

The Evolution of Theatre in the Digital Age: From Stage to Screen

Jiya Jain

Abstract

The swift progression of digital technology has forever changed theatre as the medium of creation, distribution, and reception. This has raised questions to the viability of live performance in an era of digital innovation. This paper aims to research how live theatre has been reshaped by digital storytelling. It also aims to understand whether performance through digital modes will maintain the essentiality of live theatre performances. A qualitative comparative method has been used, which is based on integrating evidence found from researches in theatre studies, media and communication, industry reports, audience figures and primary ethnographic findings from Rangshila theatre.

The study further analyzes distinctive aspects of live performance along with the advantages and disadvantages of digital performance such as recording performance, live stream, and communication online in the day and age of social media content creation.

The research shows the positive impact of digital platforms in bringing more access to education, wider global audience which is complementary to the attendance of the original performance. However the spontaneity, empathy, and direct audience address are inherent attributes of live performances which are difficult to replicate in digital mediums. Through the findings, the paper will offer hybrid performance models as solutions. This includes ideas where the spirit of traditional theatre is balanced with technology.

I. Introduction

Background

Theatre is the oldest known form of performance art in the world. It is one of the oldest ways of storytelling, where performing arts dating back to the 6th century BC, is used for entertainment, for education, cultural values, expressing opinions, and criticizing and commenting upon social values. Theatre is also considered to be a “living art form” that thrives upon the immediate shared experience between performers and the audience, within a shared space. In other words, it relies on immediacy and spontaneous live performances unlike movies, wherein we have a recorded version. Live theatre gives the freedom to witness the art of acting, expression, and storytelling as it is being performed.

In today's world, it has all started to change. Digital technologies, which are progressing day by day, have transformed the entire theatre world to another level. Now we can watch performances not only in theatres, but also on our laptops or cell phones, on YouTube, streaming apps, and also social media. This leaves the art of theatre not restricted to a physical location. It is reaching millions of audiences around the world through the help of high-definition cameras and digital tools and technologies used to stream and produce content. This transition from a traditional performance to digital performance has happened gradually over the last decade. The COVID pandemic was the tipping point, during which all performances across the world were suspended and companies had to take their content online, making them available to millions of people. UK's National Theatre was one of the major companies which started sharing its shows on YouTube and gained nearly 15 million views during the first few weeks of the lockdown. However, digital performance didn't fade away and even now the National Theatre streams some of their shows online and simultaneously produces live shows on stage as well.

A recent study revealed that in 2021-2022, audience research also shows the complementary relationship between online theatre and traditional performances. The growth in digital and online content creation and distribution has further expanded the definition and reach of theatre. Theatres now collaborate with digital content producers to produce hybrid works that are either primarily theatrical with some cinematic elements, or film with theatrical qualities.

Research Gap

Despite being widely explored, the aspects of live performance, digital storytelling, and online streaming separately, there is a lack of comprehensive critical evaluation on how the emerging hybrid forms of performance that blend the physical and the digital have the potential to maintain the defining essence and engagement qualities of traditional theatre. Many research and studies concentrate either on the technical advantages of digital theatre or on the artistic superiority of the traditional stage. Therefore, there is a need to study the effectiveness of this

hybrid model of theatrical experience on audience engagement while keeping the spontaneity and intimacy that defines the essence of live theatre, intact.

Aim

This paper aims to explore how digital technology has transformed contemporary theatre, with particular focus on comparison between traditional stage performances and digital storytelling. While referring to the existing academic research in this area and observing from community theatre practice, such as from experiences at Rangshila Theatre Group, the paper analyzes the potential and challenges of hybrid models as a future for theatre. The paper concludes that although the increasing digital accessibility and global reach have undoubtedly opened new avenues for audience engagement and the preservation of works, the unique emotional connection and communal experience of live theatre are still difficult to replicate. Hence, the future of theatre will be the amalgamation of traditional and modern practices to bring in innovative hybrid performances rather than exclusive dependence on either stage or screen.

II. Literature Review

Traditional Theatre: The Value of Liveness and Shared Experience

Throughout centuries of dramatic development, a significant differentiator for live theatre has been its ability to generate a collective experience for its participants. Unlike film or televised drama, live theatre is an event that exists purely in time. The quality of each performance is determined by the interplay of emotion, presence, and reaction of the performers and their audience. This is what scholars refer to as 'liveness'. One of the key debates surrounding the digitisation of theatre has focused on whether this 'liveness' can or should be re-created and/or maintained through digital mediation.

Peggy Phelan argues for theatre's "essential 'now-ness'", asserting that its "disappearing state" is what lends it value. Researchers argue that in an age when the culture of mechanical reproducibility has pervaded society, theatrical representation is an anomaly. This perspective underlines why, for some practitioners and academics, recorded or streamed performance can never equal the 'authentic' experience of live theatre. Phelan argues that once a performance is recorded it "is, in essence, representation". This, however, is by no means the universal view of theatre in the digital age. Philip Auslander has also argued for a convergence of live and mediated performance, claiming that since modern audiences consume performance in numerous different ways, recorded performance is simply an additional form of the overall theatrical experience. This claim is further substantiated by Erika Fischer-Lichte (2008), who, in defining theatre as a social phenomenon and 'autopoietical' system, describes an "autopoietic feedback loop between the performers' performance, the spectators' performance, and the interactional relationship that takes place in between them". In essence, an audience's laughter, silence, applause or engagement (or lack thereof) is part of the creative process, continually influencing and re-creating the production.

This means that the 'actuality' of a performance cannot be replicated even if the same text is staged multiple times with identical casts, blocking and production elements. In these examples, the perceived value of theatre resides not so much in the text itself or the production design but in the unpredictable relationship and immediate connection between participants. The inherent nature of live theatre remains strongly tied to its 'physical and communal' quality.

Digital Storytelling: Expanding Theatre Beyond the Stage

Over the last twenty years, there has been a significant shift towards the production, distribution and consumption of theatre through digital media. The development of streaming technology, social media platforms, recorded performance events, and the use of interactive online formats, have enabled theatre to move beyond the constraints of physical theatre venues. This "new era of digital storytelling" has been perceived by many scholars not as a threat to live performance but as an evolution in the field of performance, driven by changing audience behaviour and technological possibility. A key benefit of this technological expansion of theatre has been the increase in accessibility. Traditional theatre is often limited by geography, price and accessibility for people with disabilities. Digital technologies, particularly online streaming of recorded or live performance, can remove these barriers to access for, what is at present, a niche audience. This was notably apparent during the Covid-19 pandemic when, in lieu of physical attendance, National Theatre at Home released a series of well-known stage plays online for free viewing and it was estimated that these releases reached nearly 15 million viewers worldwide, an unprecedented scale of audience for theatre production. Furthermore, the widespread adoption of social media by many theatre companies, both large and small, has facilitated a far greater degree of interaction with audiences both before and after a production has been seen. Using platforms like Facebook, Twitter and Instagram allows companies to communicate directly with their followers and to share behind-the-scenes information and insights

into rehearsal processes that deepen audience relationships with the company, beyond the viewing experience itself.

Such engagement platforms also go beyond the purely functional role of promotion and can encourage ongoing dialogue, particularly with young, digitally-native audiences. Another advantage of this expanded digital remit for theatre is the emergence of interactive online formats that blur the line between passive viewer and active participant. Through the use of live chat functions, polls, live discussions and the use of immersive digital technologies such as virtual reality, audiences are being encouraged to engage with productions and performers in novel ways, reconfiguring the role of the spectator.

This redefinition challenges traditional assumptions of what it means to 'attend' a theatre performance. This new form of "performance digitally distributed" allows audiences the opportunity to interact and shape the performance in real time and offers potential for increased creativity. However, limitations still exist, such as the difficulty of recreating the emotional intensity generated by a performer's physical presence on stage, and the way in which the audience is controlled by what the director, and camera, wishes for them to see. 'Screen fatigue', caused by excessive screen use, also poses a risk for prolonged digital viewing of performance.

While digital platforms have successfully increased audience access to theatre, they have not yet been able to fully recreate the 'presence' of the live performer and audience relationship.

Hybrid Theatre: Balancing Innovation with Authenticity

With the emergence of new technologies and changing modes of audience consumption, there is a noticeable shift away from viewing live and digital forms of theatre as polar opposites. Instead, many organisations are actively exploring 'hybrid theatre' – a form that aims to integrate the strengths of both live and mediated performance. This usually entails a live audience within a physical theatre, combined with a professionally recorded and streamed version of the performance made available online to a global audience.

A notable example of this trend is National Theatre Live, a venture that broadcasts staged performances live from the Lyttelton Theatre and other London venues to cinemas and online audiences across the world. In addition, many other theatres, such as the Royal Shakespeare Company, have made filmed productions available to purchase and download or to stream through subscription services, allowing those unable to access live shows to experience professional theatre. Hybrid theatre thus offers an opportunity for the expanded accessibility associated with digital media, without compromising on the 'authenticity' of a professionally realised stage production. It presents advantages for both theatre companies, who can reach wider audiences and potential new revenue streams, and for institutions such as schools, which can use archived productions to supplement teaching and student learning. Hybridity can help theatre companies remain financially sustainable and culturally relevant in the contemporary landscape, by 'future-proofing' their artistic output.

However, there are still artistic challenges that come with the hybrid model. While a professionally produced recording of a live event comes close, it cannot perfectly replicate the unpredictable interactive quality of a live audience's reception. As noted previously, framing the action through specific camera choices will inevitably limit how an audience perceives and understands the performance. Furthermore, the ever-increasing reliance on digital, mediated consumption raises concerns about the extent to which hybrid models may be driven by cinematic conventions, thereby eroding the fundamental characteristics that distinguish live performance.

Overall, current literature on digital theatre suggests that the hybrid model is a logical and practical means of reconciling the desire to maintain artistic authenticity with the imperative to expand audience access. While live, in-person performance will always have its unique allure, the integration of digital technologies into hybrid performance forms appears to represent a sustainable path forward for the evolution of contemporary theatre practice.

III. Methodology

This paper employs qualitative comparative research methods in order to investigate the impact of digital technology on modern theatre and assess the viability of hybrid performance forms. Instead of gathering primary data by conducting interviews or administering surveys, this paper's findings are derived from critical comparative analyses of existing academic literature and relevant industry information. This methodology is chosen for the comprehensive review it permits of current theatre trends by utilising extensive, reputable, and peer-reviewed sources.

These include theatrical studies literature, performance studies, media and communication research papers, publications, industry reports, and audience engagement statistics to provide an overview of issues ranging from live performance, digital narrative, and audience trends to the adoption of hybrid theatre. By supplementing with some quantitative data on live streaming viewership and engagement figures, this further enhances the examination by supporting widespread developments with measurable data instead of just theoretical discourse. Observations from my participation in the Rangshila Theatre Group, acting, rehearsal, and live performances

enhance the contextual background to this paper by providing some practical experiences and examples of the interactive and collaborative environment in theatre. However, these are only to further argument and provide illustrative examples, not for direct data in themselves as the argument hinges on existing research evidence.

IV. Discussion and Analysis

What Makes Live Theatre Unique?

The key distinction of live theatre is that it is not merely the case that performers are performing on a stage, but that the performance exists through the interaction between performer and audience in the moment. Unlike a film or a taped performance, the event only exists in that time and place; improvisations, audience responses, technical adjustments, technical errors, and even accidents or unforeseen mishaps are then part of the final result. It is what Fischer-Lichte (2008) refers to as 'the autopoietic feedback loop'. It speaks of how the performers are constantly buffeted by some latent forces, the reactions of the audience which means that each performance is unique and cannot be precisely duplicated. It is this interaction through time and shared experience that is the heart of a performance.

In the Rangshila Theatre Group, many of the productions I observed saw the actors changing their performance from week to week when a live audience was introduced. After a period of time, the actors would naturally slow down when the audience laughed, change the time of pauses following silence, and change their expressions based upon the interactions of the audience. These choices were not frequent conscious decisions, but had become a great instinct one would have to feed upon an audience interaction.

Perhaps as a result, this dynamic relationship may also heighten emotional involvement. As cinema's camera shots and editing select moments of emotional significance for the spectators, theatre enables spectators to select their own onstage focus and thus observe body language, facial expressions, stage design, and interactions happening simultaneously elsewhere on the stage.

However, the added appeal to be found in live theatre lies not only in its artistic creation. When audiences assemble in the same physical space, they create an environment of shared participation. Audience reactions such as clapping, laughing, or quiet absorption can be simultaneously experienced and contribute to the enjoyment of having joined together. Phelan asserts the timeless nature of live theatre in that the ephemeral quality of a performance makes it authentic for when it is encountered but if it is captured on film it becomes oral history.

However, Auslander argues that audiences are increasingly 'consuming' performance via mediated technologies, and so the boundary between recorded and live performance is in fact 'dissolving'. Though he is admitting that audiences are in fact changing their ways in this respect, the fact that venues or productions are still drawing the crowds at all suggests that hard copies of a production or (digital) access to it via the internet cannot oust the live experience. National Theatre research involving a number of over 5,500 people therefore showed that 89% of participants who had experienced digital media would actually still prefer to see the same production in the flesh, as it were. 93% said they valued the band 'digital accessibility' after all.

The Rise of Digital Theatre

Digital technology has radically altered the way in which theatre is made, marketed, and experienced. Streaming sites like National Theatre at Home, BroadwayHD, and Digital Theatre Plus, and professionally made camera recordings, have extended the environment of the theatre outside of the physical world of the auditorium, making it possible for shows to be seen by millions of people who perhaps would not otherwise have access to the 'Cultural powerhouse' of the major cultural institutions. Indeed, digital media has the potential to take the 'black bit of the stage seats' and reach the billions who populate our projected world.

COVID-19 further catalysed such change. As theatre companies entered their respective national lockdowns, many of them transitioned into digital distribution platforms in order to retain ticketing income streams. The free screening of National Theatre shows on YouTube drew in an estimated 15million global viewers, thus indicating the sheer scalability that is possible for digital theatre in contrast to traditional, stage-based mediums. This initiative was followed by a paid subscription website called 'National Theatre at Home' which is still operational, and enables professionally-filmed shows to be viewed from anywhere in the world.

Accessibility may be the most significant area where digital theatre can be seen to contribute. Going to the theatre as an audience member is traditionally restricted not only by ticket prices but also the travel involved and the physical accessibility and capacity of venues themselves. Digital performances eliminate access issues to a certain extent, enabling audiences to view from home at significant financial savings.

The educational aspect has also grown. Resources like Digital Theatre Plus afford schools and universities the opportunity to scrutinise actresses', visionaries', and directors' work in a way which earlier was not available, thereby encapsulating an increasing range of professional work. Students can therefore repeatedly watch plays for intellectual work far more cost-effectively than if they were to visit a theatre and pay for tickets.

However, there are disadvantages to digital theatre and it is clear that it cannot fully duplicate the theatrical experience. For example, digital productions such as docudramas use the framing of the camera and so

dictate the audience's point of view and prevent audience members from exploring the stage freely. Other adaptations demonstrate how the use of editing and footage can affect the mood and the viewers' response and also mention how home viewing lacks the concentration that the atmosphere of a theatre could inspire. Various texts have supported this view and comment that digital theatre should be recognized as an extension of theatre:

Hybrid Performance Models: Integrating Stage and Screen

Rather than viewing live and digital theatre as two separate forms, modern theatre companies are beginning to develop hybrid models of performance that marry the best elements of each. Hybrid theatre refers to presenting a live performance to a theatre audience but also transmitting that show to a satellite or to the internet where it can be viewed by a cinema or home audience. Hybrid performance maintains the immediacy of performance with the vast accessibility of digital technology.

Contemporary audience research is already providing evidence to support this. A survey into just filmic performances of traditional theatre found that majority of these respondents had also seen the stage production, suggesting streamed-viewing is often a supplement rather than a substitute for traditional theatre-going. A substantial section also went on to say that by being able to stream recordings they experienced more theatre than they would have otherwise. This dispels current anxieties on the part of theatre administrators and theatre-watchers that digital broadcasting is counterproductive.

The combination of these elements enhances the economic stability of hybrid productions. A traditional performance in theatre is limited by the size of the venue, whereas a filmed production has the potential to earn money through a cinema release, as a DVD or via web streaming for several years hence after the initial run is complete, aided by online subscription, home viewing, or licensed education use.

Yet hybrid theatre also presents artistic sacrifices. Directors have to aim on the composition of stage performance for both live audience and cameras transmitting to viewers in other places. This kind of multi-camera production tends to focus on the mostly close-up shot, which is closer to movie narration, than the filming of a single camera. Such hybrid staging can successfully maintain actors' art by adjusting within an invalidated media technology.

Future Implications: Theatre in an Increasingly Digital World

New technologies are expected to keep transforming theatrical practice for the next ten years. Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), Mixed Reality (MR), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) are making their way onto the stage with immersive environments, interactive scenery, and automated avatars. Experimental use of such tools provides new means of audience interaction and task creation to stage directors and designers.

AI's impact on the scriptwriting processes, production design, and audience interaction is emerging also. Researchers' investigations of AI-powered scriptwriting reveal that natural language processors can be used as facilitators of the creative process, providing playwrights with suggestions of dialogues and plot points rather than fully conceived ideas. At the same time, scholars point out critical deficiencies for emotional compatibility and virtual authorship, asserting that AI is still a supplementary rather than innovative force in dramatis process.

Despite the fact that technological innovation will undoubtedly play an increasing role in theatre in the coming years, there is unwavering evidence throughout prior studies that audiences still would prefer to experience live theatre with all the authenticity and emotive presence that it provides over an electro-screen. By extension, the evidence leads one to conclude in 'predicting' that the future of theatre will not become entirely digital, but more likely hybrid-based. This is directly related to how theatrical companies learn how to balance the emotional immediacy of a physical stage with the more immediate educational and increasingly far-reaching benefits from digital technology in attracting a wider global audience.

V. Conclusion

The evolution of digital technology has fundamentally transformed the way theatre is created, experienced, and distributed. This study set out to examine how digital storytelling has influenced traditional theatre and whether hybrid performance models can preserve the authenticity and audience engagement that define live performance. The evidence suggests that while digital platforms have significantly expanded accessibility, educational opportunities, and global audience reach, they have not replaced the unique artistic value of live theatre.

The literature consistently demonstrates that live theatre derives its strength from the immediate interaction between performers and audiences. The spontaneity of each performance, the emotional responses shared within a physical space, and the collaborative relationship between actors and spectators create an experience that cannot be fully replicated through recordings or livestreams. At the same time, digital theatre has introduced undeniable advantages by overcoming geographical and financial barriers, allowing millions of people to access performances that would otherwise remain unavailable. Rather than reducing interest in live theatre,

recent audience research indicates that digital performances often encourage greater overall engagement with theatrical productions.

Overall, the findings suggest that the future of theatre does not lie in choosing between stage and screen but in integrating both formats effectively. Hybrid performance models successfully combine the emotional authenticity of live theatre with the accessibility and scalability of digital media, making them the most sustainable approach for contemporary performing arts. As technology continues to evolve through virtual reality, artificial intelligence, and immersive digital experiences, theatre is likely to become increasingly interdisciplinary. Consequently, future performers will require not only strong acting and stagecraft skills but also the ability to adapt to digital performance environments, ensuring that theatre remains both culturally relevant and artistically authentic in the digital age.

Bibliography

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231922617_Liveness_Performance_in_a_Mediatized_Culture_Liveness_Performance_in_a_Mediatized_Culture_By_Auslander_Philip_London_New_York_Routledge_1999_Pp_x_179_1299_Pb

<https://www.londontheatre.co.uk/theatre-news/news/national-theatre-launch-new-global-streaming-service-of-shows>