Perceptions of Executives in Implementation of IFRS in India- A Factor Analysis

Satyanarayana Gardasu¹ Prof. D. Suryachandra Rao²

¹Research Scholar (Ph.D.) Krishna University Machilipatnam, A.P. ²Dean, Faculty of Commerce & Mgt Krishna University Machilipatnam, A.P.

Abstract: The main purpose of the empirical research of the study is to evaluate the factors affecting the IFRS Implementation in India with the help of descriptive statistics and Factor analysis. This study based on primary data. The primary information collected from 40 respondent's through questionnaire from executives of Indian companies. The questionnaire covered the questions on various issues, challenges, and suggestions pertaining to implementation/adoption of IFRS. The Empirical findings of the study are there is anImpact of IFRS Implementation on the financial performance of Indian Companies by Using Descriptive Statistics, and the Factor analysis reveals that six factors whose cumulative % of Variance is 72.347 out of which from screen plot we see four are most important. One can conclude that the four factors (1, 2, 3 and 4) are influencing up to 59.733% in which questions 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23,22,24, 25, 26, 27, 10, 12, 28, 13 and 14 are present which should not be neglected because of that we are excluding three questions 11, 20 and 21. For population also it is likely to be same. Finally, it is recommended to Government, Companies, and ICAI, develop new steps for better improvement in Indian Financial Reporting System for accounting users throughout the world. **Keywords:** IFRS, Executives Perceptions, Factor Analysis

I. Introduction

The growing international shareholding and trade forced organizations and Chartered Accountants to design a common global accounting language. As a consequence, the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) was designed as a common global accounting language for business affairs so that company accounts are understandable and comparable across international boundaries. The IFRS is particularly important for companies that have dealings in several countries to reflect the true and fair view of the business affairs. Many countries use or are moving towards using the IFRS, which were established and maintained by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). The IFRS began as an attempt to harmonize accounting across the European Union and spread quickly the entire world.

II. The Need For And Importance Of The Study

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI) has announced that IFRS will be mandatory in India for financial statements for the periods beginning on or after 1st April 2016 in a phased manner. The ICAI has also stated that IFRS will be applied to companies above INR 1000 crore (INR 10 billion) from April 2011. Phase wise applicability details for different companies in India are as follows.

- (i) **In the first phase** companies included in Nifty Index 50 or BSE Index 30, and companies whose securities are listed on stock exchanges outside India and all other companies having a net worth of INR 1000 crore (10 billion) would prepare and present financial statements using Indian Accounting Standards converging with IFRS.
- (ii) **In the second phase** Companies, whether listed or not, having a net worth of more than INR 5 billion would convert their opening balance sheet as at 1st April 2013.
- (iii) In the third phase Listed companies having a net worth of INR 5 billion or less would convert their opening balance sheet as at 1st April 2014. Un-listed companies having a net worth of INR 5 billion or less would continue apply existing accounting standards, which might be modified from time to time.

The size of companies is measured in terms of net worth. In view of the above directions by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) and the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI), the study on IFRS is important to find out the impact of IFRS implementation or adoption on preparation of financial statements by Indian companies especially the companies which are operating in two or more countries and hence taken up. Based on the importance the adoption of IFRS, the following objectives were framed for the study. (www.mca.org.in)

III. Review Of Literature

Liu et al. (2011) observed that many respondents (80%) believed IFRS would facilitate consistent regulation, bring greater disclosure in the presentation of information regarding financial reporting and sustainability and corporate governance report would also be more transparent.

GlynisMilne., Dr. Eloisa Perez de Toledo. (2013) wrote an article on "A Stakeholder's Perspective on the Implications of IFRS and Fair Value Accounting on Valuation of Securities". They found that accuracy of a firm's valuation, increase confidence in the reliability and consequently improve the stakeholder's share price and market value. They become more versed in the requirements of fair valuation, the method will become easier to implement.

IV. Objectives Of The Study

The main objective of the study is to evaluate the factors determine the implementation of IFRS on Financial Statements of Indian companies.

However, in order to give direction to the study, the following specific objectives are set forth.

The specific objectives of the study are:

1. To assess the impact of IFRS implementation on Financial Performance of select companies; and

2. To analyze the issues and challenges of IFRS implementation in India.

V. Methodology

The study is based on primary and secondary data. The primary information collected through questionnaire from executives of Indian companies. The questionnaire covered the questions on various issues, challenges, and suggestions pertaining to implementation/adoption of IFRS. The term executives include those respondents having knowledge about International Financial Reporting Standards and the existing Indian Accounting Standards. For the purpose or research the target population of was executives divided into two categories: Auditors Accounting professionals working in companies (executives) The questionnaire also contains questions to find out the area of impact on profitability, Net worth, working capital,financial risk, and Investment risk, Depreciation and other functional areas. Another source of primary data is an interview with chartered accountants who gave their valuable comments and suggestion towards successful implementation of IFRS in India. These suggestions or comments were included in an appropriate context. Data collected using questionnaire were analysed through percentages, descriptive statistics, and Factor Analysis by using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS).

TABLE- 5.1

Profile of Respondents

	Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	male	34	85
	female	6	15
	Total	40	100
	B. Com, C.A.	18	45
Qualifications	B.Com, C.A, C.S	21	52.5
	B.Com, C.A, M.Com,	10	25
	B.Com, C.A, M.Com, M.B.A, Ph.D	1	2.5
	Total	40	100
	0-5 Years	10	25
Experience	6-15 Years	13	32.5
	16- 25 Years	13	32.5
	26 Above	4	10
	Total	40	100
Designation	(a)Auditor of Financial Statement	4	10
	(b)Preparation of Financial Statement	9	22.5
	(c) Both (a &b)	27	67.5
	Total	40	100

Descriptive Statistics Analysis (PART-A)

Table5.2: Descriptive Statistics of impact of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) Implementation on financial performance in Indian companies (N=40). (Questions from 10 to 28)

Table-5.2										
Q.NO.	Factors	(SA) (5)	(A) (4)	(DA) (3)	(SDA) (2)	(UD) (1)	Total	Mean	SD	CV
10	The adoption of IFRS helps improving transparency, comparability and usefulness of accounts to users	34	6	0	0	0	40	4.78	0.42	0.09
11	The adoption of IFRS improves the efficiency of capital market operations	29	11	0	0	0	40	4.75	0.44	0.09
12	IFRS is better than IAS and IndAS	0	5	25	6	4	40	2.23	1.03	0.46
13	The cost of implementation of IFRS is high as it requires additional/trained human resources	3	4	27	6	0	40	2.38	1.08	0.45
14	Implementation of IFRS is a complex task of financial reporting	0	8	27	3	2	40	2.28	0.96	0.42
15	IFRS provides full information and would impact on key performance indicators	31	7	2	0	0	40	4.73	0.60	0.13
16	Implementation of IFRS achieves the objectives of Financial Reporting	37	3	0	0	0	40	4.85	0.36	0.07

17	Implementation of IFRS improves the efficiency of financial reporting	36	4	0	0	0	40	4.83	0.38	0.08
18	Implementation of IFRS makes external financing easier.	31	9	0	0	0	40	4.78	0.42	0.09
19	Implementation of IFRS brings about volatility in financial reporting	0	5	26	9	0	40	2.00	0.88	0.44
20	The existing standards (not IFRS) bring about volatility /changes in financial reporting	0	2	29	9	0	40	1.95	0.68	0.35
21	The existing standards (IFRS) are of Tax driven in nature	0	0	29	8	3	40	1.80	0.46	0.26
22	The IFRS are of Tax driven in nature	0	1	26	12	1	40	1.78	0.62	0.35
23	Implementation of IFRS would be cumbersome	0	2	9	28	1	40	1.55	0.88	0.56
24	Fair Value Accounting (FVA) is more informative than Historical Cost Accounting (HCA)	24	13	2	1	0	40	4.63	0.63	0.14
25	Financial Statements based on FVA are more transparent than those prepared using HCA	31	8	1	0	0	40	4.73	0.72	0.15
26	FVA will enhance transparency for comparability	30	8	2	0	0	40	4.68	0.83	0.18
27	FVA limits the company's ability to manipulation their net income	8	27	0	5	0	40	3.93	1.07	0.27
28	FVA method is a complex method for financial reporting	2	4	24	9	1	40	2.40	1.28	0.53

INTERPRETATION

Nineteen questions were distributed to assess the benefits of IFRS implementation in Indian. The mean response of the nineteen questions under benefits of implementation of IFRS and its impact on India companies were more than 1.55 and the standard deviation was also less than 0.36, which indicates that the respondents perception were close to one another. Finally, it is revealed that on average the respondents agreed with the proposition that implementation of IFRS has many benefits in India. And also reveals that there is an impact of IFRS Implementation on financial performance of Indian Companies.

FACTOR ANALYSIS

PART - B

Factor analysis is a statistical method used to recount variability among observed, correlated variables in terms of a possibly lower number of unobserved variables called factors. The multiple observed variables are the main key concept of factor analysis because it has similar patterns of responses because they are all associated with a latent (i.e. not directly measured) variable. It allows researchers to investigate concepts that are not easily measured directly by reducing a large number of variables into a few interpretable underlying factors.40 questionnaire forms received by way of primary data collection were loaded into the SPSS software for the Initial analysis. Overall, there were 19 variables (Questionnaire), which were having an impact of IFRS implementation on the financial performance of the selected companies. However, to come out with a Discriminant Analysis output with all the 19 (Questionnaire), would lead to a very lengthy model. Tocounterthesame,theFactorAnalysiswasusedforthedatareduction.

FactorAnalysisResults

The study identified various factors influencing the financial performance of selected companies. These factors will determine theImplementation of IFRS key performance indicator, Fair Value Accounting, IFRS Financial Reporting,Cost Controllingetc. Factors are as follows:

TheKaiser-Meyer-Olkinistheevaluateofsamplingadequacy, which changes between 0 and 1. The values closer to 1 are better and the value of 0.6 is there commended minimum. The Bartlett's Test of Sphericity is the test for the null hypothesis that the correlation matrix has an identity matrix. Taking this into consideration, these tests provide the minimum standard to proceed for Factor Analysis.

Source: (shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in)

KMO and Bartlett's Test						
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy519						
Bartlett'sTestof	Approx. Chi-Square	337.459				
	df	171				
Sphericity	Sig.	.000				

Conclusion: Generally, if Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy is more than 0.60 we go for Factor Analysis but here it is less than 0.60. Also, it should have a significant value less than 0.05 for Bartlett's Test of Sphericity which is satisfied.Bartlett'sTestofSphericity Takinga95%levelofSignificance, α =0.05. Thep-value (Sig.) of. 000<0.05, there fore the Factor Analysis is valid. So if we go for factor analysis following are the results and interpretation. TheKaiser-Meyer Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett's Test measure of sampling adequacy were used to examine the appropriateness of Factor Analysis. The approximate of Chi-square is 337.459 with 171 degrees of freedom, which is significant at 0.05 Level of significance. The KMO statistic of 0.519 is also large (less than 0.50) Bartlett's Test of Sphericity is satisfied. Hence Factor Analysis is considered as an appropriate technique for further analysis of the data.

Communalities					
Statement	Initial	Extraction			
Q10. The adoption of IFRS helps improving transparency, comparability and usefulness of account to users.	1.000	.725			
Q11. The adoption of IFRS improves the efficiency of capital market operations	1.000	.804			
Q12. IFRS is better than IAS and Ind - AS		.522			
Q13. The Cost of implementation of IFRS is high as it requires additional/trained human resources.	1.000	.804			
Q14. Implementation of IFRS is a complex task of financial reporting.	1.000	.842			
Q15. IFRS provides full information and would impact on key performance indicators	1.000	.832			
Q16. Implementation of IFRS achieves the objectives of Financial Reporting	1.000	.776			
Q17.Implementation of IFRS improves the efficiency of financial reporting.	1.000	.757			

Table – 5.3

Q18. Implementation of IFRS makes external financing easier.	1.000	.661
Q19. Implementation of IFRS brings about volatility in in financial reporting.	1.000	.793
Q20. The existing standards (not IFRS) bring about volatility/changes in financial reporting.	1.000	.825
Q21. The existing standards (not IFRS) are of Tax driven in nature	1.000	.718
Q22. The IFRS are of Tax driven in nature.	1.000	.330
Q23. Implementation of IFRS would be cumbersome	1.000	.728
Q24 Fair Value Accounting (FVA) is more Informative than Historical Cost Accounting (HCA).	1.000	.794
Q25. Financial Statements based on FVA are more transparent than those prepared using HCA	1.000	.708
Q26. FVA will enhance transparency for comparability.	1.000	.738
Q27. FVA limits the company's ability to manipulate their net income.	1.000	.666
Q28. FVA method is a complex method for financial reporting.	1.000	.724

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

. E----lain d

Conclusion: In the above table if the extraction value is more one can say that most of the respondents are agreeing with that factor.

Table-5.4

Compo nt	oneInitial Ei	Initial Eigenvalues			on Sums of Squai	Rotation Sums c	
	Total	% of Varia	nceCumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total
1	3.922	20.644	20.644	3.922	20.644	20.644	3.348
2	3.076	16.191	36.835	3.076	16.191	36.835	2.979
3	2.438	12.834	49.669	2.438	12.834	49.669	2.973
4	1.912	10.064	59.733	1.912	10.064	59.733	1.832
5	1.212	6.380	66.112	1.212	6.380	66.112	1.586
6	1.185	6.234	72.347	1.185	6.234	72.347	1.966
7	.958	5.044	77.390				
8	.763	4.017	81.407				
9	.625	3.290	84.698				
10	.541	2.847	87.544				
11	.480	2.525	90.070				
12	.462	2.429	92.499				
13	.390	2.055	94.554				
14	.318	1.673	96.227				
15	.199	1.048	97.275				
16	.169	.890	98.166				
17	.160	.843	99.009				
18	.116	.609	99.618				
19	.073	.382	100.000				

To find out the influencing factors on the financial performance of IFRS implementation of select Indiar companies, factor analysis is used. It should be clear that the first few factors explain the relatively large amount of variance whereas subsequent factors explain only small amounts of variance. SPSS then extracts all factors with Eigen values greater than 1, which are six factors in the above table. First six components showinfluence 72.34 percent of cumulative variance on the financial performance of select Indian companies by using IFRS. The above analysis also indicates that higher values have more influence on the financial performance of IFRS implementation of selected companies.



Table -5.5 Component Matrix							
		Component					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Q23	826				.111	137	
Q16	.789	.151	269			219	
Q17	.684	.463	184		180		
Q18	.536		.173	.254	.527		
Q15	.528	311	.430	.186	.241	.422	
Q19	525	.395	320	.420		288	
Q26		.821	.151	177			
Q25	.182	.741	.278	117		.170	
Q24	.225	.727	.411		.177		
Q27	343	.546	.395	104	276		
Q22	273	.499					
Q12	229	.286	609			107	
Q28	493		.606	.316			
Q10	.533	.238	543	.203		217	
Q14		.158	235	.832		.259	
Q13	.106			.708	510	.151	
Q20	586			.361	.588		
Q11	.207	.112	.579			633	
Q21	236	.304	401	293	.292	.488	

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Source: SPSS

While preparing final factor analysis table each question with the highest value (ignore sign) goes to the related factor. In the above, first row the highest value 0.826 belongs to 1^{st} column i.e., component/ factor '1' therefore question no 23 goes to factor 1. In the same manner, all variable identified with factors and summarized as below:

	Table -5.6	
Ouestions combined i	in factors:	

Zuosnono comonica in fuccoro							
Factors	Questions	% of variance	Cumulative %				
1	15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23	20.644	20.644				
2	22, 24, 25, 26, 27	16.191	36.835				
3	10, 12, 28	12.834	49.669				
4	13, 14	10.064	59.733				
5	20	6.380	66.112				
6	11, 21	6.234	72.347				

Interpretation: In the above table, we take the desired or required factors into consideration. As here we have six factors whose cumulative % of Variance is 72.347 out of which from screen plot we see four are most important. One can conclude that the four factors (1, 2, 3 and 4) are influencing up to 59.733% in which questions 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23,22,24, 25, 26, 27, 10, 12, 28, 13 and 14 are present which should not be neglected because of that we are excluding three questions 11, 20 and 21. For population also it is likely to be same.

Note: We can also consider the three questions by taking all six factors; there is no hard and fast rule for that.

Factor 1: Key performance indicators, objectives of Financial Reporting, the efficiency of financial reporting, external financing easier, volatility in financial reporting, and cumbersome.**Factor 1 is named as IFRSImplementation key performance indicator.**Therefore, Factor 1 should not ignore while understanding of the financial performance of the companies.

Factor 2: Tax-driven in nature, Informative than Historical Cot Accounting (HCA), transparent than those prepared using HCA, transparency for comparability, and ability to manipulate their net income. Factor 2 is named as Fair Value Accounting (FVA).

Factor 3: Improving transparency& comparability, IFRS is better than IAS and Ind-AS, complex method for financial reporting. Factor 3 is named as IFRS Financial Reporting.

Factor 4: The Cost of implementation of IFRS, complex task of financial reporting. Factor 4 is named as Cost Controlling.

VI. Conclusion

Based on the descriptive statistics analysis it is concluded that there is an impact of IFRS Implementation on the financial performance of Indian companies. The Factor analysis reveals that six factors whose cumulative % of Variance is 72.347 out of which from screen plot we see four are most important. One can conclude that the four factors (1, 2, 3 and 4) are influencing up to 59.733% in which questions 15, 16, 17, 18,

19, 23,22,24, 25, 26, 27, 10, 12, 28, 13 and 14 are present which should not be neglected because of that we are excluding three questions 11, 20 and 21. For population also it is likely to be same.

VII. Suggestions

On the basis of analysis of primary and secondary data researcher wants to give some suggestions to various parties relating directly and indirectly to convergence process of IFRS. These suggestions are based on the perspective of professional Chartered accountants and Accounting Professionals which researcher has collected from primary data collected through questionnaire and personal interviews.

I. Suggestions to Government

- A) The Government should set rules regarding Fair Valuation Accounting which is an important challenge in convergence process. The government should clearly mention the rules of fair valuation in easy and simple form so that laymen can also understand the fair valuation rules. Fair valuation can affect the financial reports very highly.
- B) Government should make some changes in syllabus of accountancy in various boards and universities for giving knowledge about new regulations of IFRS because the youth is the ultimate group who will become the future accounting professionals.

II. Suggestions to ICAI

- C) ICAI should make compulsory certificate course for members of ICAI to give knowledge of IFRS.
- D) To give knowledge of IFRS to existing accounting professional and chartered accountants ICAI should implement a compulsory short duration certificate course for all existing chartered accountants and accounting professionals.

III. Suggestions to Companies

E) Give training to staff or arrange some dedicated staff for the convergence process. So that planning and implementation both will get a start soon and ends with proper care and on time.

References

- Liu, C., Yao, L. J., Hu, N., & Liu, L. (2011). The Impact of IFRS on Accounting Quality in a Regulated Market an Empirical Study of China. Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance, 26(4), 659-676.
- [2] Glynis Milne., Dr. Eloisa Perez de Toledo. (2013) "A Stakeholder's Perspective on the Implications of IFRS and Fair Value Accounting on Valuation of Securities". Earth Common Journal Special Issue, MacEwan University, School of Business Volume 3, Number 2.
- [3] www.mca.org.in
- [4] SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences)
- [5] http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/9094/10/10_chapter%208.pdf.