing countries [1].

Despite decades of research, brain tumors remain among the deadliest of all forms of cancer.Worldwide around 500,000 new cases of head and neck cancers are estimated annually[2,3].Brain tumor affects nearly 10 000 adults per year in the United Kingdom and has a devastating impact.In Egypt the brain tumor rates according to National Cancer Control Plan of Egypt, 2016 - 2020are about 5.48% in males and about 5.18% in females which reflects the magnitude of the problem here in Egypt[4,5,6].

Brain tumor referred to the aggregation of abnormal cells in some tissues of the brain. Brain tumor affects not only the body but also the psychological and social status of the patient in myriad ways. Brain tumor has a devastating impact, this is due to the poor prognosis as well as a significant symptom burden, which often includes neurocognitive deficits. Therefore, it is essential, to have a comprehensive way to determine the learning needs of these patients **[6, 7, 8]**.

Learning needs vary according to the stage of patient compliance. Studies reported learning needs were about complications and medications; others were about activities of living and enhancing quality of life. While, a number of studies have reported that the least important learning needs were about skin care, feeling in relation to condition and follow up. Assessment of each patient individually by nurses is essential in the treatment process. As learning needs during hospitalization differ from that during self-caring at home. Assessment of learning needs can help in responding to such needs and can affect patients' lifestyle. So, learning content should be designed based on learning needs and abilities of the patients [9].

Nurses should strengthen health education for brain tumor patients; patiently explain related knowledge about the condition to the patients, so as to make patientsactivelycooperate with the hospital. Nurses should develop targetedhealth education based on characteristics of personality and educational level of the patients [10].Nurses play a pivotal and increasingly important role in delivering high quality, safe, effective and efficient

healthcare to patients affected by brain tumor. As the nurses are the backbone of the health care delivery system, the nurse assesses a patient's health status and then plans care and interventions based on what the patient needs. [11].

II. Significance of the Study

Brain tumors are an important health problem for all age groups and data suggest their incidence is increasing worldwide **[12].** In Egypt the brain tumor rates according to National Cancer Control Plan of Egypt, 2016-2020are about 5.48% in males and about 5.18% in females.Patients presenting with brain tumors or space occupying lesions often face serious challenges including difficulties with overall symptoms.**[4,13].**

So, the importance of this study that the well-informed patient generally participate in tests, treatments and self-care more effectively, more comfortably and more safely than poorly or non-informed patient. However, what patients actually want to know has not been substantiated. Thus there is a need to investigate the learning needs of patients undergoing brain tumor surgery.

III. Aim of the Study

The aim of this study is to assess learning needs for patients undergoing brain tumors surgeries at Al Mansoura General Hospital.

3.1. Research question

To fulfill the aim of the study the following research question is formulated: What are the learning needs of patients undergoing brain tumors surgeries at Al Mansoura General Hospital?

IV. Subjects and Methods

4.1. Research Design

A descriptive research design was followed in this study.

4.2. Research Setting

The study was conducted at neurosurgical ward at Al Mansoura General Hospital affiliated to Ministry of Health and Population, Egypt. The capacity of the ward is 18 beds. This ward receives patients with neurosurgical problems. The nurse - patient's ratio in the selected ward is nearly 1: 4.

4.3. Subjects

The study was conducted on a purposive sample of 72 adult patients undergoing brain tumorssurgeries using MedCalc Statistical Software version 14.8.1, a sample size of 65 patients was required to detect a difference of 26% (from 40% to 66%) in the satisfactory assessment level assuming a significance level of 0.05 and 80% study power. Thus the sample size would be further adjusted to account for 10% expected dropout rate. So, the final sample would be 72 patients with the following criteria:

Inclusion criteria:Brain tumor patients aged between 20 to 60 years, with TNM staging (1, 2 stage), from both genders, scheduled for brain tumor surgery, had the ability to understand simple instructions and agreed to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria: Critically and mentally ill patients.

4.4. Tools for Data Collection

One tool was used in this study:

Learning needs assessment for patients undergoing brain tumor surgery structured interview questionnaire: This tool was developed by the researcherafter reviewing relevant literatures [14,15]. It included two main parts:

Part 1: Patients' demographic data and health history sheet:

It included demographic characteristics of the patients (age, sex, Level of education, occupation, marital status, monthly income and co-morbid diseases). And Patients' health history: It included information about duration of the disease, data related to previous hospitalization due to other tumor, sign and symptoms of the disease, methods of treatment, complaining from any chronic diseases and family history.

Part 2: Patients' Learning Needs Assessment Sheet:

This part constructed of forty two multiple-choice questions arranged into four categories:

Patients' knowledge regarding nature of the disease (brain tumor):

It included five questions to assess knowledge and actual learning needs related to nature of the disease "meaning of brain tumor, possible causes and risk factors, sign and symptoms of brain tumor and different methods of treatment".

Patients' knowledge regarding preoperative preparation:

It included seventeen questions to assess patients' knowledge and actual learning needs related to indication for the surgery, advantages and complications and preparation for brain tumor surgery such as (laboratory investigations, radiological studies, pre-operative medications, stopping anticoagulant drugs, fasting

period before the surgery, skin preparation, types of anesthesia, allergy from any drug or dye, explanation of the surgery and explanation of the complementary plan of treatment after the surgery). And assessment of patient's intraoperative learning needs that includes (duration of the surgery, site of the surgical incision in the head).

Patients' knowledge regarding postoperative care:

It included fourteen questions to assess patients' knowledge and actual learning needs after brain tumor surgery and it was divided into:

- **i.** Post-operative food and fluids "when he/she started food and fluid intake, amount of fluid intake, intake and output measurement".
- **ii. Post-operative medications and wound care** "types of postoperative medications, postoperative usage of anticoagulants, dates of wound care, sings of wound infection, benefits of drains inside the wound, time of wound healing and removal of surgical sutures".
- iii. Post-operative physical activities "correct position after the surgery, when to start movement after surgery.

Patients' knowledge regarding postoperative complications & how to deal with it:

It included six questions to assess patients' knowledge and actual learning needs related to post discharge such as" possible complications after the surgery, methods to prevent it, time of resuming normal activities and follow up visits".

Scoring system:

A score of one was given for each correct answer, and zero for incorrect answers. A total score of each area was calculated which summed together to give total score. All scores were transformed into score % as following: score % = (the observed score / the maximum score) \times 100. Then score % was transformed into categories as follows:

Poor: score < 50 %Fair: score 50% - < 75%Good: score $\ge 75\%$

4.5. Operational Design

The operational design includes the preparatory phase, ethical considerations, validity and reliability, pilot study, and fieldwork.

4.5.1. Preparatory Phase

Included reviewing of recent related literature to develop the assessment tool of learning needs. An informed consent was taken from the study sample before inclusion in the study. The researcher emphasized that they had the right to withdraw from the study at any time.

4.5.2. Ethical Considerations

An ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Nursing-Mansoura University. Informed consent was obtained from patients after providing them with complete information about the study including the aim, benefits, risks and procedures. Patients were informed that participation is voluntary and if they refused to participate in the study this will not affect their care or treatment. They were also informed that they had the right to seek to withdraw from the study at any time.

4.5.3. Validity and Reliability

The validity of the tool tested for content by seven experts (jury) from Mansoura University "five experts of Medical-Surgical Nursing, one specialist professor of neurosurgery from Faculty of Medicine-Mansoura University and one specialist professor in biostatistics from Faculty of Medicine-Mansoura University, who reviewed the tool for clarity, relevance, understanding and applicability for implementation. All comments and suggestions were considered, rewording and revising of the tool was carried out.

Reliability of the developed tool was estimated using Cronbach alpha reliability test in Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) program, to test its internal consistency to evaluate how well the tool consistency measure what they are designed to measure. The reliability test was estimated (r = .80%) for all competencies of the tool. its maximum value is (1.0), which indicate highest reliability and the minimum accepted value is (0.7).

4.5.4. Pilot Study

A pilot study was conducted on 7 patients (10% of the sample size) fulfilling the research criteria in order to assess the feasibility, clarity and applicability of the developed tool, and the necessary modification were done prior to data collection. Those patients were excluded from the study sample.

4.5.5. Field Work

The actual field work started from the beginning of January 2019 to the end of July 2019 (for all phases). The study goes through two phases: first is Preparatory phase which includes tool development, validation, reliability, and pilot study. In addition to the official permission attaining; a formal letter was issued from the Faculty of Nursing Mansoura University to the director of Al-Mansoura General Hospital to obtain approval for conducting this study. The second phase includes the selection of the study subjects, who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

4.5.6. Intervention

The intervention included n initial interview with the patients in which the researcher explained the nature and the purpose of the study. Then the researcher started data collection following certain schedule of five days/week, from 9 am to 2 pm.

So, the Patients are interviewed daily during hospitalization before operation in the neurosurgical department to assess patients who are undergoing brain tumor surgery knowledge and their actual needs regarding the nature of the disease, pre-operative preparation and provided brief explanation of the surgical procedure. Then the brain tumor patients interviewed after the operation to assess their knowledge and actual needs regarding "post-operative correct position, wound care and when to start movement after the surgery".

4.6. Data Analysis

SPSS version 21 was used to analyze the collected data.Data were presented as frequency and percentages (qualitative variables) and mean 1 SD (quantitative continuous variables). Comparison of continuous quantitative variables (two groups) using Mann Whitney (Z) test as the data is not normally distributed and chi square of Xruskal- Wallis test for comparison of more than two groups. The difference was considered significant at P 0.05

V. Results

Table(1) shows the characteristics of the studied patients. It can be noticed that males were 47.2% and females 52.8%. The average Age of patients was 43.6 ± 11.6 years. The most frequent age group was from 51 - 60 years (33.3). Nearly half(46%) were illiterate & read and write. The working patients were 55.6% and the majority (66.7) was married.

Table (2) shows distribution of studied patients according to tumor characteristics, it can be noticed that about two thirds (66.7%) of studied sample suffered from brain tumor about six months before. Episodes of headache reported by most patients (68.1%) whereas dizziness, vomiting and irrational nausea and seizures reported by 48.6%, 37.5%, and 29.2 respectively.

Most of studied sample treated with combination of chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and surgery (70.8%), family history of brain tumor documented by 26.4 of those percentage 63.2 first degree relatives. And more than half(56.9) have chronic diseases.

Table (3) demonstrates that the average of total knowledge scores is (18.79 ± 17.35) with average percent (15.03 ± 13.88) . This reflects that the total knowledge of patients regarding all studied domains of the brain tumor just reach 15%.

Table (4) shows the levels of knowledge among the studied sample. It can be noticed that the majority of patients have poor knowledge (< 50.0%). Regarding nature of disease (86.1%), preoperative knowledge (90.3%), postoperative knowledge (100%) and post discharge care (95.8%).

Figure (1): It is clear that the vast majority of brain tumor patients have poor knowledge (97.2%) compared to only 2.8% have fair knowledge.

Table (5) shows the relationship between average knowledge score and socio-demographic characteristics of the studied patients. It can be noticed that, there were a statistical significant relation between average knowledge and patients age (P=0.001), education (P=0.001), occupation (P=0.03), and marital statues (P=0.001).

Characters	Items	No	%			
Sex	Males	34	47.2			
	Females	38	52.8			
Age (years)	20-30	12	16.7			
	31-40	20	27.8			
	41-50	16	22.2			
	51-60	24	33.3			
	Range: $20.0 - 60.0$ Mean \pm SD = 43.60 ± 11.61					
Education	Illiterate	21	29.2			
	Read and write	12	16.7			
	Intermediate	22	30.6			
	University	17	23.6			
Occupation	Working	40	55.6			
	Not working	32	44.4			
Marital status	Single	9	12.50			
	Married	48	66.7			
	Divorced	3	4.2			
	Widow	12	16.7			

Table 2. Distribution of the brain tumor patients regarding health history and characteristics of the
tumor (No= 72).

Characters	Items	No	%
	0-6 months	48	66.7
Duration	6-12 months	17	23.6
	>one year	7	9.7
	Episodes of headache	49	68.1
	Dizziness	35	48.6
	Vomiting or irrational nausea	27	37.5
Symptoms	Seizures	21	29.2
	Seeping problems	15	20.8
	Loss of sensation or movement in the arm or leg	6	8.3
	Vision problems such as unclear vision	21	29.2
History of admission due to	Yes	3	4.2
other tumor	No	69	95.6
	Surgery with Chemotherapy	13	18.1
Method of treatment	Surgery with Radiotherapy	8	11.1
	Surgery with chemo and radiotherapy	51	70.8
Family history of brain tumor Yes		19	26.4
	No	53	73.6
Degree of relation	First degree	12	63.2
	Second degree	7	36.8
Complaining from any chronic	Yes	41	56.9
diseases?	No	31	43.1
	Hypertension	16	22.2
What are these diseases?	DM	8	11.1
	Cardiac	7	9.7
	others	10	13.9

Table (3): Average scores of knowledge among the brain tumor patients for different studied domains (No= 72).

I.A	Average Score			Percent Score		
Items	Max	Mean± SD	Median	Max	Mean±SD	Median
A. Patients' knowledge regarding the nature of the disease (brain tumor)	26.0	5.15 ± 5.04	3.0	100.0	19.82 ± 19.38	11.5
B. Patients' knowledge regarding pre brain tumor surgery (brain tumor eradication)	43.0	8.04 ± 8.28	4.0	100.0	18.70± 19.26	9.3
C. patients' knowledge regarding post brain tumor surgery (brain tumor eradication)	43.0	3.35 ± 3.37	2.0	100.0	7.78 ± 7.84	4.65
D. patients' knowledge regarding post discharge care	13.0	2.15 ± 2.80	0.0	100.0	16.56 ± 21.55	0.0

Total Score	125.0	18.79±17.35	11.5	100.0	15.03±13.88	9.2

 Table 4. Distribution of brain tumor patients according to their levels of knowledge for different studied domains (No= 72).

Items		Poor 50.0%) (50		uir 75.0%)	Good (≥75.0%)	
	No	%	No	%	No	%
A. Patients' knowledge regarding the nature of the disease (brain tumor)	62	86.1	10	13.9	0	0.0
B. Patients' knowledge regarding before brain tumor surgery (brain tumor eradication)	65	90.3	7	9.7	0	0.0
C. patients' knowledge regarding after brain tumor surgery (brain tumor eradication)	72	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
D. patients' knowledge regarding about post discharge care	69	95.8	1	1.4	2	2.8
Total Score	70	97.2	2	2.28	0	0.0



Figure (1): Level of total knowledge

 Table 5. Relationship between average percent knowledge score and socio-demographic characteristics of the brain tumor patients (No= 72).

Demographic	Items	No	Percent	Score	Significance test
data			Mean±SD	Median	
Sex	Males Females	34 38	16.61±15.21 13.62±12.60	9.60 9.20	Z [*] =0.943, P0.346
Age (years)	20-30 31-40 41-50 51-60	12 20 16 24	25.80±13.89 21.36±16.37 07.60±07.45 09.33±08.77	30.40 18.80 5.20 5.60	$\chi^{2^{**}}=18.044, P$ <0.001
Education	Illiterate Read and write Intermediate University	21 12 22 17	$\begin{array}{c} 04.95{\pm}06.07\\ 09.93{\pm}08.28\\ 12.98{\pm}08.47\\ 33.74{\pm}16.90 \end{array}$	2.40 6.40 10.00 32.80	$\chi^{2^{**}}=42.488, P$ <0.001
Occupation	Working Not working	40 32	17.60±14.90 11.85±11.95	13.60 7.20	Z [*] =2.065, P0.039
Marital status	Single Married Divorced Widow	9 48 3 12	30.49±11.37 13.65±12.14 24.00±29.20 06.73±07.94	31.20 8.80 14.00 3.20	$\chi^{2^{**}}=16.217, P$ 0.001

Z^{*} of Mann Whitney test

 $\chi^{2^{**}}$ of Kruskal-Wallis Test

VI. Discussion

Brain tumor is a life-threatening illness, which causes significant disruption in individual functioning. Brain tumor patients have multifaceted physiological and psychosocial needs.[16]. Health education theory suggests that just because information is available does not mean that it is in a format that will be useful. An understanding of how people seek, use, and process information can help health practitioners structure and deliver information more effectively [17]. Few studies have examined the evolution of cancer patients' information needs over the course of the illness. Therefore, the current study concentrated on assessment of learning needs for patients undergoing brain tumors surgeries.

The demographic background of the present study showed that, the mean age of the studied sample was 43.6 ± 11.6 years. Highest percent of the current study sample were females, married and working. Illiteracy prevailed among the majority of them, and about two thirds suffered from brain tumor about six months before and hypertension, DM and cardiac disease reported by more than half of them.

First degree relative's family history of brain tumor reported by the majority of them. And more than two thirdstreated with combination of chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and surgery.

Regarding to age, brain tumor was found to be more common among those more than 50 years of age, in this respect **Cancer Research UK**, (2012)[18] reported that brain tumors present across all age groups from infancy to old age with a bimodal distribution with increased incidence between 45 to 60 years. Another study by **Arber**,(2010)[19] found that a peak incidence of brain tumors in the 45–64 year age group. However this finding contradicts with **Khanet al.**,(2015)[20]who revealed that there is evidence to support the increasing overall incidence of primary brain tumors, with the highest increase noted in patients over 60 years of age. This difference my attributed to change in geographical area and personal traits.

In relation to gender, highest percent of the current studied sample were females. The finding contradicted with **Amaresha et al.**,(2015)[21]who found that men are more prone to developing cancerous (malignant) tumors than women.

Regarding symptoms, episodes of headache reported by most patients followed by dizziness, vomiting and irrational nausea and seizures this may be attributed to focal brain swelling and increased intracranial pressure. These findings are in agreement with the findings of **Parvataneni et al.**,(2011)and **Englot et al.**, (2016)[22,23]they reported that, the most common symptoms experienced by brain tumor patients are headaches, seizures, nausea or vomiting, and impairments in speech, vision or hearing.

Another studies carried out by **Schmidt-Hansenet al.,(2015)** and **Ownsworthet al., (2011)**[24,25]found that most brain tumor patients can present with a wide range of different symptoms which may be common as headache, epilepsy, nausea and vomiting, and slowing or changing of psychomotor functions. However this finding contradicts with **Omuro & DeAngelis,(2013)**[26] who stated that approximately 50% of patients with brain tumorpresent with headaches, and other common symptoms include confusion, hemiparesis, gait imbalance, language difficulties and personality changes.

Upon diagnosis, brain tumor patients must deal with the implications of a life limiting illness while coping with the symptoms, which can be sever and progressive [27]. The lack of detection of needs for all cancers is problematic, but possibly more significant in patients with brain cancers, as they have one of the highest rates of depression, and this in combination with their significant and unique needs necessitates targeted mechanisms to deliver appropriate supportive care **Hartung et al.**,(2017)[28].

Considering average scores of knowledge among our studied sample. the current results stated that there were low mean score of knowledge regarding nature of disease, preoperative knowledge, postoperative knowledge, and knowledge regarding post discharge care, among studied sample representing low total mean score of knowledge. These findings highlight that healthcare services should focus on the specific needs based on the individual patient.

These results come in accordance with **Hartung et al.**, (2017)and **Fordet al.**, (2012)[28,29]they documented that, brain tumor patients need additional resources and information on coping with the emotional burden of the disease and improve their quality of life and in turn improve the overall care of the patient. **Mitchellet al.**,(2011)[30] indicated that, patients with brain tumor showed information needs on various topics including support services and information about the mechanism of treatment. This strongly suggests the need for providing educational interventions especially for caregivers in neuro-oncology settings.

Communication is a fundamental part of the care of patients with cancer and influences well-being and that information should be adapted to the needs of each patient. anxiety was found to be lower in patients who wanted to know everything about their illness, understood the information better, and were more satisfied with the information that they received **Lobb et al.**,(2011) [31].

Moreover, the present study found learning gab between patient's average knowledge level and maximum level that should be reached. From my opinion, this may because the greater part of health care providers worked with the task-based system in which their responsibilities concentrated on patients care, neglecting educational role. These findings goes well together with **Wasneret al.**,(2013)[32] who stated that, there were gaps in the evidence about how much patients wanted to know and how best to disclose diagnosis and prognosis. The guidance recognized that patients with brain tumors had specific information needs.

These findings are similar to the findings of **Keir**,(2011)[33] who reported that, Brain tumor patients had a greater need for information and appreciated being given time to ask questions and receive honest answers from health care providers. Because they found decision making was difficult because of receiving an unclear

prognosis and being given a lack of alternatives to the treatments proposed. Whiting et al., (2012)[34] hypothesized that fear and anxiety may not be caused by brain tumor symptoms but by the perception of the threat posed by the symptoms. They suggested, therefore, that communication is fundamental to alleviate this anxiety, by improving predictability and feelings of control.

VII. Conclusion

Assessment of learning needs of brain tumor patients undergoing brain tumor surgery is very important in which enabling them to be aware about the journey of the disease and it's treatment and can guide health care professionals in planning and education which helps in the treatment process and reduce recurrent hospitalization and complications.

VIII. Recommendations

Based on the results of the study, the following recommendations are suggested:

1. Patients learning needs should be assessed by nurses constantly and periodically.

2. Nurses working in neurosurgical department should update their knowledge to provide patients with the latest and most important information.

References

- [1]. Morounke, SG., Ayorinde, JB., Benedict, AO., Adedayo, FF., Adewale, FO., Oluwadamilare, I., Sokunle, SS. & Benjamin, A. (2017). Epidemiology and Incidence of Common Cancers in Nigeria. *Journal of Cancer Biology & Research*, 5(3), 1105.
- [2]. Aldape, K. & Brindle, KM. (2019). Challenges to Curing Primary Brain Tumors. Journal of Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 16(1), 509.
- [3]. Pai, RR.& Ongole, R. (2015). Nurses' Knowledge and Education about Oral Care of Cancer Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy and Radiation Therapy. *Indian Journal of Palliative Care*, 21(2), 226.
- [4]. National Cancer Control Plan of Egypt.(2016-2020). The Egyptian Ministry of Health and Population. Available at: http://webmail.moh.gov.sa. Accessed: 10 November- 2018.
- [5]. Roy, S. & Bandyopadhyay, SK. (2018). Brain Tumor Classification and Performance Analysis. International Journal of Engineering Science and Computing, 8(6), 1.
- [6]. Afseth, J., Neubeck, L., Karatzias, T. & Grant, G. (2018). Holistic Needs Assessment in Brain Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review of Available Tools. *Journal of Neuro-Oncology*,Oxford University, 20(1), 12.
- [7]. Anaraki, AK., Ayati, M. &Kazemi, F. (2018). Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Based Brain Tumor Grades Classification and Grading via Convolutional Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms. *Journal of Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering*, Elsevier, 39(1), 64.
- [8]. Abu-Hegazy, M.&El-Hadaad, HA. (2016). Neurocognitive Impairment in Primary Brain Tumors. Available at:http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/62924. Accessed: 21- 11- 2019.
- [9]. Abdel-Wahid, A. (2016). Learning Needs Assessment for Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy at Urology and Nephrology Center at Mansoura University Hospitals. Unpublished Master Thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Mansoura University.
- [10]. Wang, W. & Ye, Y. (2017). Application of High Quality Nursing Service Mode in Care of Neurosurgical Patients with Tumor. *Journal of Biomedical Research*, 28(8), 3413.
- [11]. Da Silva, R.B., Brault, I., Pineault, R., Chouinard, M.C., Prud'homme, A. & D'Amour, D. (2018). Nursing Practice in Primary Care and Patients' Experience of Care. *Journal of Primary Care & Community Health*, 9(1), 3.
- [12]. Quinn, T. (2017). Epidemiology of Glioma. PhD, At Case Western Reserve University. 4.
- [13]. Babar, Z., Khizar, S., Amin, A., Bhatti, Q., Razzaq, A.& Khan, I.(2017). Impact of Surgery on The Quality of Life in Patients With Primary Brain Tumors. *Journal of Neurological and Orthopedic Medicine and Surgery*.3(4).P.1-2.
- [14]. Durmo, F., Lätt, J., Rydelius, A., Engelholm, S., Kinhult, S., Askaner, K., Englund, E., Bengzon, J., Nilsson, M., Burtscher, I., Chenevert, T., Knutsson, L. & Sundgren, P. (2018). Brain Tumor Characterization Using Multibiometric Evaluation of MRI. International Journal of Tomography, 4(1), 20.
- [15]. Shree, N. & Kumar, T. (2018).Identification and Classification of Brain Tumor MRI Images with Feature Extraction Using DWT and Probabilistic Neural Network. *International Journal of Brain Informatics*, 5(1), 25.
- [16]. Cornwell, P., Dicks, B., Fleming, J., Haines, T.P. & Olson, S. (2012). Care and Support Needs of Patients and Carers Early Post-Discharge Following Treatment for Non-Malignant Brain Tumor: Establishing A new Reality Supportive Care in Cancer, 20(10), 2595–2610.
- [17]. Petruzzi, A., Yvonne, C., Finocchiaro, Y., Lamperti, E. & Salmaggi, A. (2013). Living with A brain Tumor Reaction Profiles in Patients and Their Caregivers. Support Care Cancer 21:1105–11.doi:10.1007/s00520-012-1632-3.
- [18]. Cancer Research UK. (2012). Childhood Cancer Incidence Statistics. Cancer Research UK, London, UK.
- [19]. Arber, A., Hutson, N., Guerrero, D., Wilson, S., Lucas, C. & Faithfull, S. (2010). Carers of Patients with A primary Malignant Brain Tumor: Are Their Information Needs Being Met? Br J Neuro sci Nurs 6:329–34. Doi:10.12968/bjnn.2010.6.7.79227.
- [20]. Khan, F., Amatya, B., Ng, L., Drummond, K. & Galea, M. (2015). Multidisciplinary Rehabilitation after Primary Brain Tumor Treatment. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 8. Art. No: CD009509. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009509.pub3.
- [21]. Amaresha, A., Reddy, K., Ahmed, A., Ross, D. & Arthur, J. (2015). Women Caregivers of Persons with Brain Tumor: A Psychosocial Needs Assessment in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Bangalore. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 22(1), 41–62. DOI: 10.1177/0971521514556944.
- [22]. Parvataneni, R., Polley, M.-Y., Freeman, T., Lamborn, K., Prados, M., Butowski, N., et al. (2011). Identifying The Needs of Brain Tumor Patients and Their Caregivers. *Journal of Neuro-Oncology*, 104(3), 737–744.
- [23]. Englot, D. J., Chang, E. F. & Vecht, C. J. (2016). Epilepsy and Brain Tumors. In Handbook of Clinical Neurology. 134, 267–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802997-8.00016-5.
- [24]. Schmidt-Hansen, M., Berendse, S. & Hamilton, W. (2015). Symptomatic Diagnosis of Cancer of the Brain and Central Nervous System in Primary Care: A systematicReview. Fam Pract; 32: 618–23.

- [25]. Ownsworth, T., Chambers, S., Hawkes, A., Walker, D.G. & Shum, D. (2011). Making Sense of Brain Tumor: A qualitative Investigation of Personal and Social Processes of Adjustment. Neuro Psychol Rehabil 21:117–37.doi:10.1080/09602011. 2010.537073.
- [26]. Omuro, A. & DeAngelis, L. M. (2013). Glioblastoma and Other Malignant Gliomas: A clinical Review. JAMA Journal of the American Medical Association, 310(17), 1842–1850. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.280319.
- [27]. Cavers, D., Hacking, B., Erridge, S. E., Kendall, M., Morris, P. G., & Murray, S. A. (2012). Social, Psychological and Existential Well-being in Patients with Glioma and Their Caregivers: A qualitative Study. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 184(7), https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.111622.
- [28]. Hartung, T. J., Brähler, E., Faller, H., Härter, M., Hinz, A., Johansen, C. & Mehnert, A. (2017). The risk of Being Depressed is Significantly Higher in Cancer Patients than in The General Population: Prevalence and Severity of Depressive Symptoms across Major Cancer Types. European Journal of Cancer, 72, 46–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ejca.2016.11.017.
- [29]. Ford, E., Catt, S., Chalmers, A. & Fallowfield, L. (2012). Systematic Review of Supportive Care Needs in Patients with Primary Malignant Brain Tumors. Neuro-Oncology, 14(4), 392–404. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nor229.
- [30]. Mitchell, A. J., Hussain, N., Grainger, L. & Symonds, P. (2011). Identification of Patient Reported Distress by Clinical Nurse Specialists in Routine Oncology Practice: A multi Centre UK study. Psycho-Oncology, 20(10), 1076–1083. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1815.
- [31]. Lobb, E.A., Halkett, G.K. & Nowak, A.K. (2011). Patient and Caregiver Perceptions of Communication of Prognosis in High Grade Glioma. J Neurooncol, 104(1):315–322.
- [32]. Wasner, M., Paal, P. & DomenicoBorasio, G. (2013). Psychosocial Care for the Caregivers of Primary Malignant Brain Tumor Patients. J Soc Work End Life Palliat Care, 9:74–95.doi:10.1080/15524256.2012.758605.
- [33]. Keir, S.T. (2011). Effect of Massage Therapy on Stress Levels and Quality of Lifein Brain Tumor Patients—Observations. Supportive Care in Cancer; 19(5):711-5. doi: 10.1007/s00520-010-1032-5. Epub 2010 Nov 3.
- [34]. Whiting, D.L. Simpson, G.K. Koh, E.S. Wright, K.M. Simpson, T. & Firth, R.(2012). A multi-tiered Intervention to Address Behavioural and Cognitive Changes after Diagnosis of Primary Brain Tumor: a feasibility study. Brain Inj 26:950– 61.10.3109/02699052.2012.661912.

Asmaa Ebrahim Glal, et. al. "Assessment of Learning Needs for Patients Undergoing Brain Tumors Surgeries at Al Mansoura General Hospital." *IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science (IOSR-JNHS)*, 9(3), 2020, pp. 40-48.

. _ _ _ _ _ _ .