Navigating COVID-19 Vaccination Side Effects: Insights from Bangladesh

Tanveer SKM¹, Hossain A², Naser AZM³, Parves MM⁴, Alam MR⁵, Rahman MM⁶, Akter T⁷, Ahmed E⁸

¹Dr. Sharif Kamrul Md Tanveer, Department of Dentistry, Rajshahi Medical College Hospital, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

²Md. Alamgir Hossain, Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh

³Dr. A Z M Naser, Department of Pharmacy, Jahangirnagar University, Dhaka, Bangladesh ⁴Mohammad Masud Parves, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh

⁵Mohammad Rezwanur Alam, Department of Production, Orion Pharma Limited, Dhaka, Bangladesh ⁶Md Mominur Rahman, Senior Technical Advisor, Management Sciences for Health (MSH), Dhaka, Bangladesh ⁷Tuhin Akter, Marketing & Business Development, International Online Journal Hub Ltd., Dhaka, Bangladesh ⁸Ehashan Ahmed, Department of Research and Publication, International Online Journal Hub Ltd, Dhaka,

Bangladesh

Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has necessitated a global vaccination effort to control the spread of the virus and mitigate its impact. In Bangladesh, multiple vaccines have been deployed to achieve widespread immunity. This literature review aims to synthesize findings on vaccine side effects, public perception, acceptance, and the effectiveness of the vaccination campaign in Bangladesh.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using databases such as PubMed and Google Scholar. Studies focusing on the side effects, public perception, and effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in Bangladesh were included. The review also examined global data to provide comparative insights.

Results: The review indicates that public perception of COVID-19 vaccines in Bangladesh is generally positive, with significant support for mandatory vaccination. However, concerns about vaccine safety and potential side effects persist, affecting vaccine acceptance rates. Common side effects reported include pain at the injection site, fever, fatigue, and headache, which align with global findings. The efficacy of different vaccines used in Bangladesh, such as Oxford-AstraZeneca, Pfizer-BioNTech, Sinopharm, Moderna, Sinovac, and Janssen, varies but generally shows substantial protection against severe disease and hospitalization. Logistical challenges, socio-economic barriers, and misinformation are significant hurdles to vaccination efforts.

Conclusion: The COVID-19 vaccination campaign in Bangladesh has made considerable progress in controlling the pandemic. Addressing concerns about vaccine safety, improving public health communication, and overcoming logistical and socio-economic barriers are critical to enhancing vaccine acceptance and coverage. Future research should focus on long-term vaccine effectiveness and side effects, particularly in diverse demographic groups, to inform public health policies and ensure the health and safety of the population.

Keywords: COVID-19, Vaccination, Side Effects, Public Perception, Bangladesh, Vaccine Acceptance, Public Health

Date of Submission: 01-06-2024	Date of Acceptance: 11-06-2024

I. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has precipitated a global health crisis of unprecedented proportions, leading to severe morbidity, mortality, and economic disruption worldwide. Since its emergence in late 2019, the virus has infected millions and strained healthcare systems across the globe (1). The rapid development and deployment of vaccines have been critical in curbing the spread of the virus and mitigating its impact. Vaccination has been widely recognized as one of the most effective measures to control infectious diseases, offering a pathway to herd immunity and the potential to restore normalcy (2). In the context of the global vaccination effort, Bangladesh has implemented a comprehensive vaccination campaign to combat COVID-19.

The vaccination rollout in Bangladesh commenced in early 2021, prioritizing frontline healthcare workers and subsequently expanding to the general population. The country has utilized multiple vaccines, including Oxford-AstraZeneca (Covishield), Pfizer-BioNTech, Sinopharm, Moderna, Sinovac, and Janssen (Johnson & Johnson) (3,4). Despite the concerted efforts of the government and international health organizations, vaccine coverage and acceptance have varied, influenced by factors such as public perception, logistical challenges, and vaccine availability (5). Understanding the side effects of these vaccines is crucial for several reasons. Public concerns about vaccine safety can significantly influence vaccine uptake. Studies on vaccine side effects provide essential data that can reassure the public, address vaccine hesitancy, and guide healthcare providers in managing and communicating potential adverse events (6,7). The role of side effect studies is to not only document the incidence and severity of adverse reactions but also to improve public confidence in vaccination programs through transparency and evidence-based communication (8). The selection of multiple vaccines for use in Bangladesh's vaccination campaign reflects a strategic approach to maximize coverage and mitigate supply constraints. Each vaccine type, including the Oxford-AstraZeneca, Pfizer-BioNTech, Sinopharm, Moderna, Sinovac, and Janssen, has undergone rigorous clinical trials to establish its safety and efficacy. However, real-world data on side effects are vital to fully understand their safety profiles in diverse populations (9). For instance, studies have shown that the side effects of the Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine are generally mild and transient, including pain at the injection site, fever, and headache, with similar findings reported for the Pfizer-BioNTech and Sinopharm vaccines (10). In Bangladesh, the side effects reported by vaccine recipients align with those observed in other parts of the world. Common side effects include local reactions such as pain at the injection site and systemic symptoms like fever, fatigue, and headaches. These symptoms are typically short-lived and indicative of the body's immune response to the vaccine (11,12). Notably, the frequency and severity of side effects can vary based on demographic factors such as age, gender, and underlying health conditions. For example, younger individuals and females have reported higher incidences of side effects compared to older adults and males (13). The importance of understanding these side effects extends beyond individual health. Public health efforts in Bangladesh have focused on educating the community about the benefits and potential risks of COVID-19 vaccination. Effective communication strategies, including transparent reporting of side effects and their management, are crucial to maintaining public trust and encouraging widespread vaccination (11,14). Additionally, the successful implementation of vaccination campaigns depends on addressing logistical challenges and ensuring equitable access to vaccines across different regions and communities (5).

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the side effects associated with COVID-19 vaccines used in Bangladesh, with a particular focus on the Oxford-AstraZeneca, Pfizer-BioNTech, Sinopharm, Moderna, Sinovac, and Janssen vaccines. By synthesizing data from various studies, we seek to enhance the understanding of vaccine safety profiles and contribute to the ongoing efforts to optimize vaccination strategies. The findings will help inform public health policies, improve vaccine acceptance, and ultimately support the goal of achieving widespread immunity against COVID-19 in Bangladesh and beyond.

II. Methods

A comprehensive literature search was conducted to identify relevant studies on COVID-19 vaccine side effects, public perception, acceptance, and effectiveness. The databases used for this search included PubMed, Google Scholar, and Consensus. The search terms employed were "COVID-19 vaccine side effects," "COVID-19 vaccine acceptance," "COVID-19 vaccine perception," "COVID-19 vaccination in Bangladesh," along with specific vaccine names such as "Oxford-AstraZeneca," "Pfizer-BioNTech," "Sinopharm," "Moderna," "Sinovac," and "Janssen." Studies were included if they were published in English, focused on COVID-19 vaccines and their side effects, public perception, acceptance, or effectiveness, were conducted in Bangladesh or provided comparative data relevant to the Bangladeshi context, and were peer-reviewed articles, reports, or reviews. Exclusion criteria comprised studies not published in English, those not focused on COVID-19 vaccines, those irrelevant to the Bangladeshi context, and non-peer-reviewed articles, editorials, or opinion pieces.

Data extraction concentrated on key aspects of each study, including author(s) and publication year, study design and sample size, key findings related to vaccine side effects, public perception, acceptance, and effectiveness, as well as study limitations. The extracted data were synthesized to identify common themes and patterns across the studies. A comparative analysis was conducted to highlight differences and similarities in vaccine side effects and effectiveness among different vaccines. The quality of the included studies was assessed based on study design and methodology, sample size and representativeness, consistency and reliability of findings, and transparency in reporting limitations. Studies were categorized based on their overall quality to ensure that the synthesis was based on robust and reliable data.

The analysis involved summarizing the key findings from the literature, conducting a comparative analysis of side effects among different vaccines, synthesizing data on public perception and acceptance of

vaccines in Bangladesh, identifying challenges and barriers to vaccination efforts, and highlighting gaps in the current literature while suggesting areas for future research. This approach ensured that the findings of this review were based on a comprehensive and systematic analysis of relevant studies.

III. Literature Review

The purpose of this literature review is to provide a comprehensive examination of the side effects associated with COVID-19 vaccines, with a specific focus on the experience in Bangladesh. By synthesizing data from various studies, this review aims to enhance understanding of vaccine safety profiles, address public concerns, and inform public health policies. The review is structured to cover an overview of COVID-19 vaccines, reported side effects globally, findings from studies in Bangladesh, public perception, and challenges to vaccination efforts.

COVID-19 Vaccination Overview

The global effort to develop and deploy COVID-19 vaccines has been one of the most significant scientific endeavors in recent history. Vaccines are biological preparations that provide immunity against specific diseases by stimulating the body's immune system to recognize and fight pathogens such as viruses or bacteria. The rapid development of multiple COVID-19 vaccines has been crucial in controlling the pandemic, reducing morbidity and mortality, and allowing for a return to normalcy.

General Background on COVID-19 Vaccines

COVID-19 vaccines work by training the immune system to recognize and combat the virus that causes COVID-19, known as SARS-CoV-2. These vaccines use different technologies to deliver the antigen (a piece of the virus that triggers an immune response) into the body, prompting the immune system to respond more effectively if it encounters the virus in the future (15). The development of these vaccines was expedited through unprecedented global collaboration, funding, and regulatory flexibility, allowing for faster clinical trials and emergency use authorizations.

Types of Vaccines Used Globally

1. mRNA Vaccines:

- **Pfizer-BioNTech (BNT162b2)** and **Moderna (mRNA-1273)**: These vaccines use messenger RNA (mRNA) to instruct cells to produce the spike protein found on the surface of SARS-CoV-2. This protein triggers an immune response without causing the disease itself. mRNA vaccines have shown high efficacy rates of around 94-95% in preventing symptomatic COVID-19 (16). They are known for their ability to be quickly developed and modified, making them adaptable to emerging variants (17).
- 2. Viral Vector Vaccines:
- Oxford-AstraZeneca (ChAdOx1-S) and Janssen (Johnson & Johnson, Ad26.COV2.S): These vaccines use a different virus (not the SARS-CoV-2 virus) as a vector to deliver genetic material that encodes the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2. The immune system then mounts a response to this protein. The Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine has an efficacy of around 70%, while the Janssen vaccine has an efficacy of approximately 66% with the advantage of being a single-dose regimen (18,19).
- 3. Protein Subunit Vaccines:
- Novavax (NVX-CoV2373): This type of vaccine includes harmless pieces of the virus (often protein fragments) that trigger an immune response. Novavax's candidate has shown an efficacy of around 89% in clinical trials and uses a more traditional approach compared to mRNA and viral vector vaccines (20).
- 4. Inactivated Virus Vaccines:
- Sinopharm (BBIBP-CorV) and Sinovac (CoronaVac): These vaccines use virus particles that have been killed or inactivated so they cannot cause disease. These vaccines prompt an immune response similar to that of natural infection but without causing the illness. Sinopharm and Sinovac vaccines have shown varying efficacy rates from 50% to 79% but are easier to store and transport compared to mRNA vaccines, making them suitable for use in many parts of the world, particularly in low-resource settings (21).

Overview of Vaccines Used in Bangladesh

Bangladesh has adopted a multi-vaccine strategy to maximize its COVID-19 vaccination coverage. The country has utilized several vaccines, each contributing to different phases of the vaccination campaign:

1. Oxford-AstraZeneca (Covishield):

• The Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine, branded as Covishield in India and Bangladesh, was one of the first vaccines administered in Bangladesh. It uses a chimpanzee adenovirus vector to deliver the spike protein gene of SARS-CoV-2, stimulating an immune response. It has been widely used due to its established safety profile and relatively simple storage requirements (18).

2. Pfizer-BioNTech:

• The Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine (BNT162b2) is an mRNA vaccine that has been used in various phases of the vaccination campaign, particularly in urban populations and among healthcare workers and high-risk groups. Its high efficacy and adaptability to new variants make it a critical component of the vaccination strategy (16)(Mulligan et al., 2020).

3. Sinopharm:

• The Sinopharm BBIBP-CorV vaccine is an inactivated virus vaccine widely administered due to its ease of storage and distribution. It has been used extensively in both urban and rural areas, particularly where cold chain logistics are a challenge (21).

4. Moderna:

• Moderna's mRNA-1273 vaccine has been part of the vaccination effort, especially in areas with the necessary cold chain infrastructure. It provides an additional option for high-risk populations and healthcare workers, complementing the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine (17).

5. Sinovac:

• Sinovac's CoronaVac, another inactivated virus vaccine, has been used in mass vaccination drives, particularly in rural and remote areas. Its lower efficacy compared to mRNA vaccines is offset by its stability and ease of storage (20).

6. Janssen (Johnson & Johnson):

• The single-dose Janssen Ad26.COV2.S vaccine has been utilized to reach remote areas and populations where follow-up for a second dose is challenging. Its single-dose regimen simplifies the logistics of the vaccination campaign (19).

This diversified approach allows Bangladesh to maximize its vaccination coverage, ensuring that different populations can access vaccines suited to their specific logistical and health needs. By leveraging multiple vaccines, Bangladesh aims to achieve widespread immunity and control the spread of COVID-19 effectively.

Reported Side Effects of COVID-19 Vaccines

The deployment of COVID-19 vaccines has been accompanied by extensive monitoring of their side effects. Understanding these side effects is crucial for public confidence and vaccine uptake. The side effects can be broadly categorized into local and systemic reactions, with varying degrees of severity reported globally.

General Side Effects Reported Globally

Studies have documented a range of side effects associated with COVID-19 vaccines. Generally, the side effects are mild to moderate and self-limiting. Commonly reported side effects include pain at the injection site, fatigue, headache, muscle pain, chills, fever, and nausea (22,23).

Local Side Effects

Local side effects typically involve reactions at the injection site. The most frequently reported local side effect is pain, often accompanied by redness, swelling, and itching. These symptoms usually resolve within a few days without medical intervention (24).

Systemic Side Effects

Systemic side effects are more widespread and can affect multiple parts of the body. Common systemic side effects include fever, fatigue, headache, myalgia (muscle pain), and chills. These symptoms are generally transient, appearing within a day or two after vaccination and lasting for a short duration (25,26).

Comparative Analysis of Side Effects Among Different Vaccines

1. Oxford-AstraZeneca:

• The Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine, widely used in many countries, has been associated with both local and systemic side effects. Pain at the injection site, myalgia, and headache are the most commonly reported symptoms. Some studies have noted a higher incidence of side effects after the first dose compared to the second (25).

2. Pfizer-BioNTech:

• The Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine, an mRNA-based vaccine, is known for its high efficacy but also comes with common side effects such as injection site pain, fatigue, headache, and fever. These side effects are usually mild and resolve quickly. Notably, the severity and frequency of side effects are higher after the second dose (22,27).

3. Sinopharm:

• The Sinopharm vaccine, an inactivated virus vaccine, has a lower incidence of side effects compared to mRNA vaccines. Commonly reported side effects include pain at the injection site, fever, and fatigue. These effects are generally mild and transient (23,28).

4. Moderna:

- Similar to Pfizer-BioNTech, the Moderna vaccine (mRNA-1273) also induces common side effects such as injection site pain, fatigue, headache, and fever. These side effects are typically more pronounced after the second dose (24,26).
- 5. Sinovac:
- The Sinovac vaccine, another inactivated virus vaccine, has been associated with fewer side effects compared to mRNA vaccines. The most common side effects include injection site pain and mild systemic reactions like fever and fatigue (23,28).

6. Janssen (Johnson & Johnson):

• The Janssen vaccine, a viral vector vaccine, is notable for its single-dose regimen. Reported side effects include injection site pain, headache, fatigue, and muscle pain. The incidence of side effects is comparable to other vaccines but tends to be less severe due to the single-dose nature (19,26).

Studies on COVID-19 Vaccination Side Effects in Bangladesh Overview of Studies Conducted in Bangladesh

Several studies have been conducted in Bangladesh to monitor and analyze the side effects of COVID-19 vaccines. These studies aim to provide local data to enhance vaccine confidence and inform public health strategies.

Findings on Side Effects Specific to the Bangladeshi Population

Studies in Bangladesh have reported similar side effects to those observed globally. The most common side effects include pain at the injection site, fever, headache, and fatigue. However, the frequency and severity of these side effects can vary based on demographic factors (14).

Frequency and Types of Side Effects

The frequency of side effects reported in Bangladesh aligns with global patterns. Most side effects are mild to moderate in severity and resolve within a few days. Injection site pain and fever are the most frequently reported side effects, followed by fatigue and headache (3).

Comparison with Global Data

The side effect profiles observed in Bangladesh are consistent with international findings. The incidence of severe adverse reactions is low, and the majority of side effects are non-life-threatening and self-limiting. This consistency helps in reassuring the public about the safety of COVID-19 vaccines (11,12).

Demographic Factors Influencing Side Effects in Bangladesh

1. Age:

- Younger individuals tend to report higher rates of side effects compared to older adults. This is consistent with global trends, where younger age groups experience more robust immune responses, leading to more pronounced side effects (11).
- 2. Gender:
- Females generally report higher frequencies of side effects compared to males. This difference is observed across various vaccines and is thought to be related to hormonal and immunological differences between genders (3).

3. Pre-existing Conditions:

• Individuals with pre-existing conditions, such as diabetes and hypertension, report side effects at rates similar to the general population. However, specific symptoms and their severity can be influenced by underlying health conditions (11,12).

These studies provide valuable insights into the safety and side effect profiles of COVID-19 vaccines in Bangladesh, supporting the overall goal of achieving widespread vaccination coverage and public confidence.

Public Perception and Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccines in Bangladesh Community Knowledge and Attitudes Towards Vaccination

The knowledge and attitudes of the Bangladeshi population towards COVID-19 vaccination have been pivotal in shaping public acceptance. A study by Haque et al. (2021) found that a majority of respondents believed in the efficacy of the COVID-19 vaccine and supported mandatory vaccination (3). Similarly, Mahmud et al. (2021) reported that while 61.16% of respondents were willing to accept the vaccine, many preferred to delay vaccination until the safety and efficacy of the vaccine were more established (29). Another study focusing on university students highlighted that 72.7% were willing to receive the vaccine, although 37% had negative perceptions about its safety (30).

Factors Affecting Vaccine Acceptance and Hesitancy

Several factors influence vaccine acceptance and hesitancy in Bangladesh. Socio-demographic factors such as age, gender, education, and income significantly affect vaccine acceptance. Older age groups, higher educational attainment, and higher income levels are associated with higher acceptance rates (3,29). Additionally, previous vaccination experience and higher perceived risk of COVID-19 infection positively influence vaccine acceptance. Conversely, misinformation, concerns about side effects, and distrust in the vaccine development process contribute to vaccine hesitancy (29).

Impact of Side Effects on Public Perception

The occurrence of side effects has a considerable impact on public perception and vaccine uptake. Concerns about potential side effects and adverse reactions can deter individuals from getting vaccinated. In Bangladesh, studies have shown that fear of side effects is a significant barrier to vaccine acceptance. For instance, Parvej et al. (2021) noted that 57.41% of participants reported minor side effects such as fever, muscle pain, and headache, which influenced their perception of the vaccine's safety (31).

Strategies to Improve Vaccine Acceptance

To improve vaccine acceptance, it is crucial to address public concerns and provide accurate information. Strategies include transparent communication about vaccine safety and efficacy, addressing misinformation, and involving community leaders and healthcare professionals in vaccine promotion. Educational campaigns that highlight the benefits of vaccination and dispel myths can also play a vital role (11). Additionally, making the vaccination process accessible and convenient, such as through mobile vaccination units and community health workers, can enhance uptake in rural and underserved areas (30).

Effectiveness of COVID-19 Vaccines in Bangladesh

Overview of Vaccine Effectiveness Studies

Studies assessing the effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in Bangladesh have shown promising results. The vaccines used in the country, including Oxford-AstraZeneca, Pfizer-BioNTech, Sinopharm, Moderna, Sinovac, and Janssen, have demonstrated varying degrees of effectiveness in preventing symptomatic infection and severe disease (11).

Comparative Analysis of Vaccine Efficacy

The efficacy of different vaccines used in Bangladesh varies. The Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine has shown an efficacy of approximately 70%, while Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna vaccines, both mRNA-based, have shown efficacy rates of around 94-95% (17). The inactivated virus vaccines, Sinopharm and Sinovac, have reported lower efficacy rates, ranging from 50% to 79%, but are still effective in preventing severe disease and hospitalization (21).

Infection Rates Post-Vaccination

Post-vaccination studies indicate a significant reduction in COVID-19 infection rates among vaccinated individuals. Data from Bangladesh reflect global trends, showing that vaccinated individuals are less likely to contract COVID-19 and, if infected, tend to experience milder symptoms compared to unvaccinated individuals (3).

Severity of Illness in Vaccinated Individuals

Vaccinated individuals who do contract COVID-19 generally experience less severe illness. This includes lower rates of hospitalization and intensive care unit (ICU) admissions. Studies have shown that vaccines are highly effective in preventing severe outcomes, which is critical for managing healthcare resources and reducing mortality (29).

Impact of Vaccination on Public Health Outcomes

The widespread administration of COVID-19 vaccines in Bangladesh has had a positive impact on public health outcomes. It has contributed to reducing the spread of the virus, lowering hospitalization rates, and decreasing COVID-19-related deaths. The vaccination campaign has also enabled the gradual resumption of economic and social activities, aiding in the country's recovery from the pandemic (11).

Challenges and Barriers to COVID-19 Vaccination in Bangladesh

Logistical Challenges

Logistical challenges, such as vaccine distribution and storage, pose significant barriers to the COVID-19 vaccination campaign in Bangladesh. The need for cold chain storage for vaccines like Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna presents difficulties, especially in rural and remote areas. Ensuring timely distribution and maintaining the cold chain is critical to preserving vaccine efficacy (5).

Socio-Economic Barriers

Socio-economic factors, including poverty, low literacy rates, and limited access to healthcare, hinder vaccine uptake. Rural and low-income populations are particularly affected, with higher rates of vaccine hesitancy and lower vaccination coverage. Addressing these barriers requires targeted interventions, such as mobile vaccination clinics and community outreach programs (30).

Misinformation and Trust Issues

Misinformation and lack of trust in vaccines are significant barriers to vaccination. Myths and rumors about vaccine safety, efficacy, and potential side effects can spread rapidly, particularly through social media. Building public trust through transparent communication and engaging trusted community leaders and healthcare professionals in vaccine advocacy is essential (29).

Strategies to Overcome These Challenges

To overcome these challenges, a multifaceted approach is needed. This includes:

- **Improving Vaccine Access**: Establishing mobile vaccination units, expanding vaccination centers to rural areas, and ensuring vaccines are available at no cost can improve access.
- Educational Campaigns: Conducting widespread educational campaigns to provide accurate information about vaccine safety and efficacy, dispel myths, and encourage vaccination.
- Engaging Community Leaders: Involving religious and community leaders in promoting vaccination can help build trust and acceptance within communities.
- Streamlining Registration Processes: Simplifying the vaccination registration process and providing assistance for those with limited digital literacy can enhance vaccine uptake (11,30).
- By addressing these challenges and implementing effective strategies, Bangladesh can improve vaccination coverage and protect its population against COVID-19.

Author	Year	Key Findings	Limitations
Haque et al (3).	2021	Majority believe in vaccine efficacy; support for mandatory vaccination	Limited to specific demographics; cross- sectional study
Mahmud et al (29).	2021	61.16% willing to accept vaccine; delay preference due to safety concerns	Potential response bias; limited generalizability
Hossain et al (30).	2021	72.7% university students willing to receive vaccine; 37% negative perceptions on safety	Focused on university students; may not represent general population
Parvej et al (31).	2021	57.41% reported minor side effects; influenced perception of vaccine safety	Small sample size; limited follow-up
Islam et al (11).	2021	Positive community knowledge; attitudes towards vaccination; transparency important	Cross-sectional design; limited temporal insights
Nazmunnahar et al (5).	2023	Key strategies: prompt response, early drives, awareness campaigns	Primarily descriptive; limited in-depth analysis
Yan et al (21).	2021	Efficacy of different vaccines varies; important for vaccination strategy	Focused on clinical trials; real-world effectiveness varies
Thompson et al (17).	2021	Vaccines significantly reduce infection rates; milder symptoms in vaccinated individuals	Based on interim data; long-term effects not assessed

Summary of Key Findings

Synthesis of Findings

The literature on COVID-19 vaccination in Bangladesh provides comprehensive insights into public perception, vaccine acceptance, and the effectiveness of vaccination campaigns. Key findings indicate a generally positive attitude towards vaccination, with significant support for mandatory vaccination measures (3). However, concerns about vaccine safety and side effects persist, affecting vaccine acceptance rates (29,30).

Minor side effects reported post-vaccination have a noticeable impact on public perception, underscoring the need for transparent communication (31).

The effectiveness of different vaccines used in Bangladesh is consistent with global data, showing reduced infection rates and milder symptoms in vaccinated individuals. Studies highlight the importance of prompt and strategic vaccination drives, coupled with effective awareness campaigns to enhance vaccine uptake (5,17). The variation in vaccine efficacy emphasizes the need for ongoing monitoring and adaptation of vaccination strategies.

Identification of Gaps in the Current Literature

Despite the robust findings, several gaps remain in the current literature. Most studies are crosssectional, limiting the ability to draw causal inferences. There is a need for longitudinal studies to assess the long-term effectiveness and side effects of vaccines. Additionally, more research is needed to understand the specific barriers faced by different demographic groups, including rural populations and individuals with preexisting conditions. The impact of misinformation on vaccine hesitancy also requires further investigation to develop targeted interventions.

Conclusion

The literature review highlights the critical role of COVID-19 vaccination in controlling the pandemic in Bangladesh. The findings underscore a generally positive public perception of vaccines, although concerns about safety and side effects remain prevalent. The effectiveness of different vaccines has been affirmed, with vaccinated individuals experiencing reduced infection rates and milder symptoms. However, logistical challenges, socio-economic barriers, and misinformation pose significant hurdles to achieving widespread vaccination coverage.

Future research should focus on addressing these gaps through longitudinal studies and targeted interventions to improve vaccine acceptance. Public health policies must prioritize transparent communication, community engagement, and strategies to overcome logistical and socio-economic barriers. By addressing these challenges, Bangladesh can enhance its vaccination campaign and protect its population against COVID-19.

IV. Discussion

The COVID-19 vaccination campaign in Bangladesh has been a critical component of the national response to the pandemic. This discussion synthesizes findings from various studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of vaccine side effects, public perception, and the effectiveness of the vaccination efforts in Bangladesh. The acceptance and perception of COVID-19 vaccines among the Bangladeshi population are influenced by several factors. Studies indicate a generally positive attitude towards vaccination, with significant support for mandatory vaccination measures (3). However, vaccine hesitancy remains a challenge, driven primarily by concerns about vaccine safety and potential side effects. Mahmud et al. (2021) found that while a substantial portion of the population is willing to accept the vaccine, many prefer to delay vaccination until more safety data is available (29). This hesitancy is further compounded by misinformation and distrust in the vaccine development process, which highlights the need for transparent communication and effective public health messaging. The side effects of COVID-19 vaccines, although generally mild and transient, have a significant impact on public perception and vaccine uptake. Local side effects, such as pain at the injection site, and systemic side effects, such as fever, fatigue, and headache, are commonly reported. Studies in Bangladesh reflect these global patterns, with minor side effects influencing perceptions of vaccine safety (31). The comparative analysis of different vaccines reveals that mRNA vaccines, like Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna, tend to induce more pronounced side effects compared to inactivated virus vaccines, such as Sinopharm and Sinovac (24,28). This information is crucial for healthcare providers in managing and communicating potential side effects to the public. The effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in Bangladesh is consistent with global findings. Vaccines have significantly reduced infection rates and the severity of illness among vaccinated individuals. Studies show that vaccines like Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna have higher efficacy rates, whereas inactivated virus vaccines like Sinopharm and Sinovac, while slightly less effective, still provide substantial protection against severe disease (17,21). The positive impact of vaccination on public health outcomes, including lower hospitalization and mortality rates, underscores the importance of achieving high vaccination coverage. Despite these positive outcomes, several challenges hinder the vaccination campaign in Bangladesh. Logistical issues, such as the need for cold chain storage and distribution, particularly for mRNA vaccines, pose significant barriers. These challenges are exacerbated in rural and remote areas where infrastructure is less developed (5). Socio-economic barriers, including poverty and limited access to healthcare, also affect vaccine uptake. Rural and low-income populations exhibit higher rates of vaccine hesitancy and lower vaccination coverage, necessitating targeted interventions (30). Misinformation and trust issues further complicate the vaccination effort. Myths and rumors about vaccine safety and efficacy can spread rapidly, particularly through

social media, undermining public trust. Effective strategies to combat misinformation include engaging trusted community leaders and healthcare professionals in vaccine advocacy and conducting widespread educational campaigns (29). Simplifying the vaccination registration process and providing support for individuals with limited digital literacy are also critical steps in improving vaccine access and acceptance. The literature identifies several gaps that need to be addressed through future research. Most studies to date are crosssectional, limiting the ability to assess long-term vaccine effectiveness and side effects. Longitudinal studies are needed to provide more comprehensive data. Additionally, more research is required to understand the barriers faced by specific demographic groups, including those with pre-existing conditions and rural populations. Understanding the impact of misinformation on vaccine hesitancy and developing targeted interventions to address this issue are also crucial areas for future research. In conclusion, the COVID-19 vaccination campaign in Bangladesh has made significant strides in controlling the pandemic, despite facing numerous challenges. The positive public perception of vaccines, coupled with effective public health strategies, has resulted in substantial reductions in infection rates and severe disease. However, addressing the logistical, socio-economic, and informational barriers is essential to achieving widespread vaccination coverage and protecting the population against COVID-19. Future research and public health policies must prioritize these areas to enhance the effectiveness of the vaccination campaign and ensure the health and safety of all Bangladeshis.

V. Conclusion

The COVID-19 vaccination campaign in Bangladesh has made substantial progress in mitigating the impact of the pandemic, despite facing numerous challenges. This literature review highlights that public perception of vaccines in Bangladesh is generally positive, with significant support for vaccination efforts. However, concerns about vaccine safety and side effects persist, underscoring the need for transparent communication and effective public health messaging. The comparative analysis of different vaccines used in Bangladesh indicates that all have significantly reduced infection rates and the severity of illness among vaccinated individuals, consistent with global findings. Logistical challenges, socio-economic barriers, and misinformation remain significant obstacles to achieving widespread vaccination coverage. Addressing these issues through targeted interventions, community engagement, and ongoing research is essential. Future studies should focus on longitudinal assessments to understand long-term vaccine effectiveness and side effects, particularly in diverse demographic groups. Public health policies must prioritize these areas to enhance vaccine acceptance and ensure the health and safety of the population. By overcoming these challenges, Bangladesh can continue to build on its successes and move towards a post-pandemic future.

Funding: No funding sources *Conflict of interest:* None declared

References

- 1. Harapan H, Itoh N, Yufika A, Winardi W, Keam S, Te H, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A literature review. Journal of Infection and Public Health. 2020 May 1;13(5):667–73.
- 2. Mathieu E, Ritchie H, Ortiz-Ospina E, Roser M, Hasell J, Appel C, et al. A global database of COVID-19 vaccinations. Nat Hum Behav. 2021 Jul;5(7):947–53.
- Haque MMA, Rahman ML, Hossian M, Matin KF, Nabi MH, Saha S, et al. Acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine and its determinants: evidence from a large sample study in Bangladesh. Heliyon [Internet]. 2021 Jun 1 [cited 2024 May 25];7(6). Available from: https://www.cell.com/heliyon/abstract/S2405-8440(21)01479-1
- 4. Abedin M, Islam MA, Rahman FN, Reza HM, Hossain MZ, Hossain MA, et al. Willingness to vaccinate against COVID-19 among Bangladeshi adults: Understanding the strategies to optimize vaccination coverage. PLOS ONE. 2021 Apr 27;16(4):e0250495.
- Nazmunnahar, Ahamed B, Haque MdA, Tanbir Md, Roknuzzaman ASM, Sarker R, et al. COVID 19 vaccination success in Bangladesh: Key strategies were prompt response, early drives for vaccines, and effective awareness campaigns. Health Sci Rep. 2023 May 17;6(5):e1281.
- 6. Elgendy MO, El-Gendy AO, Mahmoud S, Mohammed TY, Abdelrahim MEA, Sayed AM. Side Effects and Efficacy of COVID-19 Vaccines among the Egyptian Population. Vaccines. 2022 Jan;10(1):109.
- Ahmed SS, Plotkin SA, Black S, Coffman RL. Assessing the Safety of Adjuvanted Vaccines. Science Translational Medicine. 2011 Jul 27;3(93):93rv2-93rv2.
- 8. Gershwin LJ. Adverse Reactions to Vaccination: From Anaphylaxis to Autoimmunity. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice. 2018 Mar 1;48(2):279–90.

- Menni C, Klaser K, May A, Polidori L, Capdevila J, Louca P, et al. Vaccine side-effects and SARS-CoV-2 infection after vaccination in users of the COVID Symptom Study app in the UK: a prospective observational study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2021 Jul 1;21(7):939–49.
- Lounis M, Rais MA, Bencherit D, Aouissi HA, Oudjedi A, Klugarová J, et al. Side Effects of COVID-19 Inactivated Virus vs. Adenoviral Vector Vaccines: Experience of Algerian Healthcare Workers. Front Public Health [Internet]. 2022 May 16 [cited 2024 May 25];10. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/public-health/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2022.896343/full
- 11. Islam MdR, Hasan M, Nasreen W, Tushar MdI, Bhuiyan MA. The COVID-19 vaccination experience in Bangladesh: Findings from a cross-sectional study. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2021 Jan 1;35:20587384211065628.
- Khan F, Khan MT, Zaman S, Mujtaba S, Batool A, Ghanghro Z, et al. Side Effects of COVID-19 Vaccines Among Diabetic Subjects and Healthy Individuals. Cureus [Internet]. 2023 Mar 10 [cited 2024 May 25];15(3). Available from: https://www.cureus.com/articles/143361-side-effects-of-covid-19-vaccines-among-diabetic-subjects-and-healthy-individuals
- Riad A, Pokorná A, Klugarová J, Antalová N, Kantorová L, Koščík M, et al. Side Effects of mRNA-Based COVID-19 Vaccines among Young Adults (18–30 Years Old): An Independent Post-Marketing Study. Pharmaceuticals. 2021 Oct;14(10):1049.
- 14. Ahamad MG, Islam AKMN, Talukder B, Ahmed MU. COVID-19 Vaccination in Bangladesh: Challenges on Price, Misinformation, and Trust [Internet]. OSF; 2021 [cited 2024 May 25]. Available from: https://osf.io/kn6ts
- Zhao J, Zhao S, Ou J, Zhang J, Lan W, Guan W, et al. COVID-19: Coronavirus Vaccine Development Updates. Front Immunol [Internet]. 2020 Dec 23 [cited 2024 May 29];11. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/immunology/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2020.602256/full
- 16. Mulligan MJ, Lyke KE, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Phase I/II study of COVID-19 RNA vaccine BNT162b1 in adults. Nature. 2020 Oct;586(7830):589–93.
- Thompson MG. Interim Estimates of Vaccine Effectiveness of BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 COVID-19 Vaccines in Preventing SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Health Care Personnel, First Responders, and Other Essential and Frontline Workers — Eight U.S. Locations, December 2020–March 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2024 May 29];70. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7013e3.htm
- Zhu FC, Guan XH, Li YH, Huang JY, Jiang T, Hou LH, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a recombinant adenovirus type-5vectored COVID-19 vaccine in healthy adults aged 18 years or older: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. The Lancet. 2020 Aug 15;396(10249):479–88.
- Sadoff Jerald, Le Gars Mathieu, Shukarev Georgi, Heerwegh Dirk, Truyers Carla, de Groot Anne M., et al. Interim Results of a Phase 1–2a Trial of Ad26.COV2.S Covid-19 Vaccine. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021 May 12;384(19):1824–35.
- 20. Hadj Hassine I. Covid-19 vaccines and variants of concern: A review. Reviews in Medical Virology. 2022;32(4):e2313.
- 21. Yan Y, Pang Y, Lyu Z, Wang R, Wu X, You C, et al. The COVID-19 Vaccines: Recent Development, Challenges and Prospects. Vaccines. 2021 Apr;9(4):349.
- 22. Anand P, Stahel VP. The safety of Covid-19 mRNA vaccines: a review. Patient Safety in Surgery. 2021 May 1;15(1):20.
- 23. Dhamanti I, Suwantika AA, Adlia A, Yamani LN, Yakub F. Adverse Reactions of COVID-19 Vaccines: A Scoping Review of Observational Studies. IJGM. 2023 Feb 20;16:609–18.
- Kadali RAK, Janagama R, Peruru S, Gajula V, Madathala RR, Chennaiahgari N, et al. Non-life-threatening adverse effects with COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccine: A randomized, cross-sectional study on healthcare workers with detailed self-reported symptoms. Journal of Medical Virology. 2021;93(7):4420–9.
- 25. Hatmal MM, Al-Hatamleh MAI, Olaimat AN, Mohamud R, Fawaz M, Kateeb ET, et al. Reported Adverse Effects and Attitudes among Arab Populations Following COVID-19 Vaccination: A Large-Scale Multinational Study Implementing Machine Learning Tools in Predicting Post-Vaccination Adverse Effects Based on Predisposing Factors. Vaccines. 2022 Mar;10(3):366.
- 26. Perrotta A, Biondi-Zoccai G, Saade W, Miraldi F, Morelli A, Marullo AG, et al. A snapshot global survey on side effects of cOvid-19 vaccines among healthcare professionals and armed forces with a focus on headache. Panminerva medica. 2021;63(3):324–31.
- 27. Komici K, Verderosa S, D'Amico F, Guerra G. Self-reported side effects following COVID-19 vaccination in athletes: A retrospective study. Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics. 2023 Aug 1;19(2):2234788.
- Mustafa ZU, Maqbool F, Wahid M, Salman M, Haroon S, Khan YH, et al. Short-term adverse effects of COVID-19 vaccines after the first, second, and booster doses: a cross-sectional survey from Punjab, Pakistan, and the implications. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2023 Jun 2;56:e0044.

- 29. Mahmud S, Mohsin M, Khan IA, Mian AU, Zaman MA. Knowledge, beliefs, attitudes and perceived risk about COVID-19 vaccine and determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in Bangladesh. PLOS ONE. 2021 Sep 9;16(9):e0257096.
- Hossain ME, Islam MS, Ghose TK, Jahan H, Chakrobortty S, Hossen MS, et al. COVID-19 vaccine acceptability among public university students in Bangladesh: Highlighting knowledge, perceptions, and attitude. Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics [Internet]. 2021 Dec 2 [cited 2024 May 29]; Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/21645515.2021.2010426
- 31. Parvej MI, Sultana S, Tabassum M, Mannan SE, Ahmed F. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and encountered sideeffects among the vaccinated in Bangladesh. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine. 2021 Aug;14(8):341.