PP 30-37

www.iosrjournals.org

"The Effect of Credit Risk on Returns of Select Public & Private Scheduled Commercial Banks In India."

Prof. Shitalp. Bhusare, Dr. Smita Shukla, Dr. Rajvardhanm. Indi

Assistant Professor Sinhgad Institute of Business Administration & Research Kondhwa (Bk), Pune-48 Professor & I/c Director Alkesh Dinesh Mody Institute for Financial & Management Studies Kalina, Santacruz East, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400098

Professor Sinhgad Institute of Business Administration & Research Kondhwa (Bk), Pune-48

Abstract: This paper aims to analyze the impact of credit risk on profitability of select Scheduled Commercial Banks in India. The population was divided into two clusters of scheduled commercial banks comprising of nationalized banks and private sector banks.

The researchers have used a two-stage cluster sampling method for the selection of the sample. Five banks each, from both the clusters have been selected for the study. Thus, the total sample size is 10. The five public sector banks and five private sector banks are selected for study. The annual report in the duration from 2009-10 to 2015-16 of each bank are used in the analysis of the data. Therefore, there are total 70 observations (10 banks* 7 years) used in the quantitative analysis.

Two dependent variables ROE and ROI are considered for measuring profitability, whereas credit-deposit ratio, secured advances and term loans to total advances, capital adequacy ratio, gross NPA, Net NPA, are considered for measuring credit risks.

Keywords: Credit risk; Scheduled Commercial Banks; Profitability; Non-performing Assets; ROE; ROI

I. Introduction

Commercial banks play an important role in building the economy of any country; because of their role in the economic system through lending and funding projects. (Fredrick, 2012). In his study he stated that, risk is the element of uncertainty or possibility of loss that may prevail in any business transaction, in any place, in any mode and at any time. Risks can be broadly categorized as Credit Risk, Operational Risk, Market Risk and Other Risk. In essence of lending, banks are exposed to credit risk, this is the risk that a customer likely to default in repaying the money that is lent to him by the bank, and hence there is a need for every bank to manage such risk in the process of giving out loans to their customers.

(Jackson, 2011)in his research mentioned that, Credit risk management is a very important area for the banking sector and there are manydevelopments taken placein financial institutions related to this aspect. Credit worth is considered as a key parameter of financial health and soundness of financial institutions particularly the banks. In a lender's portion, losses stem from outright default due to inability or unwillingness of a customer or counterparty to meet commitments in relation to lending, trading, settlement and other financial transactions. In the respect of credit risk, Basel II norms also adopted different credit risk management techniques. The major focus was to improve the quality of credit risk management without affecting the competitiveness of the banks. Over the last 10 years, the quality of the credit portfolios in banks worldwide stayed comparatively stable until 2007-08 financial crisis. Since then the quality of bank assets declined largely because of the global downturn.

Credit risk may take the following forms:

- In the case of lending: Principal and / or interest amount may remain overdue and unpaid.
- In the case of guarantees or letter of credit: funds may not be forthcoming from the constituent in order toeffectively discharge the liability.
- In the case of treasury operation: the payment streams due from counterparties under the respective contracts may not be forthcoming or ceases to be received.
- In the case of securities trading business, funds/ securities settlement may not be effected by the respective agencies.
- In the case of cross-border exposure: The availability and free transfer of foreign currency funds may either cease or several restrictions may be imposed by the sovereign aslegal implications.

Therefore, credits in the banking sector are extremely important, as they are likely cause a serious impact on the profitability of the banks.

1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT

An assumption made in the study isthat, if the framework of credit risk management is sound, the profit level will be satisfactory and vice versa. In the recent years, Nonperforming Asset (NPA) is one of the major challenges for banks in India. Over-riding NPAs impact the performance of banks and create high levels of stressed assets. An enormous level of NPAs suggests a very high probability of a large number of credit defaults that affectsboth; the profitability and net-worth of banks and alsoerodes the value of the asset. The adverse effect on the size of the balance sheet of banks witnessed since 2011-12 continued until 2015-16. It also means the decline in credit growth showing slowdown in industrial credit off-take, poor earnings growth reported by the corporate sector resulting in risk aversion and cautious approach on part of banks owing to rising NPAs.

It is also a matter of investigation to observe how banks in India monitor and assess credit risk. Credit risk management being a very multifaceted issue, requires a qualitative study supplemented with quantitative analysis. Therefore, the researchers have used annual reports from 2009-10 to 2015-16 of each bank in the sample to draw qualitative inferences and quantitative analysis. The five public sector banks were State Bank of India, Punjab National Bank, Central Bank of India, Union Bank of India and Bank of Baroda while five private sector banks are ICICI Bank, HDFC, Axis Bank, Yes bank and Kotak Mahindra Bank are selected for study.

1.2 OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The primary objective in the study is to analyze the impact of credit risk on profitability of the select scheduled commercial banks.

Secondary Objectives

- a) To assess the impact of credit quality on profitability of selected scheduled commercial banks in India; and
- b) To examine the impact cost of funds on profitability of scheduled commercial banks in India.
- c) To determine the extent to which non-performing loans affect the selected scheduled commercial banks profitability in India.
- d) To determine the extent to which CAR affect the profitability of selected scheduled commercial banks.

1.3 HYPOTHESIS

- 1. H₀: Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets are not the predictors of Return on Equity (ROE).
- H_1 : Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets are the significant predictors of Return on Equity (ROE).
- 2. H₀: Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets are not the predictors of Return on Investments (ROI).
- 3. H₁: Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets are the significant predictors of Return on Assets (ROI).

II. Literature Review

2.1 Credit Risk:

(Mrs. Somanadevi Thiagarajan, 2011)in their study on 'An Analysis of Determinants of Profitability in Public and Private Sector Banks in India' tried to evaluate through correlation, multiple regression and factor analysis the determinants of profitability in both categories of banks. In the analysis authors conclude that cost of borrowing and NPA have a strong correlation with profitability. ROA is used as a measure of profitability.

(**Grier, 2007**)in his study on '*Credit Analysis of Financial Institutions*' concluded that profitability ratios are often used in a high esteem as the indicators of credit analysis in banks, since profitability is associated with the results of management performance, ROE and ROA are the most commonly used ratios and the quality level of ROE is between 15% and 30%, for ROA is at least 1%.

(Felix, 2008)intheir research on 'Bank Performance and Credit Risk Management' investigated the relationship between bank performance and credit risk management. It could be inferred from their findings that return on equity (ROE) and return on assets (ROA) both measuring profitability were inversely related to the ratio of non-performing loan to total loan of financial institutions, which adversely affects on profitability.

(Ramachandran, 2011) conducted a study of 22 public sector and 15 private sector banks to predict the determinants of the credit risk in the Indian Commercial banking sector by using an econometric model. The outcome of the study is the non-performing assets had a strong and statistically significant positive influence on the current credit risk positions of the banks. They opined that the problem of NPA is not only affecting the banks but also the whole economy due to the transmission effects.

In the present study, ROI and ROE are used as indicators of profitability and its relationship with different bank specific parameters will be helpful in assessment of credit risk.

III. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Methodology

This research involved quantitative research. The researcher had adopted this method of research because it allows the researcher to be more objective about findings of the descriptive research and also enables to test hypotheses in experiments because of its ability to measure data using experimental research statistics.

3.2 Population of the Study

The Population of the study comprises of all the scheduled commercial banks in India. According to Reserve Bank of India Act 1934, Scheduled Commercial Banks are included in the second schedule.

3.3 Sampling Design

A two-stage cluster sampling denotes a primary unit from the cluster is randomly chosen and thereafter elements from the primary unit have been randomly selected. Out of 20 public sector banks, which includes SBI and its associates (now merged), and other nationalized banks and 31 private sector banks. Five each from both the clusters have been selected based on market capitalization for the study. Thus, the total sample size is 10. The five public sector banks selected are State Bank of India, Punjab National Bank, Canara Bank, Union Bank of India and Bank of Baroda while five private sector banks are ICICI Bank, HDFC, Axis Bank, Yes bank and Kotak Mahindra Bank. Annual reports from 2009-10 to 2015-16 of each selected bank are used to collect the secondary data. Therefore, there are total 70 observations (10 banks* 7 years) used in the quantitative analysis.

IV. Data Analysis And Interpretation

4.1 Credit Risk Measures

a) **Purpose:** To study if Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets predict Return on Equity (ROE).

Statistical test: Step wise multiple regression analysis.

H₀: Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets are not the predictors of Return on Equity (ROE).

H₁: Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets are the significant predictors of Return on Equity (ROE).

Level of significance $\alpha = 0.05$

	Descriptive Statistics													
	N	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Variance							
Capital Adequacy Ratio	70	10.38	10.22	20.60	14.7376	2.67311	7.146							
Gross NPAs to Gross Advances	70	9.79	.20	9.99	2.8773	2.17641	4.737							
Net NPA	70	8.60	.01	8.61	1.4610	1.56341	2.444							
Ratio of term loans to total advances	70	48.88	36.00	84.88	60.2824	14.33760	205.567							
Ratio of secured advances to total advances	70	48.90	45.22	94.12	80.4699	8.05285	64.848							
Cost of funds	70	3.60	4.49	8.09	6.0579	.92379	.853							
Return on Equity	70	38.50	-13.48	25.02	14.7741	7.31323	53.483							
Valid N (listwise)	70													

				Model	Summary	,				
				Std.		Change	Statist	ics		
			Adjusted	Error of	R					
		R	R	the	Square	F			Sig. F	Durbin-
Model	R	Square	Square	Estimate	Change	Change	dfl	df2	Change	Watson
1	.913*	.834	.818	3.11979	.834	52.692	6	63	.000	1.215

a. Predictors: (Constant), Cost of funds, Net NPA, Ratio of secured advances to total advances, Ratio of term loans to total advances, Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPAs to Gross Advances

b. Dependent Variable: Return on equity

ANOVA^a

Mo	odel	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	3077.160	6	512.860	52.692	.000 ^b
1	Residual	613.186	63	9.733		
	Total	3690.346	69			

a. Dependent Variable: Return on equity

b. Predictors: (Constant), Cost of funds, Net NPA, Ratio of secured advances to total advances, Ratio of term loans to total advances, Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPAs to Gross Advances

Model	Unstandard Coefficient		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	ig. 95.0% Confidence		
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
1 (Constant)	34.567	6.777		5.101	.000	21.024	48.110	
Capital Adequacy Ratio	168	.263	062	639	.525	695	.358	
Gross NPAs to Gross Advances	-1.091	.370	325	-2.951	.004	-1.830	352	
Net NPA	-3.103	.546	663	-5.679	.000	-4.195	-2.011	
Ratio of term loans to total advances	075	.048	146	-1.554	.125	171	.021	
Ratio of secured advances to total advances	056	.058	062	972	.335	172	.059	
Cost of funds	100	.467	013	215	.830	-1.033	.832	

b) Purpose: To study if Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets predict Return on Equity (ROI).

Statistical test: Step wise multiple regression analysis

H₀: Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets are not the predictors of Return on Investments (ROI).

H₁: Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of Term Loans to Total Assets and Ratio of Secured Advances to Total Assets are the significant predictors of Return on Assets (ROI).

Level of significance $\alpha = 0.05$

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Variance
Capital Adequacy Ratio	70	10.38	10.22	20.60	14.7376	2.67311	7.146
Gross NPAs to Gross Advances	70	9.79	.20	9.99	2.8773	2.17641	4.737
Net NPA	70	8.60	.01	8.61	1.4610	1.56341	2.444
Ratio of term loans to total advances	70	48.88	36.00	84.88	60.2824	14.33760	205.567
Ratio of secured advances to total advances	70	48.90	45.22	94.12	80.4699	8.05285	64.848
Cost of funds	70	3.60	4.49	8.09	6.0579	.92379	.853
Return on Investments	70	3.23	5.77	9.00	7.4504	.71915	.517
Valid N (listwise)	70						

Model Summary^b

				G.1. T	Change Statistics						5
				Std. Error							Durbin
			Adjusted	of the	R Square				Sig.	F	-
Model	R	R Square	R Square	Estimate	Change	F Change	df1	df2	Change		Watson

1	.662ª	.439	.385	.56382	.439	8.209	6	63	.000	1.305	l

a. Predictors: (Constant), Cost of funds, Net NPA, Ratio of secured advances to total advances, Ratio of term loans to total advances, Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPAs to Gross Advances

ANOVA^a

М	odel	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	15.658	6	2.610	8.209	.000 ^b
	Residual	20.027	63	.318		
	Total	35.685	69			

a. Dependent Variable: Return on Investmentsb. Predictors: (Constant), Cost of funds, Net NPA, Ratio of secured advances to total advances, Ratio of term loans to total advances, Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross NPAs to Gross Advances

Mo	odel	Unstanda Coefficie		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% (for B	Confidence Interva
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	(Constant)	8.017	1.225		6.546	.000	5.569	10.464
	Capital Adequacy Ratio	073	.048	271	-1.534	.130	168	.022
	Gross NPAs to Gross Advances	.034	.067	.104	.514	.609	099	.168
	Net NPA	.019	.099	.042	.194	.847	178	.216
	Ratio of term loans to total advances	014	.009	288	-1.664	.101	032	.003
	Ratio of secured advances to total advances	013	.010	148	-1.264	.211	034	.008
	Cost of funds	.383	.084	.492	4.538	.000	.214	.551

Ba nk Na me			Return on Equity	Return on Invest ment	Capital Adequacy Ratio	Gross NPAs to Gross Advances	Net NPA	Ratio of term loans to total advances	Ratio of secured advances to total advances	Cost of Funds
	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	542	.425	577	816*	893**	.198	133
		Sig. (1- tailed)		.104	.171	.088	.013	.003	.335	.388
1.		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
SB I	Return on Investme	Pearson Correlatio n	542	1	007	.891**	.741*	.391	.433	.754*
	nt	Sig. (1- tailed)	.104		.494	.004	.028	.193	.166	.025
		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
2. B A	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	818 [*]	.526	985**	- .998**	.301	671*	179
N K		Sig. (1- tailed)		.012	.113	.000	.000	.256	.049	.351
OF		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7

b. Dependent Variable: Return on Investments

B A	Return	Pearson Correlatio	818*		306	.814*	.816*	175	.739*	.341
R O	Investme nt	n Sig. (1-		1						
D A	III.	tailed)	.012		.252	.013	.013	.354	.029	.227
А	7	N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
3. C	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	686*	.740*	936**	- .953**	709 [*]	854**	542
A N		Sig. (1- tailed)		.044	.029	.001	.000	.037	.007	.104
Α		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
R A B	Return on Investme	Pearson Correlatio n	686*	1	782*	.425	.480	.956**	.947**	.849**
A N K	nt	Sig. (1- tailed)	.044		.019	.171	.138	.000	.001	.008
K		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
4. U NI	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	940**	.900**	819 [*]	- .847**	794*	831*	826*
O N		Sig. (1- tailed)		.001	.003	.012	.008	.017	.010	.011
В		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
A N K OF	Return on Investme	Pearson Correlatio n	940**	1	907**	.846**	.864**	.837**	.890**	.848**
IN DI	nt	Sig. (1- tailed)	.001		.002	.008	.006	.009	.004	.008
A		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
5. PU NJ	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	814*	.777*	938**	- .991**	.951**	669	128
A B		Sig. (1- tailed)		.013	.020	.001	.000	.001	.050	.393
N A		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
TI O N	Return on Investme	Pearson Correlatio n	814*	1	747*	.906**	.774*	848**	.823*	.646
A L	nt	Sig. (1- tailed)	.013		.027	.002	.021	.008	.011	.059
B A N K		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	.688*	665	906**	293	483	.932**	.449
6. IC		Sig. (1- tailed)		.044	.051	.002	.262	.136	.001	.156
IC I		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
B A N	Return on Investme	Pearson Correlatio n	.688*	1	569	622	217	337	.845**	.411
K	nt	Sig. (1- tailed)	.044		.091	.068	.320	.230	.008	.180
		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
7. H DF	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	.283	256	766 [*]	126	688*	.794*	.877**
C B		Sig. (1- tailed)		.269	.290	.022	.394	.044	.017	.005
Α		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
N K	Return on	Pearson Correlatio	.283	1	865**	541	.070	122	.631	.538

	Investme nt	n					[
	III	Sig. (1-tailed)	.269		.006	.105	.440	.397	.064	.107
		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	282	507	682*	- .859**	.499	.789*	283
8. A		Sig. (1- tailed)		.270	.123	.046	.007	.127	.017	.270
XI S		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
B A N	Return on Investme	Pearson Correlatio n	282	1	.092	.197	.396	629	277	.776*
K	nt	Sig. (1- tailed)	.270		.422	.336	.190	.065	.274	.020
		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
9. K O	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	612	.128	498	504	.812*	.773*	008
T A		Sig. (1- tailed)		.072	.392	.128	.125	.013	.021	.493
K M		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
A HI N	Return on Investme	Pearson Correlatio n	612	1	658	134	.029	859**	740*	.593
D R	nt	Sig. (1- tailed)	.072		.054	.388	.476	.007	.029	.080
A B A N K LT D		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
10.	Return on Equity	Pearson Correlatio n	1	.725*	285	530	593	761*	.337	.825*
Y ES		Sig. (1- tailed)		.033	.268	.110	.080	.024	.230	.011
В		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
A N K LT	Return on Investme	Pearson Correlatio n	.725*	1	583	.039	004	912**	.829*	.947**
D.	nt	Sig. (1- tailed)	.033		.085	.467	.496	.002	.011	.001
		N	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7

V. Findings

The regression analysis shows that the model is best fit for ROE as the R^2 value is 0.834. The significance value in ANOVA table is 0.000, which is less than 0.05, thus overall model is found to be significant rejecting the null hypothesis and alternate hypothesis is accepted. Therefore CAR, Gross NPA, Net NPA, Ratio of term loans to total advances, Ratio of secured advances to total advances and Cost of Funds are strong predictors of Return on Equity in all the banks. The regression model for ROI is somewhat weak as the R^2 value is 0.439. However, significance value in ANOVA table is 0.000 < 0.05 indicating significant relationship between Return on Investments and the independent variables selected. The correlation of the different factors with dependent variables ROE and ROI shows a marked difference in both clusters of the banks. The various banks chosen in the sample and their degree of correlation is as follows –

SBI: -ROE has a strong correlation with Net NPA and Term Loans/Total Advances while it is moderate with Gross NPA/Gross Advances. Gross NPA, Net NPA and Cost of funds have strong correlation with ROI.

Bank of Baroda: - Correlation of Gross and Net NPAs with ROE is strong while that of CAR and Secured/Total Advances is moderate. ROI shows strong correlation with Gross and Net NPA along with Secured/Total Advances.

Canara Bank: - All independent variables except Cost of Funds have a strong correlation with ROE. CAR, Term Loan/Total Advances, Secured/Total Advances & Cost of funds have a strong correlation with ROI.

Union Bank of India: - All independent variables have a strong correlation with both ROE and ROI.

Punjab National Bank: - All independent variables except Cost of Funds have a strong correlation with ROI however, ROE shows similar degree of correlation except with cost of funds.

ICICI Bank: -CAR, Gross NPAs and Secured/Total Advances reveal a strong correlation with ROE while only Secured/Total Advances have a strong correlation with ROI. Gross NPA has a moderate correlation with ROI.

HDFC Bank: - Gross NPAs, Secured/Total Advances and Cost of Funds have a strong correlation with ROE and it is moderate with Term Loans/Total Advances. ROI has a strong correlation with CAR and moderate with Gross NPAs, Secured/Total Advances and Cost of Funds.

Axis Bank: - ROE correlation with Gross & Net NPA and Secured/Total Advances is strong and the same is moderate with CAR. ROI has strong correlation with Cost of Funds and moderate with Term Loan/Total Advances.

Kotak Mahindra Bank: - Strong correlation of ROE with Term Loans/Total Advances, Secured/Total Advances and moderate with Net NPAs. In case of ROI it is strong with Term Loans/Total Advances, Secured/Total Advances and moderate with CAR and Cost of Funds.

Yes Bank: - Cost of Funds and Term Loans/Total Advances have a strong correlation with ROE and moderate correlation exists for Gross and Net NPA. ROI has a very strong correlation with Term Loans/total Advances, secured Loans/Total advances and Cost of Funds while it is moderate with CAR.

VI. Conclusion

From the above analysis, it can be concluded that the rising levels of Gross NPA, Net NPA are the most important factors affecting the profitability of both public and private sector banks. These factors are major elements of credit risk in banking system. Effective regulatory and legislative measures are essential to bring them within acceptable limits to improve the health of Indian banking system.

Bibliography

- [1] Annual reports of SBI, PNB, BOB, UBI, CBI, ICICI, HDFC, AXIS, Kotak Mahindra Bank and Yes Bank for 7 years from 2009-10 to 2015-16.
- [2] Bank Financial Management: a book by Indian Institute of Banking and Finance, Macmillan Publishers, Jan 2010, ISBN-10 no. 0230330460.
- [3] Barakat, A. (2009, July-Dec). Banks Basel II requirements regarding Internal Control, Field Study on Jordan Banks. Delhi Business Review, 10 (X No. 2).
- [4] Bhattacharya Hrishikesh, Banking Strategy, Credit Appraisal and Lending Decisions, second edition, oxford publication.
- [5] Felix, A. a. (2008). Bank Performance and Credit Risk Management. University of Skovde. Sweden: University of Skovde.
- [6] Fredrick, O. (2012). The Impact of Credit Risk Management on Financial Performance of Commercial Banks in kenya. DBA Africa Management Review, 3(1), 22-37.
- [7] Garg Gaurav, Kothari C R, Research Methodology methods and techniques (third edition), New Age International Publishers, Jan 2014.
- [8] Grier, W. A. (2007). Credit Analysis of Financial Institutions (Second ed.). United Kingdom: Euromoney International Investor Plc.
- [9] https://dbie.rbi.org.in/DBIE/dbie.rbi?site=statistics
- [10] Mrs. Somanadevi Thiagarajan, D. S. (2011, Oct). An Analysis of Determinants of Profitability in Public and Private Sector Banks in India. The International Journal's Research Journal of Social Science & Management, 01(06), 140-152. Retrieved from www.theinternationaljournal.org
- [11] Simone Varotto (2011), 'Liquidity Risk, Credit Risk, Market Risk and Bank Capital' International Journal of Managerial Finance' Vol.7, No.2, pp.134-152
- [12] Ramachandran, A. a. (2011). Credit Risk Determinants of Public and Private Sector Banks In India. European Journal of Economics(34), 78-88.