"Socio-Demographic, Psychosocial Factors in MDR And XDR-Tb With Psychiatric Co Morbidities And Their Relation with Drug Adherence."

Dr. Nilanjan Chandra, Dr. Shabina Sheth, Dr. Ritambhara Mehta

Department Of Psychiatry; Government Medical College and New CivilHospital, Surat, Gujarat

Abstract

Aims and Objective: To find out socio demographic profile, prevalence, adherence, type and factors associated with psychopathology in patients of MDR-TB & XDR-TB.

Methodology: All Indoor MDR & XDR-TB patients of a state general hospital in urban setting of western India during 1 year were enrolled. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale(HADS), Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale(BPRS). General Health Questionnaire(GHQ), Moriskv 8-Item Medication Adherence Questionnaire(M8MAQ) were applied to assess anxiety and depression, psychosis, general health condition, treatment adherence respectively. Statistical Analysis was done to find out factors associated with psychopathology in MDR-TB & XDR-TB.

Results: 56% (n=84) of MDR vs 62.5% (n=16) of XDR patients had abnormal scores in HADS –A subscale ; 54.8% (n=84) of MDR vs 56.3% (n=16) of XDR patients had abnormal scores in HADS-D subscale. On HADS-A subscale patients with normal, borderline abnormal and abnormal scores had GHQ mean value 10.7, 19.33, and 27.40 respectively. On HADS-D subscale patients with normal, borderline abnormal and abnormal scores had GHO mean value 11.17, 15.22, and 27.91 respectively. Only 2.4% were noted with hallucinatory behaviour in MDR TB who were on Cycloserine. In MDR vs XDR TB patients 46.4% vs 31% and 37.5% vs 18.8% had high and medium adherence respectively. In contrast 43.8% of XDR and 22.6% of MDR had low adherence. Association of depression and anxiety with variables of sociodemographic profile were found statistically insignificant.

Conclusion: Illness is the only factor associated with anxiety and depression while variables like sex, occupation, marital status ,type of TB, adherence are not much important.

Keywords: MDR and XDR TB, Depression, Anxiety, Adherence

I. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a chronic infectious multi systemic disease caused by mycobacterium tuberculosis and is one of the leading causes of mortality worldwide. The literature indicates that psychiatric comorbidity before and after tuberculosis onset, psychological issues such as stigma, isolation, sense of social support, helplessness, and other psychological reactions to the disclosure of the diagnosis as well as medication sideeffects, all adversely affect the treatment adherence. On the other hand, studies report high prevalence rates of psychiatric comorbidity among patients with drug-resistant tuberculosis and the prevalence of depression and anxiety significantly correlates with severity and duration of the disease. The causal relationships between mental disorders and tuberculosis are complex. Severe mental disorders are associated with high risk of tuberculosis acquisition, transmission and with poorer adherence to anti-TB treatment. Conversely, diagnosis with tuberculosis increases risk of psychiatric comorbidity.

As the prevalence of tuberculosis rises in specific groups especially among individuals who are more likely to be psychologically distressed than the general population like homeless, immigrants, and HIV patients; researchers conclude that in order to increase the cure rates of tuberculosis psychiatric, comorbidity must be identified and treated.

Although patient's perceptions about TB remain largely unknown, yet the literature shows a lot of reactions of TB patients to the disclosure of their diagnosis and these reactions included feelings of loneliness, depression, suicidal thoughts, fear, apathy, shock, concern, surprise (in relation to the lack of symptoms), and acceptation. The possible reasons for these emotions may be the stigma discrimination and social isolation attached to the disease or lack of knowledge of TB, or fear of loss of income on account of long duration of treatment." [1]

Depression and Acute stress disorder are the common stress-related conditions of TB patients. Reactions to the stressful situation brought about by the illness negatively affecting an individual's ability to work; social isolation, lowered self-esteem, fear of spreading the illness to others, helplessness brought out by incapacitation due to severity of chronic illness, and social stigma attached to this illness, are all possible causes that one can postulate for depression and anxiety. Dependence on alcohol and other drugs could be the response to anxiety and depression [2].

Materials AndMethods

A cross-sectional study using a semi-structured questionnaire was carried out in indoor patients of TB and Chest Medicine department of a state general hospital in urban setting of western India. Patients of age between 15 to 60 years with informed consent were included in the study. Patients fulfilling criteria for Alcohol, Opium, Ganja or other substance dependence, recently diagnosed with HIV, with language barrier, not communicating in Gujarati / Hindi or English were excluded from the study.

Patients diagnosed as having MDR/XDR TB were admitted for initiation of treatment and management in TB and Chest Ward. Study enrolled all patients admitted from May 2014 to May 2015. Patients were evaluated as consecutive sampling after initial stabilization of doses. After collecting the demographic data, patients with anxiety and depression were assessed by Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). For assessing psychotic symptoms, Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) and for screening of general health condition General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) were used. Morisky 8-Item Medication Adherence Questionnaire, Modified Prasad Classification were used for assessing adherence to treatment and socioeconomic class respectively. After data collection patients were divided into two groups- MDR and XDR groups. Statistical Analysis was done between these two groups to find out factors associated with psychopathology in MDR-TB & XDR-TB.

Scales Administered:

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)

HADS is a 14 item scale, 7 items relate to anxiety and 7 relate to depression. A number of researchers have explored HADS data to establish the cut-off points for case of anxiety or depression [3]. Through a systematic review of a large number of studies identified a cut-off point of 8/21 for anxiety or depression. For anxiety (HADS-A) this gave a specificity of 0.78 and a sensitivity of 0.9. For depression (HADS-D) this gave a specificity of 0.79 and a sensitivity of 0.83.

Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS)

BPRS was developed primarily to assess change in psychotic symptoms in patients and covers a broad range of areas, including thought disturbance, emotional withdrawal and retardation, anxiety and depression, hostility and suspiciousness etc. Its 18 items are rated on a seven-point item-specific Likert scale from 0 to 6, with the total score ranging from 0 to 108 (in some scoring systems, the lowest level for each item is 1, and the range is 18 to 126). Reliability of the BPRS is good to excellent when raters are experienced. Validity is also good as measured by correlations with other measures of symptom severity, especially those assessing schizophrenia symptomatology. [4]

General Health Questionnaire(GHO-12)

The 12-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) is a self-report measure of psychological morbidity, intended to detect "psychiatric disorders...in community settings and non-psychiatric settings" [5]. It is widely used in both clinical practice [6], epidemiological research [7] and psychological research [8].

Morisky	8-Item	Medication	Adherence	Questionnaire
---------	--------	------------	-----------	---------------

The eight-item Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS-8) [9] is a structured self-report measure of medication-taking behavior. This measure was designed to facilitate the recognition of barriers to and behaviors associated with adherence to chronic medications such as psychiatric drugs. The scale provides information on behaviors related to medication use that may be unintentional (e.g., forgetfulness) or intentional (e.g., not taking medications because of side effects.

"Table 1: Distribution of Sociodemograp	n of Sociodemographic profile and other variables"							
Parameters	MDR	XDR						
Sex Distribution								
Male	57	7						
Female	27	9						
Educational Stat	tus							
No Schooling	14	2						

II.	Results	And	Discussion

Primary School (1-8)	32	2
Secondary School	20	11
Higher Secondary School & Above	18	1
Marital Status		
Married	57	11
Unmarried	26	6
Family Type		
Nuclear	42	9
Joint	42	7
Socio-economic status		
Upper High	2	1
High	28	4
Upper Middle	27	5
Lower Middle	22	3
Poor	5	3
Occupation		
Unemployed	36	12
Unskilled labour	19	3
Skilled labour	25	1
Professional	4	0
Number of Hospital admission		
1-2 times	64	8
3-4 times	19	7
5-6 times	1	1

A total of 100 individuals(who fulfilled the inclusion criteria) were taken up for the study.84 patients had MDR TB while 16 patients had XDR TB .Nearly half of the patients of MDR i.e 42.9% (n=84) and 75% (n=16) of XDR were unemployed.During lifetime 76.19% (n=84) of MDR vs 9.52% (n=16) of XDR participants were admitted 1-2 times, 22.62% (n=84) of MDR vs 43.75% (n=16) of XDR participants were admitted 3-4times and 1.19% (n=84) of MDR vs 6.25% (n=16) of XDR participants were admitted 5-6 times due to TB in hospital [Table 1].

62% of patients were diagnosed with Tuberculosis 3 years back and started antitubercular drugs by doctors. With increasing awareness about Tuberculosis, majority of the patients started treatment with private physician but were not properly informed about the necessity of regular follow ups, total duration of treatment, prognosis and the importance of strict drug adherence. As per treating doctor majority of patients continued antitubercular drugs for a long time, but with the improvement in symptoms they discontinued treatment. With regards to overall support from family, 92% had subjective feeling of good support from family members and rated 5 in semi structured questionnaire measuring 1 to 5 in Likert scale.

"Table 2: Association between HAD	S-A scores and various variables"
-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

		HADS-ANXIETY (HADS-A)					
Variables		Normal	Borderline Abnormal	Abnormal	Total	chi square	р
Sex	Male	22	5	37	64	1 2 2 5	0.51
	Female	15	1	20	36	1.325	0.51
Occupation	Unemployed	20	1	27	48		
	Unskilled	5	3	14	22	8.075	0.23
	Skilled	11	1	14	26		
	Professional	1	1	2	4		
Education	Illiterate	2	2	12	16		
	Primary	13	1	20	34	10.756	0.55
	Secondary	13	3	15	31		

"Socio-Demographic, Psychosocial Factors In Mdr And Xdr-Tb With Psychiatric

	Higher secondary	9	0	10	19		
Family	Nuclear	18	4	29	51	0.672	0.71
	Joint	19	2	28	49	0.072	0.71
Marital status	Married	23	5	40	68	2.762	0.6
	Unmarried	14	1	17	32	1	
SEC	Upper high	1	1	1	3		
SEC	High	13	1	18	32	6.523	0.589
	Upper middle	11	1	20	32		
	Lower middle	10	2	13	25		
	Poor	2	1	5	8		
Adherence	High	16	4	25	45		
	Medium	11	1	17	29	1.221	0.875
	Low	10	1	15	26		
Type of TB	MDR	32	5	47	84	0.273	0.872
	XDR	5	1	10	16	0.275	0.072

On anxiety subscale in HADS taking the cut off score as 8/21, the range of abnormal scores was 9 to 20. 57.8% (n=64) male patients had abnormal score while 55.6% (n=36) of females had abnormal score. 56.3% (n=48) of unemployed people vs 63.6% (n=22) of unskilled worker vs 53.8% (n=26) of skilled person vs 50% (n=4) of professional had abnormal score but the results are not statistically significant. Among illiterate, primary, secondary and higher secondary and above groups 75% (n=16); 58.8% (n=34); 48.4% (n=31); 52.6% (n=19) were having abnormal scores respectively. 56.9% (n=51) of nuclear type family vs 57.1% (n=49) of joint family were found to have abnormal scores. As per modified Prasad classification for socio-economic class, 33.3%(n=3); 56.3%(n=32); 62.5%(n=32); 52%(n=25); 62.5%(n=8) had abnormal scores among upper high, high, upper middle, lower middle class and poor class respectively. 55.6% (n=45) of high adherence group vs 58.6% (n=29) of medium adherence group vs57.7%(n=26) of low adherence group had abnormal scores. [Table 2]

Variables		HADS-DEPRESSION(HADS-D)					
			Borderline			chi	
		Normal	Abnormal	Abnormal	Total	square	Р
Sex	Male	24	4	36	64		
	Female	12	5	19	36	1.655	0.437
Occupation	Unemployed	17	5	26	48		
	Unskilled	7	2	13	22		
	Skilled	10	2	14	26		
	Professional	2	0	2	4	0.987	0.986
	Illiterate	3	2	11	16		
	Primary	12	4	18	34		
Education	Secondary	12	2	17	31		
	Higher						
	secondary	9	1	9	19	9.071	0.642
Family	Nuclear	16	7	28	51	3.202	0.202

"Table 3: Association between HADS-D scores and various variables"

"Socio-Demographic,	Psychosocial	Factors I	In Mdr And 2	Xdr-Tb	With Psychiatric

	Joint	22	2	27	49		
Marital							
status	Married	24	7	37	68		
	Unmarried	12	2	18	32	2.197	0.7
	Upper high	1	0	2	3		
	High	14	1	17	32		
SEC	Upper						
	middle	8	5	19	32		
	Lower						
	middle	10	2	13	25	5.209	0.735
	High	17	5	23	45		
Adherence	Medium	9	3	17	29		
	Low	10	1	15	26	1.557	0.816
Type of TB	MDR	29	9	46	84		
	XDR	7	0	9	16	2.037	0.361

On depression subscale in HADS, the range of abnormal scores was 10 to 20. 56.3% (n=64) of male patients vs 52.8% (n=36) of females had abnormal scores. 54.2% (n=48) of unemployed people ,59.1% (n=22) unskilled workers, 53.8% (n=26) of skilled persons ,50% (n=4) of professional had abnormal scores. Among illiterate, primary, secondary and higher secondary and above groups ;68.6% (n=16); 52.9% (n=34); 54.8% (n=31); 47.4% (n=19) were found to have abnormal scores respectively.54.9% (n=51) of nuclear type of family vs 55.1% (n=49) of joint family had abnormal scores. As per as marital status is concerned, 54.4% (n=68) of married persons vs 56.3% (n=32) of unmarried persons had abnormal scores. As per modified Prasad classification for socio-economic class 66.7% (n=3); 53.1% (n=32); 59.4% (n=32); 52%(n=25); 50%(n=8) had abnormal scores among upper high, high, upper middle, lower middle class and poor people respectively. 51.1% (n=45) of high adherence group vs 58.6% (n=29) of medium adherence group vs 57.7% (n=26) of low adherence group had abnormal scores [Table3]. 56% (n=84) of MDR vs 52.5% (n=16) of XDR patients had abnormal scores in HADS –A, while in HADS-D scale 54.8% (n=84) of MDR vs 56.3% (n=16) of XDR patients had abnormal scores. Whether anxiety or depression was associated with other variables like sex, occupation, education, family type, marital status, socio-economic class, adherence, type of TB or not is checked by chi square test and found to be statistically not significant (p=>0.05).

Variables		Adherenc	e			chi	
			Medium		Total	square	Р
		High (%)	(%)	Low (%)	(%)	square	
SEX	Male	28(43.8)	17(26.6)	19(29.7)	64(100)	1.356	0.508
	Female	17(47.2)	12(33.3)	7(19.4)	36(100)	1.550	0.000
Occupation	Unemployed	19(39.6)	17(35.4)	12(25)	48(100)		
	Unskilled	9(40.9)	5(22.7)	8(36.4)	22(100)	6.467	0.373
	Skilled	15(57.7)	7(26.9)	4(15.4)	26(100)		
	Professional	2(50)	0(0)	2(50)	4(100)		
Education	Illiterate	9(56.3)	3(18.6)	4(25)	16(100)		
	Primary	14(41.2)	10(29.4)	10(29.4)	34(100)	6.251	0.903
	Secondary	13(41.9)	11(35.5)	7(22.6)	31(100)		

"Table 4: Association between adherence and various variables"

	Higher						
	Secondary	9(47.4)	5(26.3)	5(26.3)	19(100)		
Family	Nuclear	22(43.1)	18(35.3)	11(21.6)	51(100)	2.288	0.854
	Joint	23(46.9)	11(22.4)	15(30.6)	49(100)	2.200	
Marital	Married	29(42.6)	19(27.9)	20(29.4)	68(100)		
Status	Unmarried	16(51.6)	9(29)	6(19.4)	31(100)	3.69	0.45
	Widow	0(0)	1(100)	0(0)	1(100)		0.45
SEC	Upper High	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	0(0)	3(100)		
	High	17(53.1)	7(21.9)	8(25)	32(100)		
	Upper				32(100)		
	middle	14(43.8)	10(31.3)	8(25)	52(100)	4.033	0.854
	Lower				25(100)		
	middle	8(32)	9(36)	8(32)	23(100)		
	Poor	4(50)	2(25)	2(25)	8(100)		
Type of TB	MDR	34(46.4)	26(31)	19(22.6)	84(100)	3.236	0.198
	XDR	6(37.5)	3(18.8)	7(43.8)	16(100)	3.200	

46.4% of MDR TB vs 37.5% of XDR TB patients were highly adherent. Whether adherence was associated with other variables of socio-demographic profile like sex, occupation, education, family type, marital status, socio-economic class, type of TB or not is checked by chi square test and found to be statistically not significant (p=>0.05)[Table 4].

"Table 5 : Distribution of GHQ and HADS-A scores"

HADS-	GHQ		
ANXIETY	mean	SD	
Normal	10.7	5.115	
Borderline			
Abnormal	19.33	1.966	
Abnormal	27.40	6.954	
Total	20.74	10.020	

"Table 6: Distribution of GHQ and HADS-D scores"

HADS-	GHQ		
DEPRESSION	mean	SD	
Normal	11.17	5.401	
Borderline			
Abnormal	15.22	4.842	
Abnormal	27.91	6.569	
Total	20.74	10.020	

On HADS-A subscale for assessing anxiety; patients with normal, borderline abnormal and abnormal scores were found to have GHQ mean value of 10.7, 19.33, and 27.40 respectively. On HADS-D subscale for assessing depression; normal, borderline abnormal and abnormal patients had GHQ mean value of 11.17, 15.22, and 27.91 respectively. Thus higher values in GHQ correlated with severity of anxiety or depression [Table 5 and Table 6].

Only 2 out of 84 patients of MDR had psychotic Symptoms as delusion of persecution and auditory hallucination who were on cycloserine.

First author/	ous studies related to psychiatric co m Study design	Measurement	Results
references		instruments	
Tandon et al.,	100 tubercular patients/control	Hamilton rating	32% of tuberculosis
1980[10]	group: patients undergoing	scale for	patients demonstrated the
	treatment for long-term fever of	depression	presence of depression.
	any etiology except tuberculosis		
	from a clinic of Tuberculosis and		
	Chest Diseases Hospital,		
	Allahabad.		
Natani et al.,	150 patients of pulmonary	Beck	A depression rate of 49% in
1985[11]	tuberculosis admitted in Hospital	Depressive	hospitalized TB patients,
	for Chest Diseases and	Inventory.	which decreased with
	Tuberculosis, Jaipur.		favorable response to
			chemotherapy but
			increased in those with
			persistently positive
			sputum, up to 64%.
Meghnani et al.,	110 hospitalised TB patients in a	Hamilton rating	A depression rate of 53.6%.
1988[12]	Chest Hospital in Jodhpur.	scale for	
		depression.	
		Revised Clinical	
		Review Schedule	30% had anxiety or tension
		for assessing	while 26% had loss of
	100 patients attending	psychiatric	interest for life or
Eram et al.,	tuberculosis clinic under Rural	morbidity and the	depression. 6% of patient
2006[13]	and Urban Health Training	Short Explanatory	denied the diagnosis while
	Centre in Aligarh.	Model Interview	20% of them could not
		to identify	explain how they felt.
		patients'	
		perspectives of	

		their illness.	
Bansal et al., 2010[14]	214 outpatients registered at DOTS centre in Kanpur, India.	Cornell Medical Index and 16PF- Test FORM-A.	82.2% had psychiatric comorbidity; 85.2% had anxiety neurosis, and 14.8% had depression. On personality assessment, 54.1% were anxious, 26% introverts, 15.8% extroverts, and 4.1% had other personality traits.
Panchal et al., 2011[15]	600 patients of pulmonary TB admitted in Hospital for Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis, Jaipur.	Beck depressive inventory.	Depression was present in 82% in female tuberculous inpatients and in 52.6% in males immediately after the diagnosis.
Chandrashekar et al., 2012[16]	100 patients hospitalized for pulmonary tuberculosis in Bangalore.	MINI- International Neuro Psychiatric Interview Scale.	46% of psychiatric morbidity, majority is depressive disorders (36%) followed by anxiety disorders (24%)/comorbidity of depressive and anxiety disorders in 16% of patients.

Various studies across India showed that prevalence of depression and anxiety were common in TB patients ranging between $1/3^{rd}$ to $3/4^{th}$ of total study population but very few studies were done in fatal conditions like MDR and XDR TB patients exclusively [Table7]; our study focussed on prevalence, psychosocial factors affecting psychiatric co morbidities, adherence of MDR and XDR TB patients and it was found that approximately $3/5^{th}$ of the study population had anxiety and depression corroborating with the previous studies but association of depression and anxiety with psychosocial factors like sex, occupation, education, family type, marital status, socio-economic class were not statistically significant.

III. Conclusions

Socio demographic variables do not significantly affect the profiles of anxiety and depression in MDR and XDR TB patients. So illness is the only robust factor which is associated with depression and anxiety while other variables like sex, occupation, marital status ,type of TB, adherence is not much important.

Reference

- [1]. Rajeswari R, Muniyandi M, Balasubramanian P, Narayanan PR. Perceptions of tuberculosis patients about their physical, mental and social well-being: a field report from south India. Social Science and Medicine. 2005;60(8):1845–1853. [PubMed]
- [2]. Mathai PJ, Ravindran P, Joshi P, Sundaram P. Psychiatric morbidity in pulmonary tuberculosis—a clinical study. Indian Journal of Psychiatry. 1981;23(1):66–68. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [3]. Bjelland, I; et al. (2002). "The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. An updated literature review". Journal of Psychosomatic Research 52 (2): 69–77. doi:10.1016/s0022-3999(01)00296-3. PMID 11832252.
- [4]. Overall JE, Gorham DR (1962). The brief psychiatric rating scale. Psychological Reports 1962 vol. 10, pp799-812
- [5]. Goldberg DP, Williams P. A User's Guide to the General Health Questionnaire. Windsor: nferNelson; 1988.
- [6]. Richardson A, Plant H, Moore S, Medina J, Cornwall A, Ream E. Developing supportive care for family members of people with lung cancer: a feasibility study. Supportive Care in Cancer.2007;15(11):1259– 69. doi: 10.1007/s00520-007-0233-z. [PubMed] [Cross Ref]
- [7]. Henkel V, Mergl R, Kohnen R, Maier W, Möller HJ, Hegerl U. Identifying depression in primary care: a comparison of different methods in a prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2003;326:200–201. doi: 10.1136/bmj.326.7382.200. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Cross Ref]
- [8]. Jones M, Rona RJ, Hooper R, Wesseley S. The burden of psychological symptoms in UK Armed Forces. Occupational Medicine. 2006;56(5):322–328. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kql023. [PubMed][Cross Ref]
- D.E. Morisky, A. Ang, M. Krousel-Wood, H.J. Ward, The Journal of Clinical Hypertension, 10 (2008), pp. 348–354 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j. 1751-7176.2008.07572.x
- [10]. Tandon AK, Jain SK, Tandon RK, Asare R. Psychosocial study of tuberculosis patients. Indian Journal of Psychiatry. 1980;27(4):171–173.
- [11]. Natani GD, Jain NK, Sharma TN. Depression in tuberculosis patients: correlation with duration of disease and response to anti-tuberculous chemotherapy. Indian Journal of Tuberculosis. 1985;32(4):195– 198.
- [12]. Meghnani ML, Motiani PD, Purohit DR, Singh RD, Sharma TN. Depression in hospitalized patients of pulmonary tuberculosis and role of anti depressants—a pilot study. Lung India. 1988;6(1):22–25.
- [13]. Eram U, Khan IA, Tamanna Z, Khan Z, Khaliq N, Abidi AJ. Patient perception of illness and initial reaction to the diagnosis of tuberculosis. Indian Journal of Community Medicine. 2006;31(3):2006-07– 2006-09.
- [14]. Bansal A, Chaudhri S, Agnihotri S. Impact of psychiatric morbidity and personality trait on treatment completion and default in patients taking directly observed treatment for tuberculosis. European Respiratory Society, 2010.
- [15]. Panchal SL. Correlation with duration and depression in TB patients in rural Jaipur district. International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences. 2011;2(2):p. B.263.
- [16]. Chandrashekar TR, Denzil P, Rajendrkuma K, Shantha AR, HungundBhagyashri R, Joshi Arun V. A study of psychiatric morbidity among patients suffering from pulmonary tuberculosis. Medico-Legal Update. 2012;12(2):26–29.