# In vitro Assessment the Antagonistic Activity of Enteric Lactobacillus spp. against Opportunistic Bacteria

Amal I. Jaddo and Shadan A. Al wendawi

Dept. of Biology/ College of Science/ Baghdad University/ Baghdad, Iraq. Corresponding Author: Amal I. Jaddo

Abstract: Currently there is interest in the development of alternative therapies in the treatment of gastrointestinal tract disorders. Attention has turned to gut microbiota as bacteria based therapies. Many enteric lactobacilli are potentially probiotics and approved as alternative therapy for curing lists of gastric diseases. The present study was aimed to seek for therapeutic efficacy of enteric Lactobacillus against human opportunistic bacteria(Achromobacterxylosoxidans and Klebsiellaoxytoca), that were isolated from gastric endoscopic biopsy specimens of peptic ulcerative patients on continuous medication. Thirteen Lactobacillus isolates were isolated from breast - feed infant faces on De Man Rogosa and Sharpe medium (MRS) supplemented with vancomycin  $(1\mu g.ml^{-1})$ , mainly heterofermentative lactobacilli were the predominant. Isolates were identified on the basis of microscopic examination, biochemical tests, and sugars fermentation profile. Lactobacillus isolates were screened for their (in vitro) antagonistic effects. All isolates showed antagonistic activity in respect of inhibition zones in agar well – diffusion technique, the most potent isolates were A9 and A20, that were exhibited the highest inhibition zones  $26.93 \pm 1.93$  and  $23.20 \pm 1.91$  mm respectively against Achromobacterxylosoxidans and Klebsiellaoxytoca. Identification of the two potent antibacterial lactobacilli isolates was confirmed with molecular analysis by the amplification of universal bacterial 16S rRNA gene, followed by DNA sequencing of this gene and alignment of sequencing in National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI).

# I. Introduction

The term "Probiotics" is derived from a Greek word 'biotikos' meaning 'for life', which was first coined by Parkers [1], and defined as life microorganisms when they were administrated in adequate amounts to confer health benefits on the host [2]. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), especially *Lactobacillus*, are the most commonly used microorganisms as probiotics, members of lactobacilli are "Generally Recognized as Safe" (GRAS) ingredients, and are desired members of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) microflora, contribute mainly in maintaining the GIT homeostasis [3]. *Lactobacillus* colonize the gastrointestinal tract of mammals and human immediately after birth [4], and represents the major digestive system microflora, that contribute approximately 75% in gastric functions, they known to benefit health as natural predominant microflora [5]. The beneficial biological functions of gastric *Lactobacillus* include; reduction of serum cholesterol, amelioration of diarrhea or constipation, elimination of procarcinogens, synthesis of vitamin B, activation of immune system , improve of adhesive ability, and prevent gastrointestinal infections [6, 7]. Lactobacilli therapeutic actions of gastric disorders attributed to different mechanisms, such as, competitive exclusion of enteric pathogens [8], enhancement of GIT lining epithelial barriers, and production of bioactive molecules like; organic acids particularly lactic acid, hydrogen peroxide, diacetyl, and antimicrobial substances, bacteriocins and bacteriocins – like peptides [9, 10].

Opportunistic pathogens have become increasingly relevant as the causative agents of many clinical diseases [11]. Many opportunistic bacterial strains are becoming important pathogens of human, and being implicated in the increasing morbidity amongst the patient population. *Achromobacterxylosoxidans* and *Klebsiellaoxytoca* are belongs to phylum proteobacteria [12], now are emerging as important opportunistic microorganism and frequently causes infections at nearly any body site. Infections are proceeded by gastrointestinal colonization, the pathogenic potential of these two bacteria are essentially unknown [13]. Recently they are associated to many gastric ulcerative diseases [14], and frequently isolated from many peptic ulcer cases in human [15, 3] specially those patients who are on long – term antibiotics treatments. Consequently multi – drug strains of these opportunistic bacteria attack the inflamed damaged gastric lining mucosal surfaces [16]. In vivo studies in murine model support the role of proteobacterial members in the development of gastritis from peptic ulcers to

gastric neoplasia [17]. Such studies demonstrated patient's that taking massive drugs for curing of gastritis infections were showed a neutralization of the gastric environment, and this closely correlated the alterations in the gastric microbiota and significantly increased colonization of proteobacterial opportunistic [18].

This study aimed to seek for in vitro antagonistic behavior of human derived *Lactobacillus* spp. against human gastric opportunistic bacteria.

# II. Materials and methods

#### Isolation of bacteria and cultural conditions

Forty fecal samples were randomly collected from naturally delivered and fully breast fed infants around Baghdad province / Iraq. Their ages ranged from hours to five months old. The collected samples were placed in sterile plastic containers and transported to the laboratory within 8 to 12 hrs. meanwhile kept at 4°C until cultivation. One gram of each fecal sample was taken, serially 10 – fold diluted in saline, and 0.1 ml was inoculated into 10 ml de Man Rogosa - Sharpe broth (MRS, Homedia, India) supplemented with erythromycin 5µg. ml<sup>-1</sup> [19]. The tubes were incubated anaerobically (anaerobic jar supplied with Gas pack Oxoid/ England) at 37°C for 48 hrs. A loop full of the cultured broths was streaked triplicates on MRS agar supplemented with erythromycin (MRS – E), plates were incubated anaerobically. The colonies with the interesting characteristic features were streaked on MRS agar supplemented with vancomycin (1 µg .ml<sup>-1</sup>) (MRS – V), pH 5.5 [20]. The plates were incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 72 hrs. The interested colonies were repeatedly cultured on MRS agar to obtain pure colonies. The culture isolates were identified to genus level by: gram staining, colonies morphology, and biochemical tests. The isolates sugars fermentation profile was achieved and compared with sugars fermentation scheme described in Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology [21].

#### Antagonistic activity screening

*Lactobacillus* isolates were assessed for their antagonistic activities against test bacteria *Achromobacterxylosoxidans* and *Klebsiellaoxytoca* (previously isolated from endoscopic gastric biopsy specimens of peptic ulcerative patients), by agar – well diffusion method. Briefly; Melted Brain heart infusion (BHI) agar was seeded with overnight culture of test bacteria at a final concentration  $10^6$  CFU/ ml, poured into sterile petri dishes and allowed to solidify at room temperature, wells 5mm were hollowed out in agar using a sterile cork borer, wells were filled with 80 µl ( $10^8$ CFU/ml) of the *Lactobacillus* isolates suspensions individually, plates were incubated at 4°C for 3h to facilitate diffusion into agar, after plates were incubated at 37°C for 48h. Formed inhibition zones around the wells were measured and recorded in millimeter after subtraction 5mm, wells diameter [22].

#### **DNA extraction and PCR identification**

Genomic DNA of the bacterial isolates that exhibited potent antagonism against test bacteria was extracted directly from overnight broth culture by using genomic DNA purification kit (Intron Biotechnology, Korea), and according to manufactures instructions. Isolates were subjected to PCR analysis to detect the bacterial universal *16S rRNA* gene, using a universal *16S rRNA* primer: 20F 5'-AGTTTGATCCTGGCTC-3', 1530R 5'-AAGGAGGTGATCCAGCC-3' [23].

PCR amplification mixture which was used for the detection of the universal *16S rRNA* gene was carried out in 25  $\mu$ l volume includes GoTaq® Green Master Mix, 2X (12.5  $\mu$ l), 3  $\mu$ l of 25 ng DNA template, 1  $\mu$ l (1 Mm) of each forwarded and reversed primers and 7.5  $\mu$ l of nuclease free water to complete the amplification mixture to 25 $\mu$ l. Amplification was performed in a thermal cycler (Eppendorf®) programmed temperatures as the following: Initial denaturation 95 °C (3 min), Denaturation 95 °C (45 sec), Annealing 62°C (45 sec), Extension 72°C (1 min), and the Final extension 72°C (10 min).

PCR product was examined on agarose gel to confirm that there is a specific product with the desired size. The product was electrophoresed on 1% agarose gel containing Ethidium bromide (0.5 mg/ml) in Tris-Acetate-EDTA buffer (TAE buffer) and photographed under UV illumination.

#### Sequence analysis of the *16 rRNA gene*

The sequence analysis of *16S RNA* gene was performed to confirm identification of *Lactobacillus*. It was carried out by sending the PCR products of amplified *16S rRNA* gene to Macrogen Company/ Korea to preform Sanger sequencing by using AB13730XL, automated DNA sequencer. The result analyzed by BLAST website on NCBI.

#### Statistical analysis

The results of antagonisms are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard and the data subjected to analysis system – SAS program [24]. Least significant difference –LSD test was used to significant compare between means of data. The level of significance was set at P < 0.05.

## **III. Results and Discussion**

A total of forty fecal samples were randomly collected from naturally delivered, breast fed, and healthy infants for isolation of *Lactobacillus* (coded as A1 – A40). The feces of breastfeeding is the best source for isolation many beneficial LAB, due to their gut are continuously supply with fresh viable bacteria (probiotics), and they have a more stable and uniform population of microorganisms composed mainly of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* [25]. In contrast formula fed infants have an increased bacterial diversity with decreased prevalence of *Lactobacillus*, and this add several additional steps to the isolation of *Lactobacillus* and leads to difficulty in diagnosis steps [26]. Besides breast milk supplies bioactive non digestible oligosaccharides, that are digested in the colon, stimulating the growth and / or activity of specific fecal bacteria (including lactobacilli) that impact heath positively in infant receiving breast milk [27].

Naturally delivered infants are preferred for proper *Lactobacillus* isolation due to the fact that full – term vaginally delivered infants are exposed to massive amount of maternal vaginal microbiome while passing through the birth channel, in addition the infant are inoculated continuously with maternal intestinal bacteria , that makes lactobacilli the pioneering colonizers of newborn GIT[4].

The MRS medium was used for isolation of fecal lactobacilli, known as selective medium for the isolation of LAB, combination of salts and varying antibiotics are supplemented to the base medium to improve the medium selectivity to a certain member of LAB [28]. Supplementation of erythromycin to MRS suppresses the growth of *Bifidibacterium*, which are associated to *Lactobacillus* in fecal samples [19]. Out of forty infant fecal samples were cultivated on MRS – E medium, thirteen colonies (26%) were suspected belong to *Lactobacillus* on the bases of colony morphology (whitish – creamy colored, glistening, small, round, and non – convex colonies). These colonies were picked up and cultivated on MRS – V, twelve colonies (93.3%) were pronounced clearly on this medium. The isolates were considered as heterofermentative species because of their resistance to vancomycin is intrinsic resistant [29]. Vancomycin usually targets and binds to the terminus D-alanine of the peptidoglycan on the cytoplasmic side of peptidoglycan of cell wall, instead, in heterofermentative lactobacilli, the D-alanine is replaced with D-lactate or D-serine and therefore preventing the binding of vancomycin [30]. This really helped in isolating pure colonies from primary isolation which helped decrease the amounts of sub culturing necessary to purify the isolates.

The identification was achieved by biochemical characteristics as it is summarize in table (1). Attempt for identification of isolates to species level was performed by sugar fermentation profile of human strain and was compared with sugar fermentation scheme [21] shown in table (2), as a classical differentiation procedure [31].

Test	Bacterial isolate												
	A2	A4	A6	A9	A11	A20	A22	A23	A24	A26	A27	A28	A29
Gram Stain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Catalase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oxidase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gelatinase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Motility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate reductase	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	±	±	-	-	+	+
Arginine hydrolysis	+	±	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Bile salt (Na- taurocholate) 2%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NaCl 6.5%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gas	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-

Table (1): Biochemical characteristics of Lactobacillus isolates.

(+ Positive reaction, - negative reaction,  $\pm$  variable reaction

Table (2): Sugars fermentation	profile of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates.
--------------------------------	---

Sugar		Bacterial isolate											
	A2	A4	A6	A9	A11	A20	A22	A23	A24	A26	A27	A28	A29
Glucose	+	+	±	+	+	±	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Galactose	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
Lactose	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Arabinose	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+
Mannitol	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	±	-

DOI: 10.9790/3008-1303048897

Maltose	+	+	±	+	+	±	+	+	±	+	+	+	+
Raffinose	±	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	±	±	+	+
Soribitol	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
Sucrose	±	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	+	+	+	+	+
Xylose	±	+	±	+	+1	±	±	-	+1	-	±	-	+
Suggested species	L. brevis	L. plantarum	L. paracasei	L. plantarum	L. paracasei	L. paracasei	L. reuteri	L. acidophilus	L. salivarious	L. paracasei	L. paracasei	L. fermentum	L. brevis

(+ Positive reaction, - negative reaction,  $\pm$  variable reaction)

Traditionally *Lactobacillus* has been identified on the basis of cell and colony morphology, biochemical analysis, and the ability to utilize various carbohydrates substrates. The application of these approaches have proved useful tools in the classification and identification of *Lactobacillus* to species level up to 80%. In the bases of sugar fermentation profile, seven different species were mainly detected, and these species are the most frequently identifies species in human GIT, *L. paracasei* was predominant (38.5%), followed by *L. plantarum*and *L. brevis*. This come in agreement with previous study has approved the prevalence of *L. paracasie* in breastfeeding while it was less prevalent in formula – fed infants GIT [32]. This suggests that the diet can affect the composition of infant's intestinal microbiota.

The antagonistic activities of *Lactobacillus* isolates were assessed by agar-well diffusion assay against test bacteria, *K. oxytoca* and *A. xylosoxidans*(figure 1).



Figure (1): Antagonistic activity of *Lactobacillus* isolates (in respect of inhibition zones) against *A. xylosoxidans*.

All isolates were able to inhibit the two tested bacteria in various degrees, with average inhibition zones  $26.93 \pm 1.93 - 12.23 \pm 0.67$  mm respectively. The isolate A9 was exhibited highest inhibition against *A. xylosoxidans*, while the isolate A20 was potent against *K. oxytoca*(table 3). Both isolates assumed to be potentially probiotic isolates. To ensure their identification, subsequently subjected to genotypic identification, the *16S rRNA* gene of the isolates was amplified and sequenced; DNA sequence was analyzed and compared with the basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST), in NCBI. The alignment result of isolate A9 revealed high matching with the universal strain (LBRH025) sequence which is recorded on NCBI as *Lactobacillus plantarums*pecies with its accessionnumber: HM101329.1at 99% query cover of 99% identify and 0% gaps (figure 2). While The alignment for the sequence of isolate A20 was revealed high matching with the universal strain (CAU5144) sequence which is recorded on NCBI as *Lactobacillus paracasei* with its accession number: MF423812.1 at 100% query cover of 99% identify and 0% gaps (figure 3).

Phenotypic methods have been most commonly used for the identification of LAB, but more recently, molecular techniques such as *16S rRNA* sequencing have been developed, enabling a more consistent and accurate identification of individual strains. Other promising identification tools include partial *rRNA* gene

sequencing for accurate identification [33]. *L. plantarum* is one of the most important and versatile species has many applications in the food and pharmaceutical industries. It is well known as bacteriocinogenic species that are abundant in their productivity of plasmide – encoded plantaricin [34]. Plantaricin LpU4 was active against several pathogens with various antibiotic-resistance phenotypes including a methicillin-resistant strain [35]. *L. paracasei* is natural human fecal isolate exhibits antibacterial activity against various pathogenic microorganisms (*Bacillus, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus*), including gram-negative bacteria *Salmonella* and *Pseudomonas*. In addition, *L. paracasei* was found to be able to control the overgrowth of pathogen *S. aureus*[36]. Therefore, it would be of interest to isolate these species and assesse the antimicrobial activity against opportunistic strains.

<b>Table (3):</b> Antagonistic activity of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates in respect of inhibition zones (mm) against A.
xylosoxidans and K. oxytoca

Lactobacillus Isolate	Mean ± SE	E (mm)
	A. xylosoxidans	K. oxytoca
A2	$21.56\pm2.58$	$12.93 \pm 1.24$
A4	$18.83\pm3.08$	$13.13 \pm 1.41$
A6	$21.73 \pm 5.35$	$15.46 \pm 1.58$
A9	$26.93 \pm 1.93$	$19.90 \pm 6.14$
A11	$16.88 \pm 2.55$	$16.80 \pm 3.42$
A20	$15.26 \pm 1.79$	$23.20 \pm 1.91$
A22	$19.56 \pm 1.46$	$15.16 \pm 1.78$
A24	$14.30 \pm 1.28$	$20.10\pm0.98$
A26	$12.23\pm0.76$	$12.23 \pm 1.32$
A27	$19.40\pm0.25$	$13.03 \pm 1.29$
A28	$19.70\pm0.17$	$13.26 \pm 1.7$
A29	$12.97 \pm 1.44$	$14.73 \pm 2.74$
LSD value	6.791 *	7.400 *
	* (P<0.05).	

Score	Expect	Identities	Gaps	Strand
1635 bits(885)	0.0	895/899(99%)	4/899(0%)	Plus/Plus

Query 60	1	ACGTGCTTGCACTGAATGAGATTTTAACACGAAGTGAGTG
Sbjct 62	3	
Query 120	61	TGGGTAACCTGCCCAGAAGCAGGGGATAACACCTGGAAACAGATGCTAATACCGTATAAC
Sbjct 122	63	TGGGTAACCTGCCCAGAAGCAGGGGATAACACCTGGAAACAGATGCTAATACCGTATAAC
Query 180	121	AGAGAAAACCGCCTGGTTTTCTTTTAAAAGATGGCTCTGCTATCACTTCTGGATGGA
Sbjct 182	123	AGAGAAAAACCGCCTGGTTTTCTTTTTAAAAGATGGCTCTGCTATCACTTCTGGATGGA
Query 240	181	GCGGCGCATTAGCTAGTTGGTGAGGTAACGGCTCACCAAGGCGATGATGCGTAGCCGACC
Sbjct 242	183	GCGGCGCATTAGCTAGTTGGTGAGGTAACGGCTCACCAAGGCGATGATGCGTAGCCGACC

Query 300	241	TGAGAGGGTAATCGGCCACATTGGGACTGAGACACGGCCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGC
Sbjct 302	243	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
Query 360	301	AGTAGGGAATCTTCCACAATGGACGCAAGTCTGATGGAGCAACGCCGCGTGAGTGA
Sbjct 362	303	
Query 420	361	GGGTTTCGGCTCGTAAAGCTCTGTTGTTAAAGAAGAACGTGGGTGAGAGTAACTGTTCAC
Sbjct 422	363	
Query 480	421	CCAGTGACGGTATTTAACCAGAAAGCCACGGCTAACTACGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATA
Sbjct 482	423	
Query 540	481	CGTAGGTGGCAAGCGTTATCCGGATTTATTGGGCGTAAAGCGAGCG
Sbjct 542	483	
Query 600	541	AGTCTAATGTGAAAGCCTTCGGCTCAACCGAAGAAGTGCATTGGAAACTGGGAGACTTGA
Sbjct 602	543	
Query 660	601	GTGCAGAAGAGGACAGTGGAACTCCATGTGTAGCGGTGAAATGCGTAGATATATGGAAGA
Sbjct 662	603	
Query 719	661	ACACCAGTGGCGAA-GCGGCTGTCTGGTCTGTAACTGACGCTGAGGCTCGAAAGCATGGG
Sbjct 722	663	
Query 779	720	TAGCGAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGGTAGTCCATGCCGTAAACGATGATTACTAAGTGTTG
Sbjct 782	723	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
Query 839	780	GAGGGTTTCCGCCCTTCAGTGCTGCAGCTAACGCATTAAGTAATCCGCCT <mark>G</mark> GGGGAGTAC
Sbjct 841	783	

In vitro Assessment the Antagonistic Activity of Enteric Lactobacillus spp. against Opportunistic

Query 897	840	GACCGCAAGGTTGAAACTCAAAAGA-TTGACGGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGTGGAGCATG
Sbjct 899	842	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII

Figure (2): Alignment of isolate A9 with Lactobacillus plantarum strain LBRH025 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence. Sequence ID: HM101329.1Query: the target (studied) nucleotide sequence. Subject: nucleotide sequences within a database.

Score		Expect	Identities	Gaps	Strand
1421 bit	ts(769)	0.0	777/780(99%)	3/780(0%)	Plus/Plus
Query 60	1	GACGTGCTTGCACT	GAATGAGATTTTAACA	CGAAGTGAGTGGCGG.	ACGGGTGAGTAACA
Sbjct 91	32				
Query 120	61	GTGGGTAACCTGCC	CAGAAGCAGGGGATAA	CACCTGGAAACAGAT	GCTAATACCGTATA
Sbjct 151	92				
Query 180	121	CAGAGAAAACCGCC	TGGTTTTCTTTTAAAA	GATGGCTCTGCTATC.	ACTTCTGGATGGAC
Sbjct 211	152				
Query 240	181	CGCGGCGCATTAGC	TAGTTGGTGAGGTAAC	GGCTCACCAAGGCGA	TGATGCGTAGCCGA
Sbjct 271	212		 TAGTTGGTGAGGTAACO		
Query 300	241	CTGAGAGGGTAATC	GGCCACATTGGGACTG	AGACACGGCCCAGAC	TCCTACGGGAGGCA
Sbjct 331	272				
Query 360	301	CAGTAGGGAATCTT	CCACAATGGACGCAAG	ICTGATGGAGCAACG	CCGCGTGAGTGAAG
Sbjct 391	332	 CAGTAGGGAATCTT	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIII CCACAATGGACGCAAG	 ICTGATGGAGCAACG	 CCGCGTGAGTGAAG
Query 420	361	AGGGTTTCGGCTCG	TAAAGCTCTGTTGTTA	AAGAAGAACGTGGGT	GAGAGTAACTGTTC
Sbjct 451	392				
Query 480	421	CCCAGTGACGGTAT	TTAACCAGAAAGCCAC	GGCTAACTACGTGCC.	AGCAGCCGCGGTA

Sbjct 511	452	
Query 540	481	ACGTAGGTGGCAAGCGTTATCCGGATTTATTGGGCGTAAAGCGAGCG
Sbjct 571	512	
Query 600	541	AAGTCTAATGTGAAAGCCTTCGGCTCAACCGAAGAAGTGCATTGGAAACTGGGAGACTTG
Sbjct 631	572	
Query 660	601	AGTGCAGAAGAGGACAGTGGAACTCCATGTGTAGCGGTGAAATGCGTAGATATATGGAAG
Sbjct 691	632	
Query 720	661	AACACCAGTGGCGAAGGCGGCTGTCTGGTCTGTAACTGACGCTGAGGCTCGAAAGCAT <mark>G</mark> G
Sbjct 750	692	
Query 780	721	GGTAGCGAACAGGATTAGATACCCTGG <mark>G</mark> TAGTCCATG <mark>C</mark> CCGTAAACGATGATTACTAAGT
Sbjct 808	751	

# Figure (3): Alignment of isolate A20 with Lactobacillus paracasei strain CAU5144 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence Sequence ID: MF423812.1Query: the target (studied) nucleotide sequence. Subject: nucleotide sequences within a database.

The Lactobacillus isolates were isolated from infant feces, it means they are GIT associated microbiota, this site in healthy human induce the beneficial bacteria to production and secretion variety of antibacterial substances, it was reported in previous study that 99% of human associated lactobacilli make at least one of antimicrobial substance [37]. In vitro antagonistic behavior of Lactobacillus considered to be multifactorial, fundamentally due to accumulation of many primary and secondary metabolites like; H2O2, ethanol, organic acids (lactic and acetic acids), bacteriocins and bacteriocins – like peptide products [9, 10]. The production of organic acids in particular lactic acid, from fermentation of hexoses, decreases milieu pH, the concomitant reduction in pH of microenvironment and accumulation of lipophilic organic acids results in broad - spectrum inhibition activity against Gram- positive and Gram-negative bacteria [38]. Lipophilic acids antagonistic effects against of many potential pathogenic bacteria attributed to the penetration of microbial cellular membranes and intracellular dissociate to produce hydrogen ions, which interfere with essential metabolic functions. Such as the enzymatic activity, membrane permeability and bioavailability of some nutrients which depends on ionic balance [39]. Lactobacillus also is capable of producing antimicrobial compounds such as, bacteriocins and bacteriocins- like substances. These compounds are also responsible for the anti - microbial efficacy. Bacteriocins are biologically active protein moieties with bacteriocidal mode of action [40]. Bacteriocins gain entry into the target cells by recognizing specific cell surface receptor then kill the cell by forming ion – permeable channel in the cytoplasmic membrane, by nonspecific degradation of cellular DNA, inhibiting the protein biosynthesis through the specific cleavage of 16S rRNA, or by cell lysis [41]. Most of reported Lactobacillusbacteriocins fall into class 1 bacteriocins (lantobiotics). The antibacterial activity of lantobiotics based on interaction with the bacterial membrane, they binds specifically to phosphoethanolamine which results in inhibition of phospholipase A2 and various other cellular functions. Most of bacteriocins 1 dissipates the proton motive force (PMF) of target cells, via pore formation [42].

#### **IV.** Conclusion

Potentially probiotic *Lactobacillus* strains have emerged as great alternatives to chemicals and antibiotics in the field's therapy and have demonstrated antimicrobial activities against vast array of pathogens. In the current era of antibiotic resistance, probiotic lactobacilli and their bioactive products may be the remedy for choice to cure opportunistic strains. Therefore, more focused research studies need to be conducted to include in vitro and in vivo analyses, animal model studies and human trials, in order to validate health claims, and to ensure the safety and efficacy of *L. plantarum L. paracasei*.

#### References

- [1]. Parker, R.B. (1974). Probiotics, the other half of the antibiotics story. AnimNutrHealth. 29: 4-8.
- [2]. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization FAO/WHO. (2002). Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food. Joint FAO/WHO Working Group on Drafting Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food; London, Ontario, Canada.
- [3]. Litvak, Y.; Byndloss, M.X.; Tsolis, R.M. and Ba" umler, A.J. (2017). DysbioticProteobacteria expansion: a microbial signature of epithelial dysfunction. CurrOpin Microbiol. 39: 1–6.
- [4]. Sun, Z.; Harris, H.M.; Mccann, A.; Guo, C. and Argimón, S. (2015). Expanding the biotechnology potential of lactobacilli through comparative genomics of 213 strains and associated genera.NatCommun. 6:8322.
- [5]. Cenit, M.C.; Olivares, M.; Codoñer-Franch, P. and Sanz, Y. (2015). Intestinal Microbiota and Celiac Disease: Cause, Consequence or Co-Evolution?Nutrients. 7(8):6900-23.
- [6]. Gómez-Llorente, C.; Muóoz, S. and Gil, A. (2010). Role of Toll-like receptors in the development of immunotolerance mediated by probiotics. ProcNutr Soc. 69: 381–389.
- [7]. Stetinova, V.; Smetanova, L.; Kvetina, J.; Svoboda, Z.; Zidek, Z. and Tlaskalova-Hogenova, H. (2010). Caco-2 cell monolayer integrity and effect of probiotic Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 components.NeuroEndocrinolLett. 31: 51–56.
- [8]. Fujiwara, S.; Hashiba, H.; Hirota, T. and Forstner, J.F. (2001). Inhibition of the binding of enterotoxigenicEscherichia coli Pb176 to human intestinal epithelial cell line HCT-8 by an extracellular protein fraction containing BIF of Bifidobacterium longumSBT2928: suggestive evidence of blocking of the binding receptor gangliotetraosylceramide on the cell surface. Int J Food Microbiol. 67: 97– 106.
- [9]. O'Hanlon, D.E.; Moench, T.R. and Cone, R.A. (2011). In vaginal fluid, bacteria associated with bacterial vaginosis can be suppressed with lactic acid but not hydrogen peroxide, BMC Infect Dis. 11:200.
- [10]. Haque, S.Z. and Haque, M. (2017). The ecological community of commensal, symbiotic, and pathogenic gastrointestinal microorganisms an appraisal. ClinExp Gastroenterol. 10: 91–103.
- [11]. Bleich A1, Kirsch P, Sahly H, Fahey J, Smoczek A, Hedrich HJ, Sundberg JP. 2008, Klebsiellaoxytoca: opportunistic infections in laboratory rodents, Lab Anim;42(3):369-75
- [12]. K. Lertpiriyapong, M.T. Whary, S. Muthupalani, J.L. Lofgren, E.R. Gamazon, Y. Feng, Z. Ge, T.C. Wang, J.G. Fox, 2014, Gastric colonisation with a restricted commensal microbiota replicates the promotion of neoplastic lesions by diverse intestinal microbiota in the Helicobacter pylori INS-GAS mouse model of gastric carcinogenesis, Gut,63(1):54–63.
- [13]. Von Tesmar, A., Hoffmann, M, Abou, AFayad<sup>†</sup>, Hüttel, S. Schmitt, V, Jennifer Herrmann, J, and Müller, R.2018, Biosynthesis of the Klebsiellaoxytoca Pathogenicity Factor Tilivalline: Heterologous Expression, in Vitro Biosynthesis, and Inhibitor Development. ACS Chem. Biol.,13 (3): 812–819.
- [14]. Alexander Sheh and James G Fox. 2013. The role of the gastrointestinal microbiome in Helicobacter pylori pathogenesis. Gut Microbes; 4(6): 505–531.
- [15]. Hansen, C.R.; Pressler, T.; Jensen, P.Ø.; Bjarnsholt, T.; Høiby, N. (2010). Inflammation in Achromobacterxylosoxidans infected cystic fibrosis patients. J Cyst Fibros.9(1):51-58.
- [16]. Tanaka, K.; Fujiya, M.; Sakatani, A.; Fujibayashi, S.; Nomura, Y.; Ueno, N. (2017). Second-line therapy for Helicobacter pylori eradication causing antibiotic-associated hemorrhagic colitis. Ann ClinMicrobiolAntimicrob. 16:54.
- [17]. F. Aviles-Jimenez, F. Vazquez-Jimenez, R. Medrano-Guzman, A. Mantilla, and J. Torres, 2014, Stomach microbiota composition varies between patients with non-atrophic gastritis and patients with intestinal type of gastric cancer, Sci Rep.; 4:4202-4208
- [18]. M.E. Martin, S. Bhatnagar, M.D. George, Bruce J. Paster, Don R. Canfield, Jonathan A. Eisen, Jay V. Solnick, 2013, The impact of Helicobacter pylori infection on the gastric microbiota of the rhesus macaque, PLoS One;8(10) :76375.
- [19]. Marth, E.P. and Steele, J.L. (2001). Applied dairy microbiology. Second edition Marcel Dekker, Inc.:332.
- [20]. Mirlohi, M.; Soleimanian-Zad, S.; Sheikh-Zeiondin and Fazeli, H. (2008). Enumeration of Lactobacilli in the Fecal Flora of Infant Using Two Different Modified de-ManRogosa Sharpe Media under Aerobic and Anaerobic Incubation. PJBS 11(6):866-881.
- [21]. Kandler, O. and Weiss, N. (1986) Genus Lactobacillus. In Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Vol. 2.edited by Sneath, P.H. A.; Mair, N.S. and Hold, J.G., William and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, USA.
- [22]. Ołdak, A.; Zielińska, D.;Rzepkowska, A. and Kołożyn-Krajewska, D. (2017). Comparison of Antibacterial Activity of Lactobacillusplantarum Strains Isolated from Two Different Kinds of Regional Cheeses from Poland: Oscypek and Korycinski Cheese. BioMed Res. Int. 6820369: 10.
- [23]. Namwong, S.; Tanasupawat, S.; Smitinont, T.; Visessanguan, W.; Kudo, T. and Itoh, T. (2005). Isolation of LentibacillussalicampistrainsandLentibacillusjuripiscarius sp. nov.from fish sauce in Thailand. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 55: 315–320
- [24]. SAS. (2012). Statistical analysis system, user's guide. Statistical. Version 9.1th ed. SAS. Inst. Inc. Cary.N.C. USA.
- [25]. Bezirtzoglou, E.; Tsiotsias, A. and Welling G.W. (2011) Microbiota profile in feces of breast- and formula-fed newborns by using florescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Anaerobe. (6):478-82.
- [26]. Fallani, M.; Young, D.; Scott, J.; Norin, E.; Amarri, S.; Adam, R.; Aguilera, M.; Khanna, S.; Gil, A.; Edwards, C.A.; Doré, J. and Other Members of the INFABIO Team. (2010). Intestinal microbiota of 6-week-old infants across Europe: geographic influence beyond delivery mode, breast- feeding, and antibiotics. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol.Nutr. 51(1): 77–84.
- [27]. Medjaoui I, Rahmani B, Talhi I M, Mahammi F, Moghtit, F. 2016. Isolation and Characterization of Lactic Acid Bacteria from Human Milk and Newborn Feces.J of Applid and pure Microbiol.;10(4)2613- 2620.
- [28]. JuditSüleI; TímeaKõrösiII; Attila HuckerII; LászlóVargaI, 2014.Evaluation of culture media for selective enumeration of bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria. Braz. J. Microbiol; 45(3): 1023-1030 ).

- Ammor, M.S.; Flórez, A.B. and Mayo, B. (2007). Antibiotic resistance in non-enterococcal lactic acid bacteria and [29]. bifidobacteria.FoodMicrobiol. 24(6):559-70.
- [30]. Gueimonde, M.; Sanchez, B.; G de Los Reves-Gavilán, C. and Margolles A. (2013). Antibiotic resistance in probiotic bacteria.FrontMicrobiol. 4:202.
- [31].
- Carr, F.J.; Chill, D. and Maida, N. (2002). The lactic acid bacteria literature survey. CriticalRev.Microbiol. 28(4):281-370. Bendali, F.; Madi, N. and Sadoun, D. (2011). Beneficial effects of a strain of Lactobacillus [32]. paracasei subsp. paracaseiin Staphylococcus aureus-induced intestinal and colonic injury. Int J Infect Dis. 15(11): 787-794.
- Khalid, K. (2011), An overview of lactic acid bacteria. Int. J. Biosci., 1, 1–13. [33].
- Gupta A, Tiwari SK (2014) Plantaricin LD1: a bacteriocin produced by food isolate of Lactobacillus plantarum LD1. [34]. ApplBiochemBiotechnol 172:3354-3362.
- [35]. Zhu X, Zhao Y, Sun Y, Gu Q (2014) Purification and characterisation of plantaricin ZJ008, a novel bacteriocin against Staphylococcus spp. from Lactobacillus plantarum ZJ008. Food Chem 165:216-223).
- [36]. Lozo J, Maja V, Strahinic I, and Topisirovic L. 2004. Characterization and Antimicrobial Activity of Bacteriocin 217 Produced by Natural Isolate Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei BGBUK2-16.J. of Food Protection, 67 (12): 2727-2734.
- Shokryazdan, P.; FaselehJahromi, M.; Liang, J.B.; Kalavathy, R.; Sieo, C. C. and Ho, Y.W. (2016). Safety Assessment of Two [37]. New Lactobacillus Strains as Probiotic for Human Using a Rat Model. PLoS ONE. 11(7):e0159851.
- [38]. Gautam, N. and Sharma, N. (2015). A study on characterization of new bacteriocin produced from a novel strain of Lactobacillus spicheri G2 isolated from Gundruk- a fermented vegetable product of North East India: A novel bacteriocin production from Lactobacillus spicheri G2. Journal of Food Science and Technology, 52(9), 5808-5816.
- [39]. Pandey, K.R., Naik, S.R., and Vakil, B.V. 2015. Probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics- a review. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 52(12), 7577-7587.
- [40]. Hsu, S.T., Breukink, E., Tischenko, E., Lutters, M.A., de Kruijff, B., Kaptein, R., Bonvin, A.M., van Nuland, N.A. (2004). The nisin-lipid II complex reveals a pyrophosphate cage that provides a blueprint for novel antibiotics. Nat StrucMolBiol, 11, 963-967.
- [41]. Perez, R.H., Zendo, T., Sonomoto, K. (2014). Novel bacteriocins from lactic acid bacteria (LAB): various structures and applications. Microbial Cell Factories, 13, (Suppl1): S3.
- Oppegard, C., Rogne, P., Emanuelsen, L., Kristiansen, P.E., Fimland, G., Nissen-Meyer, J. (2007). The two-peptide class II [42]. bacteriocins: structure, production, and mode of action. J MolMicrobiolBiotechnol, 13, 210-219.

Amal I. JaddoandShadan A. Al Wendawi "In Vitro Assessment the Antagonistic Activity of Enteric Lactobacillus Spp. against opportunistic BacteriaIOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences (IOSR-JPBS) 13.3 (2018): 88-97.

\_\_\_\_\_