IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)
e-ISSN:2278-487X, p-ISSN: 2319-7668. Volume 28, Issue 11. Ser. 1 (November. 2026), PP 59-65
www.iosrjournals.org

Commonwealth Chairs, Algorithmic Margins: An Artificial Intelligence–Driven Management Model for Massachusetts Dental Clinics Under the MassHealth Adult Cap and the Medicare Dental Gap — A National Interest Analysis

Sara Renata Pereira Borges[1]
[1]*Graduated in Dentistry, Universidade São Francisco (USF), Bragança Paulista, SP, Brazil.*
ORCID: 0009-0000-8735-4474 |

Sabrina Lima Dantas Nóbrega[2]
[2]*Specialist in Endodontics, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.*
ORCID: 0009-0004-5323-7981

Maryana Rocha Petersen[3]
[3]*Graduated in Dentistry.UNIG. Itaperuna, RJ, Brazil.*
ORCID: 0009-0008-0085-4838

Luciana Lucena Mifune[4]
[4]*Specialist in Endodontics, OSEC, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.*
ORCID: 0009-0006-3505-5521

Suzana Carolina Ramos Silva[5]
[5]*Specialist in Periodontology, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisa e Ensino Odontológico (INPEO), Cuiabá, MT, Brazil.*
ORCID: 0009-0008-3771-9365

Abigail de Carli Oliveira[6]
[6]*Specialist in Digital Dentistry and Operative Dentistry, Centro Universitário Avantis; Centro Universitário de Jales (Unijales), Francisco Beltrão, PR, Brazil.*
ORCID: 0009-0004-4414-3326

André Luís de Oliveira[7]
[7]*Specialist in Digital Dentistry and Specialist in Implant Dentistry, Centro Universitário Avantis (UNIAVAN); São Leopoldo Mandic, Curitiba, PR, Brazil.*
ORCID: 0009-0005-2647-3038

Angela Xavier Arantes[8]
[8]*Specialist in Forensic Dentistry, Health Audit, Public Health and Public Management, ABO / Faculdade Única de Ipatinga (FUNIP), Ipatinga, MG, Brazil.*
ORCID: 0009-0003-3599-4877

Jeandro Rodrigues de Oliveira[9]
[9]*Bachelor in Civil Engineering, Universidade Pitágoras UNOPAR Anhanguera, Londrina, PR, Brazil.*
ORCID: 0009-0001-0519-0427

Andreza Calazans Rodrigues[10]
[10]*Specialist in Endodontics, INCO 25 – Universidade Redentor, Niterói, RJ, Brazil; Clinical Assistant Professor, Nova Southeastern University, Clearwater, FL, USA.*
ORCID: 0009-0008-1295-2312

Abstract:
Massachusetts entered fiscal year 2027 with a structural change that redefines the economics of every dental clinic serving publicly insured adults: from August 1, 2026, MassHealth members aged 21 and older who are not clients of the Department of Developmental Services became subject to an annual dental benefit maximum of US$1,750. At the same time, traditional Medicare continues to exclude routine dental care, leaving older residents dependent on Medicare Advantage supplemental benefits, private plans or out-of-pocket payment. This narrative policy and management review examines how a Massachusetts dental clinic can be administered to maximize clinical, financial and social results through the governed use of artificial intelligence (AI). Official sources from MassHealth, the Executive Office of Health and Human Services, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, HRSA and KFF were combined with peer-reviewed evidence on AI applied to diagnosis, scheduling, documentation and claims integrity, and with the author's previous work on U.S. dental practice management. The analysis proposes the COMMONWEALTH-AI framework, a six-lever operating model that converts the annual cap into a sequenced treatment-planning discipline, uses machine learning to protect chair time against no-shows, automates eligibility and Medicare third-party-liability checks, deploys ambient documentation to release clinician time, and embeds claims-integrity analytics and data-security compliance under 201 CMR 17.00 and HIPAA. The article argues that a well-managed, AI-enabled Massachusetts clinic is not merely a private business improvement but an instrument of national interest: it preserves access for nearly 1.9 million MassHealth members, reduces avoidable emergency department use, protects public funds and offers a replicable model for other states facing Medicaid benefit compression.

Keywords: *Dental practice management; Artificial intelligence; MassHealth; Medicare; Medicare Advantage; Annual benefit maximum; National interest; Massachusetts*

Date of Submission: 27-10-2026 Date of Acceptance: 06-11-2026

I. Introduction

Dental care in the United States is financed through a fragmented arrangement in which public programs, commercial carriers and households share responsibility unevenly, and in which the dental clinic, usually a small or medium enterprise, absorbs the administrative complexity of all of them [1,2]. Massachusetts is a revealing laboratory for this problem. It combines a mature Medicaid program, MassHealth, with comprehensive adult dental benefits restored in January 2021, a dental insurance market that in 2022 became the first in the country subject to a voter-approved 83% medical loss ratio, and a population of Medicare beneficiaries that, unlike the national majority, remains predominantly in traditional Medicare [3-5].

In 2026 that equilibrium changed. Following Section 113 of Chapter 137 of the Acts of 2026, MassHealth implemented an annual benefit-year maximum for adult dental services, set at US$1,750 per member and effective from August 1, 2026 [6,7]. The original gubernatorial proposal of a US$1,000 cap had been presented to legislators as a source of roughly US$120 million in savings, while the Massachusetts Dental Society recalled that, after the 2010 benefit cuts, approximately 100,000 fewer adults received dental services through the program [8,9]. For a clinic, the cap transforms each adult MassHealth patient from an open-ended fee-for-service relationship into a finite annual budget that must be planned, monitored and communicated.

Artificial intelligence enters this scenario not as a fashionable accessory but as the most practical way to manage scarcity of money, time and professionals. Evidence from randomized trials already shows that AI can change diagnostic performance and cost-effectiveness in caries detection, that machine learning can predict missed appointments, and that ambient AI scribes can reduce documentation workload [10-13]. The author has previously argued that the next frontier of U.S. dental management lies at the intersection of strategic leadership, digital workflow and AI, and that oral health is a matter of national interest rather than a private consumer good [1,14,15]. This article applies that thesis to Massachusetts. Its objectives are: (a) to describe the payer architecture that a Massachusetts clinic faces in 2026, with emphasis on MassHealth and Medicare; (b) to map the state-specific rules and norms that constrain management; (c) to propose an AI-enabled operating model; and (d) to articulate why this model serves the national interest.

II. Methodology

This is a narrative policy and management review. Primary sources were official documents published between 2021 and 2026 by MassHealth and the Executive Office of Health and Human Services (dental bulletins, provider FAQs and caseload reports), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA, via KFF State Health Facts), and the Massachusetts Secretary of the Commonwealth. Peer-reviewed literature on AI in dentistry and health-care administration was retrieved from PubMed, prioritizing randomized trials and systematic reviews published from 2020 to 2026. Policy analyses from

KFF, the CareQuest Institute for Oral Health and the Massachusetts Dental Society were used to contextualize official figures. The author's previous publications on U.S. dental management, digital workflow and AI were incorporated as a conceptual base [1,16,17]. Because the review is narrative, it does not estimate pooled effects; numerical scenarios presented in Section VI are explicitly illustrative.

III. The Payer Landscape: MassHealth and Medicare

A. MassHealth after the adult annual maximum

MassHealth counted 1,866,686 snapshot members on July 31, 2026 [18]. Its dental program is administered through a third-party administrator; DentaQuest returned to that role on February 1, 2026, replacing BeneCare [3]. Under the new rule, the annual maximum applies to members aged 21 and older enrolled in MassHealth Standard, CommonHealth, Family Assistance or CarePlus; it does not apply to members under 21 or to clients of the Department of Developmental Services, and the first benefit year runs from August 1, 2026, to June 30, 2027 [7]. Once the maximum is reached, MassHealth does not make additional payments for services subject to the cap, and certain codes are excluded from the calculation [7]. For managers, three consequences follow: the clinic must know each patient's remaining balance before treatment; treatment plans must be sequenced by clinical priority within the benefit year; and patient communication about residual costs becomes a compliance and reputational issue.

Massachusetts has its own evidence about what happens when adult dental benefits shrink. Using the state All-Payer Claims Database, Ranade and colleagues showed that eliminating comprehensive adult benefits in July 2010 led to an 11% increase in emergency department (ED) visits for non-traumatic dental conditions after 15 months, whereas partial restoration in January 2013 produced a 15.7% decrease within five months [19]. Nationally, ED visits for non-traumatic dental conditions cost about US$3.9 billion in 2022 [20], and recent analyses warn that federal Medicaid reductions are likely to push states toward further adult dental cuts, with predictable ED spillovers [21]. A cap is not an elimination, but it reproduces the same mechanism at the margin: patients who exhaust their benefit mid-year and defer care are candidates for the ED.

B. Medicare: the structural dental gap

Traditional Medicare does not cover routine dental care. CMS has interpreted the statute to allow payment only for dental services that are inextricably linked to, and substantially related and integral to, the clinical success of an otherwise covered medical service [22]. Successive physician fee schedule rules for 2023, 2024 and 2025 expanded those scenarios to include, among others, dental evaluation and treatment of infection before organ transplantation, cardiac valve replacement, treatment of head and neck cancer and, from 2025, dialysis for end-stage renal disease [23,24]. These are narrow clinical gateways, but they are precisely where hospital-affiliated and community clinics in Massachusetts can add value, provided their documentation proves the medical linkage.

For the general older population, the gap is large. KFF estimated that nearly half of Medicare beneficiaries (47%, about 24 million people) had no dental coverage in 2019, and that one in five beneficiaries who used dental services spent more than US$1,000 out of pocket [25]. Medicare Advantage has become the main source of dental coverage for beneficiaries: in 2025, 54% of eligible beneficiaries (34.1 million of about 62.8 million) were enrolled in Medicare Advantage, and 97% or more of plans offered dental benefits, although extensive services typically carry 50% coinsurance and annual limits [25-27]. Massachusetts diverges from this national pattern: of roughly 1.49 million Medicare beneficiaries in 2025, only about 36% were in Medicare Advantage in January 2026, meaning that most older residents rely on traditional Medicare and therefore lack any structural dental benefit [5,28].

An overlooked connection between the two programs is coordination of benefits. Medicare is the largest single source of third-party liability for MassHealth; in fiscal year 2026, an expanded enrollment initiative moved 4,018 MassHealth members into Medicare, with more than US$65 million in estimated new annual gross savings to MassHealth [29]. For dental clinics, dual-eligible patients, Medicare Savings Program enrollees and members transitioning to Medicare at 65 are exactly the cases in which eligibility errors, denied claims and unpaid balances concentrate.

Table 1. Key payer and workforce indicators for a Massachusetts dental clinic (2025-2026)

Indicator	Value	Management implication
MassHealth snapshot members (Jul 31, 2026)	1,866,686 [18]	Large, stable public-payer market
MassHealth adult dental annual maximum	US$1,750 per member per benefit year, from Aug 1, 2026; not applicable to members <21 or DDS clients [7]	Real-time balance tracking and phased treatment plans

Indicator	Value	Management implication
Effect of 2010 adult benefit elimination	~100,000 fewer adults served [9]; +11% NTDC ED visits at 15 months [19]	Deferred care migrates to EDs
Dental insurance regulation (Question 2, 2022)	Aggregate MLR of 83%; rate review by the Division of Insurance [4,30]	Stronger negotiating position with commercial carriers
Medicare beneficiaries in Massachusetts (2025)	~1.49 million; ~36% in Medicare Advantage (Jan 2026) [5,28]	Most older patients lack any dental benefit
Medicare beneficiaries without dental coverage (U.S., 2019)	47% (~24 million) [25]	Self-pay and membership plans needed
Dental HPSAs in Massachusetts (Dec 31, 2025)	56 designations; 62.1% of need met; 20 practitioners needed (U.S.: 32.9%) [31,32]	Shortage is distributional, not absolute
Medicare third-party-liability initiative (FY2026)	4,018 members newly enrolled in Medicare; >US$65M estimated annual gross savings [29]	Automated coordination-of-benefits checks

NTDC: non-traumatic dental condition; ED: emergency department; MLR: medical loss ratio; HPSA: Health Professional Shortage Area; DDS: Department of Developmental Services.

IV. Massachusetts Rules and Norms That Shape Clinic Management

Management decisions in Massachusetts are bounded by a distinctive set of state norms, which differ materially from those of Sun Belt states such as Florida. Four are central for an AI-enabled clinic.

- **MassHealth dental regulations and written issuances.** Coverage, frequency limits, prior authorization and, since August 2026, the annual maximum are governed by 130 CMR 420.000 and 130 CMR 450.000, together with the MassHealth Dental Program Office Reference Manual; in case of conflict, regulations prevail over FAQs [6,7].
- **Dental insurance medical loss ratio.** Question 2, approved by more than 71% of voters in 2022, requires dental carriers to meet an 83% aggregate loss ratio, to refund excess premiums and to submit rates to the Commissioner of Insurance [4,30]. Practices gain transparency to benchmark commercial reimbursement against MassHealth and self-pay schedules.
- **Data security.** Beyond the federal HIPAA Security Rule, Massachusetts imposes 201 CMR 17.00, which requires a written information security program, encryption of personal information transmitted across public networks or stored on portable devices, and vendor oversight, while M.G.L. c. 93H governs breach notification [33,34]. Every AI vendor that touches radiographs, voice recordings or claims data falls inside this perimeter.
- **Special populations.** The exclusion of Department of Developmental Services clients from the cap preserves comprehensive adult coverage for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities, a segment that requires longer appointments, sedation planning and care coordination [7].

These norms also create opportunities. The MLR regime strengthens dentists' bargaining position in commercial contracting, as anticipated by the Tufts Center for State Policy Analysis and by organized dentistry [30,35], and the relatively favorable workforce picture (62.1% of need met in dental HPSAs versus 32.9% nationally) indicates that the Massachusetts problem is less the absolute number of dentists than their distribution, scheduling efficiency and willingness to accept public patients [31].

V. The COMMONWEALTH-AI Management Model

The proposed model organizes AI around six management levers, each tied to a payer pain point and to a measurable indicator (Table 2). Its premise, consistent with the author's earlier work on laser and digital technologies, is that technology adoption must be governed by evidence grading and risk stratification rather than by equipment availability [16,36].

A. Lever 1 — Cap-aware treatment sequencing

A rules engine connected to the practice management system and the MassHealth eligibility portal displays each adult member's remaining annual balance before every visit, flags excluded codes, and ranks planned procedures by urgency (infection and pain first, then disease control, then rehabilitation). When a plan exceeds the balance, the system proposes a two-benefit-year sequence and generates a plain-language financial disclosure in the patient's preferred language. This converts the cap from a source of claim denials into a planning parameter.

B. Lever 2 — Predictive scheduling and chair-time protection

A recent systematic review confirmed that machine-learning models can predict dental no-shows, with long booking lead times, unconfirmed reminders, prior no-show history and day-of-week effects among the strongest predictors, although external validation is still limited [12]. Dental-school implementations have produced user-friendly risk scores embedded in electronic records [37,38]. In a Massachusetts clinic, high-risk appointments receive targeted reminders, transportation assistance or controlled overbooking, while preventive recalls for capped adults are prioritized early in the benefit year.

C. Lever 3 — Eligibility, coordination of benefits and Medicare gateways

Automated eligibility verification identifies dual-eligible patients, members approaching age 65, and Medicare Advantage enrollees with supplemental dental benefits. For hospital-linked patients, a documentation template captures the medical linkage required under the 2023-2025 Medicare rules (for example, pre-transplant or pre-radiation dental clearance), so that claims can be routed to Medicare Part A or B when legitimate [22,23]. This lever aligns the clinic with the state's own third-party-liability strategy [29].

D. Lever 4 — AI-assisted diagnosis and ambient documentation

In a cluster-randomized trial, AI support increased dentists' sensitivity for proximal caries on bitewings, and a companion analysis found the approach cost-effective, partly by enabling earlier, less invasive treatment [10,11]. Such tools also produce annotated images that support prior-authorization requests and patient understanding [17,39]. On the administrative side, two randomized trials published in 2025 showed that ambient AI scribes reduced time spent on notes or work exhaustion among clinicians, while occasional inaccuracies required professional review [13,40]. Reclaimed minutes are the cheapest new capacity a clinic can buy.

E. Lever 5 — Claims integrity and compliance analytics

Machine-learning methods for detecting anomalous claims are well described in health insurance, where an estimated 3% to 10% of expenditures are lost to fraud, and AI is increasingly proposed to detect irregularities in claims and procurement [41,42]. Applied inside the clinic, the same logic works preventively: pre-submission audits flag missing radiographs, frequency conflicts, upcoding risk and narrative gaps before claims reach MassHealth or Medicare, protecting both public funds and the practice.

F. Lever 6 — Population health, prevention and teledentistry

Risk stratification of the patient panel identifies adults with uncontrolled periodontal disease, diabetes or a history of dental ED visits, who are then directed to early-year preventive visits. Teledentistry, which a 2026 systematic review found useful for timely and cost-effective diagnosis and consultation, extends triage to nursing homes and community sites [43]. The author's school-based experience in Rio de Janeiro demonstrates that prevention programs anchored in the territory change outcomes when they are organized as continuous management processes rather than isolated campaigns [44].

Table 2. The COMMONWEALTH-AI framework: levers, payer pain points and key performance indicators

Lever	Payer pain point addressed	AI tool	Key performance indicator
1. Cap-aware sequencing	US$1,750 MassHealth adult maximum	Rules engine + balance tracker	% of plans completed within benefit year; denials for exhausted benefit
2. Predictive scheduling	Lost chair time; recall failure	ML no-show risk score [12]	No-show rate; chair utilization
3. Eligibility and Medicare gateways	Dual eligibility; Medicare exclusions	Automated eligibility + linkage templates	Claim rejection rate; Medicare-linked claims paid
4. Diagnosis and documentation	Under-detection; clinician time	Radiographic AI [10]; ambient scribe [13]	Early-lesion detection; minutes of note time per visit
5. Claims integrity	Improper payments; audit risk	Pre-submission anomaly detection [41]	Audit findings; first-pass acceptance rate
6. Prevention and teledentistry	ED spillover; deferred care	Risk stratification; teledentistry [43]	Dental ED visits in panel; preventive visits per adult

VI. Maximizing Results: An Illustrative Financial and Social Scenario

To show how the levers interact, consider an illustrative six-chair Massachusetts clinic with a mixed MassHealth, commercial and self-pay panel. The figures below are assumptions for teaching purposes, not empirical results. If the baseline no-show rate is 15% across 30 daily appointments, the clinic loses about 4.5 appointments per day. A predictive reminder program that reduces no-shows by one third would recover about 1.5 appointments daily, or roughly 360 visits per year over 240 working days. If ambient documentation saves five minutes per visit across 30 visits, it releases 150 clinician minutes per day, the equivalent of several additional appointments. Cap-aware sequencing does not raise reimbursement per member, but it reduces write-offs from services delivered after the balance is exhausted and keeps adults in continuous preventive care, which Massachusetts data associate with fewer dental ED visits [19].

The social return is equally relevant. Every adult whose infection is treated in the chair instead of the ED avoids a visit that, nationally, belongs to a US$3.9 billion annual expenditure stream [20]. For older traditional-Medicare patients without dental benefits, transparent membership plans and AI-supported risk-based recall intervals make preventive care affordable and predictable [2,25]. For implant-supported rehabilitation in this age group, digital planning and maintenance protocols informed by advances in implant surface technology reduce the long-term costs of peri-implant complications [16,45].

VII. National Interest and Significance

The national interest dimension of this model rests on five arguments. First, **public fiscal stewardship**: MassHealth and Medicare are financed by taxpayers, and a clinic that prevents improper claims, coordinates third-party liability and keeps patients out of EDs protects federal and state funds at the point of care [29,41]. Second, **access in a period of benefit compression**: Massachusetts is the first large comprehensive-benefit state to introduce an adult cap in this cycle; if AI-enabled management can preserve access under a finite budget, the model is directly transferable to other states pressured by federal Medicaid reductions [6,21]. Third, **healthy aging**: with most Massachusetts Medicare beneficiaries in traditional Medicare, oral health becomes a determinant of nutrition, systemic disease control and independence of older Americans [5,25]. Fourth, **workforce productivity**: by releasing clinician time and reducing administrative burden, AI expands the effective capacity of the existing dental workforce without waiting for new graduates [13,40]. Fifth, **technological leadership**: Massachusetts concentrates research universities, health-technology firms and teaching hospitals; a governed AI model for small dental businesses, compliant with 201 CMR 17.00 and HIPAA, strengthens U.S. leadership in responsible health AI [33,39].

These arguments converge with the thesis developed in the author's book *Oral Health and the U.S. National Interest*: oral health is infrastructure for productivity, readiness and social cohesion, and the professionals and enterprises that deliver it efficiently in underserved settings generate benefits that extend well beyond a single clinic or state [1,14]. The author's three decades of experience in public oral health, military dentistry and family health strategy in Brazil provide operational insight into managing high-need populations under strict budget ceilings, which is precisely the condition now imposed by the MassHealth adult maximum.

VIII. Limitations

This review relies on official documents that may change; the MassHealth annual maximum was implemented on an emergency regulatory basis and its codification and exclusions may be revised. Evidence on AI in dentistry comes mostly from European and academic settings, and the no-show literature lacks external validation [12]. No primary data from Massachusetts clinics were collected, and the scenario in Section VI is illustrative. Prospective studies measuring the effect of AI-enabled management on access, ED use and public spending under the cap are needed.

IX. Conclusion

The MassHealth adult maximum and the persistent Medicare dental gap make management quality a decisive determinant of access to dental care in Massachusetts. The COMMONWEALTH-AI framework shows how a clinic can turn a fixed annual benefit into a planned clinical pathway, protect chair time, coordinate Medicare and MassHealth correctly, release professional time, and safeguard public funds, while complying with the Commonwealth's distinctive data-security and insurance rules. In doing so, a single dental enterprise becomes part of a national strategy: preserving access, preventing avoidable emergency spending and demonstrating a replicable model of responsible AI in American oral health care.

References

[1]. Oliveira JAP, Apolinário VC, Loiola SF, Souza PM, Abadessa SN, Dalbone AF, Lima PAD, Mello FF, Castro Júnior UA, Arume GSCA, Bastos PVO, Dalla EF. Advancing dental practice management in the United States: strategic leadership, economic sustainability, and healthcare innovation. Revista DCS. 2026;23(90):1-6. doi:10.54899/dcs.v23i90.5554

[2]. Picoli AH, Silva SB, et al. Dental insurance design, fee-for-service versus value-based payment models, and practice financial sustainability in the United States. IOSR Journal of Business and Management. 2026;28(8, Ser. 3):25-29.

[3]. Massachusetts Dental Society. Taking MassHealth patients [web page]. 2026. Available from: https://massdental.org/masshealth-overview/

[4]. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Secretary of the Commonwealth. Question 2 (2022): Law proposed by initiative petition — regulation of dental insurance [ballot summary]. Boston (MA); 2022.

[5]. Healthinsurance.org. Medicare in Massachusetts (data from CMS Medicare Monthly Enrollment, January 2026). 2026. Available from: https://www.healthinsurance.org/medicare/massachusetts/

[6]. MassHealth. Dental Bulletin 58: Adult dental benefit annual maximum. Boston (MA): Executive Office of Health and Human Services; 2026 Jul.

[7]. MassHealth Dental Program. Adult dental annual maximum: frequently asked questions for providers. Last updated 2026 Aug 3. Available from: https://www.masshealth-dental.org

[8]. State House News Service. Benefit cap savings may come with price for dental patients. Boston (MA): State House News Service; 2026.

[9]. Massachusetts Dental Society. Letter to the House Committee on Ways and Means regarding the proposed annual cap on MassHealth adult dental benefits (Outside Section 78). Southborough (MA): MDS; 2026 Mar 5.

[10]. Mertens S, Krois J, Cantu AG, Arsiwala LT, Schwendicke F. Artificial intelligence for caries detection: randomized trial. Journal of Dentistry. 2021;115:103849. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2021.103849

[11]. Schwendicke F, Mertens S, Cantu AG, Chaurasia A, Meyer-Lueckel H, Krois J. Cost-effectiveness of AI for caries detection: randomized trial. Journal of Dentistry. 2022;119:104080. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2022.104080

[12]. Khashwayn S, Bakhashwayn M, Alsubaie A. Managing dental appointment no-shows: a systematic review of machine learning applications. International Dental Journal. 2026:109749. doi:10.1016/j.identj.2026.109749

[13]. Lukac PJ, Turner W, Vangala S, Chin AT, Khalili J, Shih YT, Sarkisian C, Cheng EM, Mafi JN. Ambient AI scribes in clinical practice: a randomized trial. NEJM AI. 2025;2(12). doi:10.1056/AIoa2501000
[14]. Bastos PVO. Oral health and the U.S. national interest. Clube de Autores; 2026. (Portuguese edition: Saúde bucal e o interesse nacional dos EUA.)
[15]. Bastos PVO, Picoli AH. Artificial intelligence in dentistry: applications across clinical specialties and dental education. Clube de Autores; 2026.
[16]. Dalla EF, Loiola SF, Loiola CFN, Domingues DS Jr, Oliveira JAP, Bastos PVO, Castro Júnior UA, Apolinário VC, Picoli AH, Carracena Júnior JA. Uso do fluxo digital no tratamento cirúrgico das fraturas faciais. In: Sniecikovski LEB, organizer. Odontologia: uma nova fronteira do cuidado. 1st ed. Curitiba: Editora Foco; 2026. p. 7-15. doi:10.54751/edfoco.978-65-83117-46-5_1
[17]. Temponi NCG, Alcantara PCC, Chagas AMC, Bastos PVO, Salles IGV, Picoli AH, Oliveira CSA, Soares SSC. Clear aligner orthodontic therapy: a comprehensive narrative review of materials, clinical evidence, biomechanical limitations, and the emerging role of artificial intelligence. Global Scientific Journal. 2026;14(8). doi:10.5281/zenodo.22178887
[18]. MassHealth. MassHealth snapshot enrollment summary of July 2026 caseload. Boston (MA): Executive Office of Health and Human Services; 2026.
[19]. Ranade A, Young G, Garcia R, Griffith J, Singhal A, McGuire J. Changes in dental benefits and use of emergency departments for nontraumatic dental conditions in Massachusetts. Public Health Reports. 2020. doi:10.1177/0033354920946788
[20]. CareQuest Institute for Oral Health. Dental care in crisis: tracking the cost and prevalence of emergency department visits for non-traumatic dental conditions. Boston (MA): CareQuest Institute; 2025.
[21]. Khouja T, Dunkel R, Singhal A. Medicaid dental cuts and emergency department utilization: implications of the 2025 Budget Reconciliation Act. Journal of the California Dental Association. 2026. doi:10.1080/19424396.2025.2599655
[22]. KFF. Coverage of dental services in traditional Medicare [Issue brief]. San Francisco (CA): KFF; 2024 Nov 25 (updated). Available from: https://www.kff.org/medicare/issue-brief/coverage-of-dental-services-in-traditional-medicare/
[23]. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare and Medicaid programs; CY 2025 payment policies under the physician fee schedule and other changes to Part B payment and coverage policies; Medicare Shared Savings Program requirements; Medicare Prescription Drug Inflation Rebate Program; and Medicare overpayments. Final rule. Federal Register. 2024 Dec 9;89(236).
[24]. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Transmittal R13029BP: Medicare Benefit Policy Manual (Pub. 100-02) updates for dental services finalized in the CY 2024 and CY 2025 physician fee schedule final rules. Effective 2025 Jan 1. Baltimore (MD): CMS; 2025.
[25]. KFF. Medicare and dental coverage: a closer look [Issue brief]. San Francisco (CA): KFF; 2021.
[26]. KFF. Medicare Advantage in 2025: enrollment update and key trends [Issue brief]. San Francisco (CA): KFF; 2025 Jul 28.
[27]. KFF. Medicare Advantage 2025 spotlight: a first look at plan premiums and benefits [Issue brief]. San Francisco (CA): KFF; 2024.
[28]. USAFacts. How many people in Massachusetts are on Medicare? (CMS enrollment data, 2025). 2026.
[29]. ForHealth Consulting at UMass Chan Medical School; MassHealth. The MassHealth journey to expand Medicare enrollment [poster]. Shrewsbury (MA); 2026.
[30]. ADA News. Massachusetts Question 2 ballot measure wins by large margin: initiative establishes medical loss ratio for dental insurance plans. Chicago (IL): American Dental Association; 2022 Nov.
[31]. KFF; Health Resources and Services Administration. Dental care health professional shortage areas (HPSAs), as of December 31, 2025 [State Health Facts]. Available from: https://www.kff.org/other/state-indicator/dental-care-health-professional-shortage-areas-hpsas/
[32]. Becker's Dental Review. Dental care provider gaps, by state [HRSA shortage-area data]. 2026 Jan 15.
[33]. Commonwealth of Massachusetts. 201 CMR 17.00: Standards for the protection of personal information of residents of the Commonwealth; and Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 93H (Security breaches).
[34]. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. HIPAA Security Rule: security standards for the protection of electronic protected health information (45 CFR Part 160 and Part 164, Subparts A and C).
[35]. Center for State Policy Analysis, Tufts University. Question 2: new rules for dental insurance. Medford (MA): Tufts University; 2022 Sep.
[36]. Bastos PVO, Malaquias CMG, Castro Júnior UA, Santos ÉC, Loiola SF, Ribeiro MT, Cavalcanti NBG. Laser-assisted exodontia and oral surgery in the United States. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences. 2026;25(7):6-14. doi:10.9790/0853-2507040614
[37]. Cuevas-Nunez M, Pan A, Sangalli L, Haering HJ, Mitchell JC. Leveraging machine learning to create user-friendly models to mitigate appointment failure at dental school clinics. Journal of Dental Education. 2023. doi:10.1002/jdd.13375
[38]. Alabdulkarim Y, Almukaynizi M, Alameer A, Makanati B, Althumairy R, Almaslukh A. Predicting no-shows for dental appointments. PeerJ Computer Science. 2022;8:e1147. doi:10.7717/peerj-cs.1147
[39]. Schwendicke F, Samek W, Krois J. Artificial intelligence in dentistry: chances and challenges. Journal of Dental Research. 2020;99(7):769-774. doi:10.1177/0022034520915714
[40]. Afshar M, Baumann MR, Resnik F, Hintzke J, Sullivan AG, Wills G, et al. A pragmatic randomized controlled trial of ambient artificial intelligence to improve health practitioner well-being. NEJM AI. 2025;2(12). doi:10.1056/AIoa2500945
[41]. du Preez A, Bhattacharya S, Beling P, Bowen E. Fraud detection in healthcare claims using machine learning: a systematic review. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine. 2025:103061. doi:10.1016/j.artmed.2024.103061
[42]. Del Rey-Puech P, Balabanova D, McKee M. Artificial intelligence and corruption: opportunities and challenges in the health sector. International Journal of Health Planning and Management. 2025. doi:10.1002/hpm.70002
[43]. Dinari F, Ghaemi MM, Bahaadinbeigy K, Hajesmaeel-Gohari S. The use of teledentistry in diagnosis, consultation, and treatment of oral and dental diseases: a systematic review study. Health Science Reports. 2026;9(5):e71989. doi:10.1002/hsr2.71989
[44]. Bastos PVO, Picoli AH, Pierre AGS, Dalprá DS, Villa-Nova MP, Oliveira JAP, Quindos DS, Ribeiro GL, Castro KBL, Temponi NCG, Marçal CW, Carracena Junior JA. Progresso na saúde bucal de escolares nas favelas e territórios de conflito armado do Rio de Janeiro: o programa dentescola. Revista edUCA. 2026;9. doi:10.54901/educa.v9-447
[45]. Bastos PVO, Oliveira JAP, Marçal CW, Lima PAD, Apolinário VC, Loiola SF, Lauxen KRM, Calazans A. Antimicrobial and osteoinductive nanocoatings in titanium dental implants: a narrative review of advances from 2010 to 2026. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences. 2026;25(7):26-35.