IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering (IOSR-JCE)
e-ISSN: 2278-0661, p-ISSN: 2278-8727, Volume 27, Issue 3, Ser. 4 (May. – June. 2025), PP 57-64
www.iosrjournals.org

Digital Banking and the Future of Financial Accessibility: Opportunities and Challenges

Abstract
This paper explores the changing role of digital banking in transforming financial accessibility for underserved communities across the United States. Against persistent economic inequities and structural barriers to traditional banking services, the rise of digital-only banks presents a potential inflection point in the national financial environment. Utilizing artificial intelligence (AI), biometric authentication, and mobile-first platforms, these institutions provide unparalleled convenience, affordable financial products, and instant access, benefits that are particularly important for low-income households, immigrants, and individuals in rural or banking-desert areas. Despite the advantages, access remains uneven, as obstacles like digital literacy gaps, cybersecurity risks, and inconsistent regulatory frameworks hinder equitable participation and risk deepening the marginalization of vulnerable communities. This study undertakes a multi-dimensional analysis of these dynamics, emphasizing the urgent need for culturally responsive, bilingual financial education as a foundational enabler of inclusion. It highlights the proposed FinTech Education Center in Texas as a scalable model for integrating fintech literacy, community engagement, and responsible innovation. The Center serves as a replicable solution for closing the digital divide and ensuring long-term economic empowerment.

Lastly, the paper argues that technology alone is insufficient without human-centered design, policy reform, and sustained educational investment.

Keywords and Phrases: *Digital Banking, Financial Inclusion, Underserved Communities, Digital Literacy, Fintech Education, Regulatory Gaps, AI in Finance, Mobile Banking Platforms, Equitable Access.*

Date of Submission: 14-06-2025 Date of Acceptance: 28-06-2025

I. INTRODUCTION

In the United States, access to basic financial services remains uneven despite the proliferation of digital banking innovations (Kahan, 2024). In 2023, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) reported that 4.2% of U.S. households, approximately 5.6 million, were unbanked, lacking checking or savings accounts at banks or credit unions while 49.7% of households utilized nonbank online payment services like PayPal, Venmo, or Cash App (FDIC, 2023). These figures describe deep structural inequalities in the financial sector, often aligned with race, income, education level, and geography. The FDIC's 2023 report revealed significant disparities in unbanked rates among racial groups, with only 1.9% of White households being unbanked, compared to 10.6% of Black households, 9.5% of Hispanic households, and 12.2% of American Indian or Alaska Native households.

Digital payment usage experienced significant growth during COVID-19 due to mobility restrictions and concerns over cash hygiene, with two-thirds of adults globally now engaging in digital payment transactions (World Bank, 2022). Lockdowns and public health restrictions forced banks to limit in-person interactions, pushing millions of consumers toward mobile and online platforms. Between 2020 and 2023, McKinsey reports that the share of consumers actively using mobile banking grew by 18 percentage points to 57%, while mobile banking touchpoints surged 72%, reaching 150 annual interactions per customer and surpassing some top e-commerce players, positioning the industry for substantial growth and expanded financial accessibility (SBS, 2024). While this rapid shift describes the potential of digital banking to bridge access gaps, it also magnifies existing divides. Rural communities, low-income households, elderly populations, and individuals with limited digital literacy often face significant barriers to adopting these services. In California, 81% of unbanked households consist of individuals earning less than \$15 per hour, while 61% of unbanked households have annual incomes below \$30,000, and 41% fall below \$15,000 annually, highlighting significant ties between financial exclusion and low income levels (Stoller and Chávez, 2025).

This paper seeks to analyze the constantly changing digital banking in the United States and its implications for financial accessibility. It examines how digital-only banking models, those that operate entirely online without brick-and-mortar branches, may offer new pathways to inclusion by reducing operating costs, extending reach into underserved areas, and leveraging fintech innovations for personalized financial management. However, it also considers the risks of deepening exclusion if challenges such as internet access, cybersecurity, digital literacy, and regulatory protections are not adequately addressed.

In response to these concerns, the paper introduces the concept of a FinTech Education Center, a strategic intervention aimed at promoting financial and digital literacy among marginalized populations. This initiative is envisioned as a public-private partnership that provides training, resources, and advisory support to help individuals navigate the digital financial ecosystem confidently and securely. Analyzing the dual promise and pitfalls of digital banking, this paper aims to contribute to shaping a more inclusive financial future.

THE LANDSCAPE OF FINANCIAL EXCLUSION IN THE U.S.

Despite technological advances in the financial services industry, millions of Americans continue to be excluded from the formal banking system. The FDIC's 2023 National Survey of Unbanked and Underbanked Households highlights that 8.0% of households used nonbank money orders, 2.7% utilized nonbank check-cashing services, and 6.6% relied on nonbank money transfer services in 2023. That same year, 14.2% of U.S. households, equivalent to 19.0 million, were underbanked, meaning they maintained accounts with banks or credit unions but predominantly used nonbank financial products and services. Additionally, 48.3% of banked households reported mobile banking as their primary method of account access (Library of Congress, 2023). The condition of being unbanked or underbanked continues to disproportionately impact communities of color, low-income households, immigrants, and individuals with limited educational attainment.

Financial exclusion in the U.S. is often a mirror of broader socioeconomic inequality. It is deeply intertwined with low income levels, as evidenced by the significant proportion of unbanked households earning below modest income thresholds (Stoller and Chávez, 2025). Immigrants and individuals without formal identification documents also face challenges accessing traditional banking institutions. Although these are categorized as underbanked frequently have accounts with mainstream banks but resort to AFS due to limited trust in banks, unstable income flows, or barriers to accessing affordable credit (Rasure, 2022).

Common Barriers to Banking Access

Several persistent factors contribute to financial exclusion. In the U.S., the primary factors contributing to individuals remaining unbanked include insufficient financial resources, a lack of trust in financial institutions, and privacy concerns (Downey, 2024). Lack of trust in financial institutions remains a critical barrier. According to the survey by Business Insider, 15.7% of unbanked respondents cited a distrust of banks or privacy concerns as key reasons for not having an account (Business Insider, 2025). Low income and unpredictable financial conditions prevent many from maintaining minimum balances, thereby risking overdraft fees or account closures. The costs of maintaining a bank account, such as meeting minimum balance requirements and paying fees for overdrafts or other services, often lead users, especially those who frequently use overdrafts, to close their accounts due to the burden of high fees (Boel & Zimmerman, 2022). Geographic inaccessibility, particularly in rural or banking desert regions, limits in-person access to branches or ATMs, often necessitating costly workarounds like check-cashing outlets. Limited access to high-speed internet remains a significant barrier for poor rural communities and rural communities of color, restricting their ability to fully engage with fintech platforms and services essential for financial inclusion and empowerment (Friedline et al., 2020). Additionally, poor credit history, limited documentation, and digital illiteracy increasingly deter individuals from engaging with digital banking services, especially as financial institutions close physical branches and transition online. Around 24% of Americans with household incomes under $30,000 lack smartphones, and 43% of adults in lower-income brackets do not have home broadband, in stark contrast to the widespread availability of smart devices among wealthier Americans earning $100,000 or more annually (Zhou, 2022).

RISE OF DIGITAL-ONLY BANKS: A GAME-CHANGER FOR FINANCIAL ACCESS

What Are Digital-Only Banks?

Digital-only banks, often referred to as neobanks or challenger banks, are changing the financial space by providing innovative services structured to modern needs, offering greater accessibility and convenience, particularly for underserved communities who have historically faced barriers to traditional banking. Digital-only banks, often conflated with virtual or internet banking, differ from traditional banks in their complete reliance on digital infrastructure for all transactions, operating without physical branches, in-person tellers, or face-to-face customer services (Nila et al., 2022). Digital-only banks, unlike traditional institutions, operate entirely without physical branches, relying solely on app-based platforms designed for mobile interfaces, ensuring accessibility for users with smartphones and internet connections. Key players in the U.S. neobank space include Chime, Varo Bank, Current, SoFi, and Albert, all of which have gained popularity for offering simplified and lower-cost financial services. In a July 2023 survey, Cornerstone estimated that Chime serves over 38 million customers, surpassing the combined customer base of SoFi, Dave, MoneyLion, and Current, and reflecting more than a threefold increase compared to Cornerstone's 2021 estimate (Cornerstone Advisors, 2024).

Value Proposition for Underserved Communities

Digital-only banks have gained traction by addressing several structural barriers that traditionally excluded low-income, rural, or minority households from mainstream banking. Their low-to-no fee structures eliminate common pain points such as overdraft charges and minimum balance requirements, which unbanked individuals often cite as reasons for avoiding traditional banks. Chime and Varo, for example, offer no monthly maintenance fees and do not require minimum deposits, removing key financial obstacles for users living paycheck to paycheck (NerdWallet, 2022). Another notable advantage of digital-only banks is their flexibility of account setup, which minimizes paperwork and enables identity verification through government-issued IDs and selfies, making the onboarding process more accessible for undocumented or low-income individuals (Plaid, 2024; Facia, 2024).

Furthermore, the 24/7 accessibility of their platforms enables users to perform transactions, track balances, or access customer support without needing to travel or wait for business hours, a crucial advantage for gig workers and individuals with irregular schedules. According to Nur et al. (2024), customer satisfaction and loyalty are driven by intuitive interfaces, 24/7 accessibility, seamless transactions, strong security measures like encryption and multi-factor authentication, and transparent data usage policies that ensure trust.

Importantly, these banks align with technological trends that are already prevalent in marginalized communities. Approximately 24% of adults with household incomes below $30,000 annually lack smartphones, while 43% do not have home broadband, and 41% lack desktop or laptop computers, making mobile-first platforms a crucial tool for reaching those who rely on mobile internet access (World Economic Forum, 2021). Digital-only banks, by catering to this demographic, offer a direct and scalable mechanism for promoting financial inclusion in environments where traditional banking has been inadequate or inaccessible.

INNOVATIONS POWERING DIGITAL BANKING

1. Biometric Authentication

Biometric authentication, such as facial recognition and fingerprint scanning, has become an essential tool for ensuring security in mobile banking apps. This technology improves security by reducing the risk of fraud and identity theft while also enabling faster, password-free access. For users with low literacy or those who struggle with complex login credentials, biometrics can simplify the authentication process. With 15.9 billion mobile devices in use worldwide in 2022, a number expected to rise to 18.2 billion by 2025, mobile biometrics demonstrate immense potential as a more secure and user-friendly alternative to traditional passwords and PINs for accessing apps and services (Liébana-Cabanillas et al., 2024). The global biometric authentication and identification market, valued at over 3.5 billion U.S. dollars in 2020, is projected to grow to nearly 8.8 billion by 2026, with banking and financial institutions accounting for over two billion U.S. dollars, alongside government and border management bodies as key end users (Statista, 2025).

Despite their advantages, biometric systems face limitations, as individuals with older or budget smartphones may struggle to access these technologies due to device incompatibility (Keith, 2024). Moreover, privacy risks related to the storage and misuse of biometric data are significant, particularly for communities historically subject to surveillance or data breaches (Paul, 2024). Unlike passwords or ID tokens, biometric features are permanent and cannot be reissued or canceled, making it highly challenging, if not impossible to replace compromised traits like fingerprints or other physiological characteristics, which poses risks for future authentication.

2. AI-Powered Financial Advisors

AI-powered financial advisory systems address challenges like high fees, limited accessibility, and human biases in traditional services by providing cost-effective, scalable, and unbiased solutions that enhance financial literacy and inclusion (Ricky, 2024). Artificial intelligence tools like robo-advisors and chatbots are contributing to the transformation of financial advice. Robo-advisors have transformed wealth management by automating investment services, offering personalized financial advice at significantly lower costs than traditional advisors, and continuously refining their recommendations to better serve a diverse range of users (Onabowale, 2025). Yang & Lee (2024) found that personalized investment recommendations, human-like empathy, and ongoing advancements in GenAI enhance consumer perceptions of authenticity, giving a utilitarian mindset that influences both willingness to engage with and resistance to AI-driven financial advice. These platforms offer real-time assistance with budgeting, saving, and investing, helping users, particularly those without access to traditional financial planners make informed decisions. Companies like Cleo offer AI-powered tools for tracking spending, setting budgets, automating savings and micro-investments, providing cash advances, and supporting credit building (Cleo, 2023). These tools can democratize financial knowledge at scale. However, concerns persist regarding algorithmic bias, limited transparency in how advice is generated, and the potential inadequacy of AI in complex or emotionally nuanced financial situations (Ricky, 2024). Lakshmi (2024) warns that excessive dependence on AI without human oversight may exacerbate financial literacy gaps, highlighting the need for a balanced approach to AI-driven financial services.

3. Mobile-First UX and Embedded Finance

Digital banks thrive on intuitive, mobile-first platforms designed for continuous engagement. Mobile-first UX design prioritizes the development of a website's interface for smartphones before adapting it to desktop versions, ensuring an optimized experience designed to mobile users from the start (Bob, 2025). These apps often integrate bill payments, rent transfers, and peer-to-peer transactions into a unified experience. More recently, embedded finance, financial services embedded into non-financial apps, has surged, allowing users to access banking features directly through platforms like ride-sharing or e-commerce apps. While over 80% of consumer lenders have embraced embedded lending to enhance profitability through automation, only 45% of SMB lenders offer embedded credit, missing opportunities to provide dynamic financing, despite a growing demand and advancements in open banking that create a prime opportunity for innovation before widespread adoption reshapes the market.

Gamification and simplified dashboards are also being used to boost engagement and promote financial literacy. Parents can use the platform to learn alongside their children, ensuring open conversations about money at home, instilling financial awareness as a family value, and helping kids develop a strong sense of financial responsibility (Pillai et al., 2025). Saral Lee (2025) from NumberAnalytics highlights that budgeting apps like Mint and YNAB offer customizable dashboards for financial tracking, while Personal Capital and Quicken provide highly accurate transaction categorization, and goal-setting apps like Qapital and SmartyPig use visualization tools to make financial targets more tangible and achievable. These interfaces reduce cognitive barriers and help users, particularly those new to financial systems, feel more in control of their finances.

CHALLENGES TO FINANCIAL ACCESSIBILITY VIA DIGITAL BANKING

1. Cybersecurity and Trust

Fast digitalization of financial services has made consumers more vulnerable to cybersecurity threats such as identity theft, phishing scams, and digital fraud (AlHares et al., 2024). Federal Trade Commission data reveal that consumer fraud losses surged to over $12.5 billion in 2024, reflecting a 25% increase from the previous year (Federal Trade Commission, 2025). These threats erode consumer trust, especially in communities that already harbor skepticism toward formal financial institutions due to historical discrimination or prior negative experiences. Research indicates that low-income and minority populations are disproportionately affected by data breaches and often lack the resources to resolve the consequences of identity theft (DeLiema et al., 2021). Digital banks must navigate varying regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions, ensuring compliance with anti-money laundering (AML) laws, Know Your Customer (KYC) identity verification requirements, and data protection regulations like GDPR to safeguard customer information, as failure to adhere can lead to financial penalties and reputational damage (Meridian Trust, 2025).

2. Digital Literacy Gaps

Having a smartphone doesn't automatically mean someone is digitally fluent, as many individuals, especially older adults, rural populations, and immigrants, face challenges in mastering the skills needed to navigate online banking platforms effectively. A report by Third Way in 2020 highlights that one-third of Americans risk being left behind in the 21st-century economy due to digital illiteracy, as broadband access enables vital career opportunities and higher wages, yet many, especially Black and Hispanic workers, struggle with the digital skills necessary to participate effectively in today’s workforce. This digital literacy gap contributes to a new form of exclusion: those who technically have internet access or devices but are unable to engage meaningfully with the tools. Kelly (2024) emphasized the need to bridge the urban-rural digital divide, enhance digital equity in education, and develop inclusive policies through stakeholder engagement and continuous monitoring, recommending investments in digital infrastructure, subsidies for low-income households, and the integration of digital literacy into education curricula. This phenomenon particularly affects seniors and non-native English speakers, who may find banking apps unintuitive or confusing. Without targeted interventions such as community-based digital literacy programs or multilingual app interfaces, the shift toward digital banking risks reinforcing existing socioeconomic divides.

3. Regulatory and Compliance Issues

One of the most complex challenges facing digital banking is the fragmented and often ambiguous regulatory policies. Many fintech companies walk the line between financial institutions and technology firms, which means they are not uniformly governed by traditional banking laws. As a result, oversight responsibilities are dispersed among entities like the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), and various state-level regulators (Maverick, 2024). This lack of cohesion has resulted in regulatory arbitrage, where fintech firms can exploit gaps to offer services like high-interest credit products or accounts with hidden fees (Murinde et al., 2022). A report by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (2023) highlighted the risk of consumer harm due to limited federal oversight of nonbank financial companies offering services traditionally regulated by banking laws. This environment raises concerns about accountability, consumer recourse mechanisms, and the long-term stability of digital financial services offered outside traditional regulatory frameworks.

THE ROLE OF EDUCATION IN BRIDGING THE GAP

FinTech Literacy as a Foundational Skill

Financial inclusion is more than simply providing access to banking services, it requires empowering individuals with the knowledge and confidence to make informed financial decisions. Katnic et al. (2024) emphasize that financial literacy can limit the effects of economic shocks, reinforcing the importance of integrating financial education into policy frameworks aimed at sustainable development. While digital banking platforms may improve accessibility, they can also present challenges for individuals unfamiliar with financial terminology or digital tools. The user experience (UX) in banking reflects both the interface design and the thoughts, emotions, and behaviors of consumers as they interact with financial brands across digital channels. A positive UX is crucial for facilitating engagement and satisfaction, particularly for first-time users or those with limited digital exposure (Kreger, 2023).

Educating individuals on how to navigate digital platforms, evaluate financial products, and protect personal data is essential for meaningful financial participation. Such educational efforts must also reflect the linguistic and cultural diversity of target populations. Bilingual and culturally responsive programming can significantly enhance understanding and build trust, especially among immigrant and minority communities. As Wing Shuen (2023) notes, the "culture-responsive teaching" paradigm recognizes the deep interconnection between language and culture, proposing that inclusive language instruction rooted in cultural context enhances both comprehension and communication. This approach is particularly vital in fintech education, where culturally designed strategies can address barriers that often prevent marginalized groups from fully benefiting from digital financial services.

Case Study: Latino Community Credit Union (LCCU)

The Latino Community Credit Union (LCCU), headquartered in Durham, North Carolina, offers a compelling example of how culturally competent financial education, combined with digital innovation, can expand financial accessibility for underserved communities. Founded in 2000, LCCU was created in response to the financial exclusion faced by Latino immigrants who encountered systemic barriers to traditional banking. From its inception, LCCU embedded financial literacy into its service model, making education an integral part of its mission. According to Monett (2024), the credit union has dedicated more than two decades to providing ethical financial products and culturally designed education. As it expanded, now serving 135,000 members across all 50 states and managing over $1 billion in assets, LCCU recognized the need to upgrade its technological capabilities. It partnered with Jack Henry and adopted the Symitar core platform to support scalable, secure, and bilingual (Spanish and English) digital services. This platform enabled the credit union to offer personalized financial tools, including microbusiness loans and commercial accounts, while maintaining cultural relevance. To further its digital transformation, LCCU integrated Lumin Digital's cloud-native infrastructure. This partnership allowed the institution to offer a seamless and secure online banking experience through features such as continuous updates, new member onboarding solutions, and real-time fintech integrations. As reported by PRNewswire (2025), these advancements not only improved convenience and user experience but also aligned with LCCU's broader organizational goals of enabling digital inclusion and sustaining an annual growth rate of 15%. Through these strategic innovations, LCCU demonstrates how financial institutions can combine cultural competency with technological modernization to serve marginalized communities effectively. The institution's approach provides a scalable model for other credit unions and financial service providers seeking to promote equitable financial access through digital banking.

Scalability and National Impact

The success of the Latino Community Credit Union (LCCU) shows the viability of culturally competent, education-integrated banking models in expanding financial inclusion. This model, if replicated in other financially underserved regions, has the potential to significantly reduce unbanked and underbanked rates across the United

States. Financial institutions that adopt similar approaches, prioritizing bilingual education, community engagement, and structured digital solutions, can more effectively address the unique socioeconomic and cultural needs of marginalized populations. Improved financial literacy among these groups not only facilitates greater access to credit and banking services but also empowers individuals to participate more fully in the economy. This, in turn, enables microenterprise development, supports household financial resilience, and advances national goals related to economic equity and opportunity.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Federal-Level Interventions

At the federal level, a foundational step is the establishment and funding of FinTech literacy centers in high-need areas, particularly in rural communities, low-income urban neighborhoods, and regions with large immigrant populations. A notable example of this is the National Endowment for Financial Education (NEFE) funding research projects focusing on financial literacy among underserved populations, such as college students facing food insecurity and Black adults who received financial education in high school (National Endowment for Financial Education, 2024). These centers would serve as hubs for digital financial education, offering multilingual support and hands-on training for navigating digital banking platforms. Also, the federal government should standardize consumer protection guidelines for digital financial services. Clear, enforceable rules around data privacy, algorithmic accountability, dispute resolution, and transparent fee structures would build trust among marginalized users who have historically faced predatory practices. Incentivizing innovation that addresses financial exclusion, such as grants or tax credits for digital tools designed for low-income users, can further promote equity within the evolving financial ecosystem (Zheng & Ye, 2024).

State and Local Government Initiatives

State and local governments play a pivotal role in advancing financial inclusion by offering tax incentives that allow collaborations between FinTech firms and community-based organizations. These partnerships have contributed to the development of localized, culturally relevant financial solutions designed for diverse populations. According to Duke University (2021), FinTech companies may enroll in a national registry only if they receive a charter from a state with a qualifying chartering program. This allows Congress to confine the registry to firms engaged in lending and payment processing, while providing enrolled firms with nationwide reciprocity under federal law. This framework preempts regulations from non-chartering jurisdictions but preserves the governance authority of the chartering state. Furthermore, integrating digital financial literacy into public education curricula and adult learning programs is essential for preparing individuals to participate meaningfully in the digital economy (Kelly, 2024). Such programs should be planned to accommodate varying educational levels, from K–12 financial fundamentals to adult workforce development, and include multilingual instruction to reflect the linguistic diversity of local populations.

FinTech and Private Sector Commitments

The FinTech industry must emphasize ethical innovation by investing in explainable artificial intelligence (AI), ensuring that decision-making algorithms remain transparent and accountable, especially in areas like lending and risk assessment where clarity and fairness are essential (Černevičienė et al., 2024; Adeyelu et al., 2024). Companies should co-develop products with community input to ensure relevance, usability, and trust. Transparency must be a core principle in operations, ensuring that fees, terms, data usage policies, and customer interactions are clearly communicated and easily accessible, ensuring trust and accountability within the financial ecosystem.

II. CONCLUSION

Digital banking has the power to close the financial accessibility gap in the United States by leveraging mobile-first platforms, AI-driven financial services, and biometric authentication, enabling fintech to provide innovative tools that democratize access to essential financial resources for underserved communities. However, the realization of this promise depends on both technology and intentional strategies that prioritize inclusion, equity, and trust. The very populations that fintech purports to serve, low-income households, immigrants, rural residents, and communities of color, often face layered barriers such as digital illiteracy, systemic distrust, and insufficient regulatory protection. Without direct intervention, these barriers threaten to entrench existing inequalities rather than dismantle them.

Education stands as an important bridge between innovation and inclusion. As digital tools reshape financial ecosystems, the ability to use these systems becomes a prerequisite for full economic participation. FinTech literacy must evolve into a foundational public good, much like traditional financial literacy or basic digital competence. Providing access to financial services is just the first step; true financial inclusion requires equipping individuals with the knowledge to properly use digital platforms securely, critically evaluate financial products, and confidently advocate for their financial rights. Empowerment through financial education ensures that users can make informed decisions and fully participate in the digital economy.

The proposed FinTech Education Center offers a practical, scalable blueprint for addressing this urgent need. Integrating bilingual and culturally responsive education with community-centered design, the initiative directly addresses the roots of financial exclusion. It aligns with national goals to expand credit access, reduce unbanked rates, and ensure inclusive economic growth. Also, its potential for replication in other underserved regions means it is more than a localized intervention but a strategic model for national impact. In doing so, it places financial dignity, agency, and resilience at the heart of the digital revolution.

REFERENCES

[1]. Adeyelu, Oluwatobi & Ugochukwu, Chinonye & Shonibare, Mutiu. (2024). ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS OF AI IN FINANCIAL DECISION–MAKING: A REVIEW WITH REAL WORLD APPLICATIONS. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences. 6. 608-630. 10.51594/ijarss.v6i4.1033.

[2]. Aldboush, H. H. H., & Ferdous, M. (2023). Building Trust in Fintech: An Analysis of Ethical and Privacy Considerations in the Intersection of Big Data, AI, and Customer Trust. International Journal of Financial Studies, 11(3), 90. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs11030090

[3]. AlHares, A., Zaerinajad, Z., & Al Bahr, M. (2024). Customer awareness and cyber security in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development countries [Special issue]. Corporate & Business Strategy Review, 5(1), 371–381. https://doi.org/10.22495/cbsrv5i1siart11

[4]. Austin Telco Federal Credit Union. (2024). Understanding the Biggest Disadvantages of Payday Loans. Retrieved from https://www.atfcu.org/about/telco-blog/understanding-the-biggest-disadvantages-of-payday-loans#:~:text=High%20Interest%20Rates%20and%20Fees&text=The%20annual%20percentage%20rate%20(APR,is%20repaid%20in%20two%20weeks

[5]. Baraka, Kelly. (2024). Digital Divide and Social Inequality. International Journal of Humanity and Social Sciences. 3. 30-45. 10.47941/ijhss.2083.

[6]. Bob. (2025). Mobile-first web design tendencies. Retrieved from Wow-How Studio https://wow-how.com/articles/mobile-first-web-design-tendencies

[7]. Business Insider. (2025). What It Means to Be Unbanked in 2025. Retrieved from https://www.businessinsider.com/personal-finance/banking/unbanked

[8]. Černevičienė, J., Kabašinskas, A. (2024). Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) in finance: a systematic literature review. Artif Intell Rev 57, 216 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10854-8

[9]. Cleo. (2023). AI Meets Money – Cleo's Financial Tools & Services. Retrieved from https://web.meetcleo.com/.

[10]. Cornerstone Advisors. (2024). Where Does Chime Go From Here?. Retrieved from https://www.crnrstone.com/gonzobanker/where-does-chime-go-from-here

[11]. DeLiema M, Burnes D, Langton L. (2021). The Financial and Psychological Impact of Identity Theft Among Older Adults. Innov Aging. 2021 Oct 5;5(4):igab043. doi: 10.1093/geroni/igab043. PMID: 34988295; PMCID: PMC8699092.

[12]. Duke University. (2021). Should the states or federal government regulate fintech? Retrieved from https://sites.duke.edu/thefinregblog/2021/10/07/should-the-states-or-federal-government-regulate-fintech/

[13]. Erika Rasure. (2022). Underbanked: What It Is and Who They Are. https://www.investopedia.com/terms/u/underbanked.asp#:~:text=Underbanked%20refers%20to%20individuals%20or,of%20U.S.%20adults%20are%20underbanked.

[14]. Facia.ai. (2024). Selfie Verification in KYC Onboarding for Better Customer Experience & Digital Security. Retrieved from https://facia.ai/blog/selfie-verification-in-kyc-onboarding-for-better-customer-experience-digital-security/Facia.ai

[15]. Faisal, Nur & Nahar, Janifer & Waliullah, Md & Sultana, Razia. (2024). The Role Of Digital Banking Features In Bank Selection: An Analysis Of Customer Preferences For Online And Mobile Banking. Innovatech Engineering Journal. 1. 10.70937/faet.v1i01.10.

[16]. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. (2023). Financial stability risks of nonbank financial institutions. Retrieved from https://www.fdic.gov/news/speeches/2023/spsept2023.html

[17]. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). (2023). National Survey of Unbanked and Underbanked Households. Retrieved from https://www.fdic.gov/household-survey

[18]. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). (2024). 2023 FDIC National Survey of Unbanked and Underbanked Households. https://www.fdic.gov/household-survey/2023-fdic-national-survey-unbanked-and-underbanked-households-report

[19]. Federal Reserve Board. (2021). Wealth Inequality and the Racial Wealth Gap. Retrieved from https://www.federalreserve.gov/econres/notes/feds-notes/wealth-inequality-and-the-racial-wealth-gap-20211022.html

[20]. Federal Trade Commission. (2025). New FTC data show big jump in reported losses to fraud—$12.5 billion in 2024. Retrieved from https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2025/03/new-ftc-data-show-big-jump-reported-losses-fraud-125-billion-2024

[21]. Francisco Liébana-Cabanillas, Zoran Kalinic, Francisco Muñoz-Leiva, Elena Higueras-Castillo. (2024). Biometric m-payment systems: A multi-analytical approach to determining use intention. Information & Management, Volume 61, Issue 2, 103907, ISSN 0378-7206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2023.103907.

[22]. Friedline, Terri & Naraharisetti, Sruthi & Weaver, Addie. (2020). Digital Redlining: Poor Rural Communities' Access to Fintech and Implications for Financial Inclusion. Journal of Poverty. 24. 517-541. 10.1080/10875549.2019.1695162.

[23]. Johnny, Ricky. (2024). Autonomous Financial Advisors Powered by AI. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387460294_Autonomous_Financial_Advisors_Powered_by_AI

[24]. Kahan, Nasir. (2024). BRIDGING THE GAP: FINANCIAL INCLUSION IN THE U.S. COMPARED TO GLOBAL TRENDS IN DEVELOPED AND DEVELOPING COUNTRIES.

[25]. Katnic, I., Katnic, M., Orlandic, M., Radunovic, M., & Mugosa, I. (2024). Understanding the Role of Financial Literacy in Enhancing Economic Stability and Resilience in Montenegro: A Data-Driven Approach. Sustainability, 16(24), 11065. https://doi.org/10.3390/su162411065

[26]. Kreger, Alex. (2023). User Experience Design in Banking is Used to Make Digital Products Outcompete. 10.13140/RG.2.2.29939.20002.

[27]. Lau, Wing Shuen. (2023). Culturally Responsive Literacy Instruction and Social–Emotional Teaching Practices for Linguistically Diverse Learners in the United States.

[28]. Lakshmi, Y. (2024). THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON FINANCIAL LITERACY. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts. 5. 10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i5.2024.1606.

[29]. Lea Uradu. (2024). Financial Solutions for Unbanked and Underbanked Black Households. Retrieved from iGrad https://www.igrad.com/articles/financial-solutions-for-unbanked-and-underbanked-black-households

[30]. Library of Congress. (2023). FinTech: 21st Century Solutions for Unbanked and Underbanked Communities. Retrieved from https://guides.loc.gov/fintech/21st-century/unbanked-underbanked#:~:text=In%20addition%2C%2014.2%20percent%20of%20U.S.%20households,their%20primary%20method%20to%20access%20their%20accounts

[31]. Lucas Downey. (2024). Unbanked: What It Means, Statistics, Solutions. Retrieved from Investopedia. https://www.investopedia.com/terms/u/unbanked.asp

[32]. Maverick J.B. (2024). What Agencies Oversee U.S. Financial Institutions? https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/063015/what-are-some-major-regulatory-agencies-responsible-overseeing-financial-institutions-us.asp

[33]. Meridian Trust. (2025). Digital-only banks: Opportunities & challenges for businesses. Retrieved from https://meridian-trust.com/insights/digital-only-banks-opportunities-challenges-businesses

[34]. Monett, Mo. (2024). Latino Community Credit Union Selects Jack Henry to Support Rapid Growth: CDFI poises to proliferate its mission of financial health and equity. https://ir.jackhenry.com/news-releases/news-release-details/latino-community-credit-union-selects-jack-henry-support-rapid

[35]. National Endowment for Financial Education. (2024). Financial education research projects exploring underserved communities funded. Retrieved from https://www.nefe.org/news/2024/04/fin-ed-research-projects-exploring-underserved-communities-funded.aspx

[36]. NerdWallet. (2022). Varo vs. Chime: Which Is Better for You?. Retrieved from https://www.nerdwallet.com/article/banking/varo-vs-chime-which-is-better-for-you

[37]. Nila Armelia Windasari, Nurrani Kusumawati, Niken Larasati, Revira Puspasuci Amelia. (2022). Digital-only banking experience: Insights from Gen Y and Gen Z. Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, Volume 7, Issue 2, 100170, ISSN 2444- 569X. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100170.

[38]. Office of the Victorian Information Commissioner. (2025). Biometrics and Privacy – Issues and Challenges. Retrieved from https://ovic.vic.gov.au/privacy/resources-for-organisations/biometrics-and-privacy-issues-and-challenges/

[39]. Oreoluwa Onabowale. (2025). The Rise of AI and Robo-Advisors: Redefining Financial Strategies In The Digital Age. International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews, Vol 6, no 1, pp 4832-4848. ISSN2582-7421. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0125.0640

[40]. Paola Boel, Peter Zimmerman. (2022). Unbanked in America: A Review of the Literature. ISSN 2163-3738 EC 2022- 07DOI 10.26509/frbc-ec-202207

[41]. Paul, Anthony. (2024). Biometric Data Security: Balancing Convenience with Privacy. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380720927_Biometric_Data_Security_Balancing_Convenience_with_Privacy

[42]. Pillai, Bhavana & Pinge, Harshal & Pol, Ankita & Tembe, Yashada & Naware, Prof.. (2025). Gamified Learning as a Tool for Enhancing Financial Literacy among Diverse Age Groups. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology. 12. 612-621. 10.32628/IJSRSET25122178.

[43]. Plaid. (2024). Selfie identity verification: A key part of fraud prevention. Retrieved from https://plaid.com/resources/identity/selfie-id-verification

[44]. PRNewswire. (2025). Latino Community Credit Union Delivers Enhanced Digital Banking with the Implementation of Lumin Digital. https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/latino-community-credit-union-delivers-enhanced-digital-banking-with-the-implementation-of-lumin-digital-302426215.html

[45]. Rich Keith. (2024). What is Biometric Authentication? Methods & Security Features. Retrieved from Ping Identity https://www.pingidentity.com/en/resources/blog/post/biometric-authentication.html

[46]. Sarah Lee. (2025). 10 Must-Try Features in Personal Finance Apps Today. https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/must-try-features-personal-finance-apps-today

[47]. Sarah Stoller, Raúl Chávez. (2025). Eliminating Barriers to Bank Accounts: How CalAccount Can Ensure Financial Inclusion and Serve as a Model for Public Banking. https://rooseveltinstitute.org/publications/eliminating-barriers/

[48]. SBS Software. (2024). Mobile Banking Trends: Innovation and the Rise of Super Apps. Retrieved from https://sbs-software.com/insights/mobile-banking-trends-innovation/#:~:text=Meanwhile%2C%20McKinsey%20notes%20that%20the,and%202023%20to%20reach%2057%25

[49]. Statista. (2025). Biometric Authentication and Identification Market by End User. Retrieved from https://www.statista.com/statistics/1299001/biometric-authentication-and-identification-market-by-end-user/

[50]. Third Way. (2020). America's digital skills divide. https://www.thirdway.org/report/americas-digital-skills-divide

[51]. Victor Murinde, Efthymios Rizopoulos, Markos Zachariadis. (2022). The impact of the FinTech revolution on the future of banking: Opportunities and risks. International Review of Financial Analysis, Volume 81, 102103, ISSN 1057-5219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2022.102103.

[52]. World Bank. (2022). COVID-19 Boosted the Adoption of Digital Financial Services. Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2022/07/21/covid-19-boosted-the-adoption-of-digital-financial-services

[53]. World Economic Forum. (2021). Digital Divide Persists Even as Americans with Lower Incomes Make Gains in Tech Adoption. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/stories/2021/06/digital-divide-persists-even-as-americans-with-lower-incomes-make-gains-in-tech-adoption/

[54]. Yang, Q., & Lee, Y.-C. (2024). Enhancing Financial Advisory Services with GenAI: Consumer Perceptions and Attitudes Through Service-Dominant Logic and Artificial Intelligence Device Use Acceptance Perspectives. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 17(10), 470. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17100470

[55]. Zheng, W., & Ye, Z. (2024). The Incentive Effect of Digital Finance on Innovation of Small- and Medium-Sized Enterprises Considering Heterogeneity: An Empirical Study Based on Chinese-Listed Firms. Sustainability, 16(19), 8533. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16198533

[56]. Zhou, Suihan. (2022). Legal Protection of Equal Rights in the Digital Age. 10.2991/assehr.k.220504.258.