

Unsafe Abortions In India: A Call For Policy And Practice Enhancements¹

Author

Abstract:

Unsafe abortions continue to be a critical public health concern, especially in developing countries like India. This article examines the incidence, implications, and mitigation strategies of unsafe abortions, with a focus on data from the Umaid Hospital, a regional mother and child-care institute, of Dr S.N. Medical College Jodhpur, and is the largest referral Centre in Western Rajasthan where the annual delivery load exceeds approximately twenty thousand. It highlights the gap between legal provisions and accessibility, explores the role of comprehensive abortion care (CAC) in reducing maternal morbidity and mortality, and discusses the broader socio-cultural challenges surrounding abortion. By presenting detailed data and policy recommendations, the article underscores the urgent need for systemic interventions, including legal reforms, healthcare infrastructure enhancement, and public awareness campaigns. The findings emphasize the importance of addressing unsafe abortions as part of a comprehensive approach to women's reproductive health, with an additional analysis of the Medical Termination of Pregnancy (Amendment) Rules, 2021, and the Medical Termination of Pregnancy (Amendment) Act, 2021.

Date of Submission: 08-01-2025

Date of Acceptance: 18-01-2025

I. Introduction:

Abortion remains one of the most contentious yet essential components of reproductive health. Globally, unsafe abortions account for approximately 25 million procedures annually, resulting in significant morbidity and mortality. Developing countries, where restrictive laws and inadequate access to healthcare prevail, bear a disproportionate burden. In India, the Medical Termination of Pregnancy (MTP) Act of 1971 was a progressive step towards legalizing abortion under specific circumstances. However, unsafe abortions remain prevalent due to barriers such as limited healthcare access, societal stigma, and insufficient awareness of legal provisions.

Rajasthan—a state with diverse socio-economic and cultural landscapes—offers a unique lens to study the dynamics of unsafe abortions. Despite being home to advanced healthcare facilities, the state experiences high rates of maternal mortality linked to unsafe abortion practices. This article delves into the multifaceted aspects of unsafe abortions in India, focusing on Rajasthan as a case study. It emphasizes the interplay between healthcare accessibility, education, cultural norms, and policy implementation in shaping reproductive health outcomes. Additionally, the article examines the implications of recent amendments to the MTP Act and Rules in addressing these challenges.

II. Methods:

This study adopts a mixed-method approach, combining quantitative data from Umaid Hospital, Jodhpur, with qualitative insights from interviews and literature reviews. Umaid Hospital, a prominent referral center in western Rajasthan, provided data on 100 cases of abortion between January 2024 and December 2024. Data collection included demographic profiles, gestational ages, reasons for abortion, and associated complications. Additionally, secondary sources such as national surveys, state health reports, and peer-reviewed articles were analyzed to contextualize the findings within broader trends. Legal analysis was also conducted to evaluate the efficacy and limitations of the MTP Act, 2021.

III. Results:

1. **Demographics:** Among the 100 abortion cases reviewed, 44% of women were aged 26–30 years, while 98% were married. Urban residents accounted for 24% of the cases, reflecting better access to healthcare facilities compared to rural counterparts who accounted for 24%. A significant majority (85%) were illiterate,

¹ Dr. Sobika Rana, MBBS, MS (Obstetrics & Gynecology), served as a Senior Medical Officer in Umaid Hospital of Dr. S.N. Medical College, Jodhpur, and is currently serving as Senior Medical Officer in Mathura Das Mathur Hospital of Dr. S.N. Medical College, Jodhpur. She can be reached at kewl.sobika@gmail.com.

underscoring the role of education in accessing reproductive health services. Rural women often faced logistical and financial barriers, delaying their access to safe abortion services. Women from lower socio-economic strata were more likely to seek unsafe procedures due to financial constraints and lack of information about legal and safe options.

2. **Gestational Age:** The timing of the abortion varied, with 49% conducted in the first trimester and 51% in the second trimester. First-trimester abortions were predominantly sought by women with better awareness and access to healthcare. In contrast, second-trimester abortions were associated with delays in pregnancy recognition, logistical challenges, and restrictive social norms. The risks associated with second-trimester abortions were significantly higher, including the potential for severe bleeding and infections. These abortions also required more advanced medical interventions, which were often inaccessible in rural areas.
3. **Reasons for Abortion:** Contraceptive failure emerged as the leading cause, reported in 79% of cases. This was followed by fetal anomalies (19%) and pregnancies resulting from sexual violence (2%). The high rate of contraceptive failure underscores gaps in family planning services and misinformation about contraceptive methods. Fetal anomalies were often detected late due to inadequate prenatal care, forcing women to seek second-trimester abortions. Cases of sexual violence revealed the compounded stigma and trauma faced by survivors seeking termination services. The socio-cultural stigma around abortion further discouraged women from seeking timely medical help, leading to complications.
4. **Contraceptive Awareness and Adoption:** While 79% of women reported no knowledge of contraception, there was a stark gap in the awareness and use of emergency contraceptives, with only 21% aware of their availability. Post-MTP contraception adoption was observed in 76% of cases, primarily involving oral contraceptive pills (50%). However, the uptake of long-term methods, such as intrauterine devices (IUDs), remained low due to misconceptions and inadequate counseling. Community-level interventions were identified as crucial for addressing these gaps. The findings highlight a need for integrating contraceptive counseling into post-abortion care to prevent repeat abortions.
5. **Complications:** Complications were observed in 90% of cases and included infections, incomplete abortions, and uterine damage. These were predominantly linked to interventions by unqualified providers. Rural women were disproportionately affected, reflecting the scarcity of certified healthcare facilities in these regions. Cases of septic abortion were notably higher among women who sought clandestine or unsafe procedures. The economic burden of managing complications further exacerbated the challenges faced by these women, often pushing them deeper into poverty.
6. **Healthcare Provider Engagement:** A review of healthcare infrastructure revealed that less than 60% of primary health centers (PHCs) in the region were equipped to provide CAC services. The shortage of trained providers, particularly in rural areas, exacerbated the reliance on unsafe practices. Interviews with providers highlighted the need for continuous medical education and improved supply chain mechanisms for essential medicines and equipment. Many providers expressed concerns about legal ambiguities and societal pressures, which often deterred them from offering comprehensive abortion care.

IV. Discussion

The persistence of unsafe abortions in India highlights systemic gaps in healthcare delivery, legal frameworks, and societal attitudes. Despite the MTP Act, many women remain unaware of their legal rights or face obstacles in accessing safe abortion services. The stigma surrounding abortion further deters women from seeking timely care, especially in conservative rural settings. In Rajasthan, the urban-rural divide is stark, with rural women facing compounded challenges such as inadequate transportation, limited healthcare infrastructure, and reliance on unqualified providers.

The Medical Termination of Pregnancy (Amendment) Act, 2021, and the accompanying Rules introduced progressive changes, such as increasing the gestational limit for abortion to 24 weeks for certain categories of women. These amendments aim to address issues like late detection of fetal anomalies and pregnancies resulting from violence. However, the implementation of these amendments remains uneven, particularly in rural areas where healthcare infrastructure is underdeveloped. Challenges such as a shortage of trained providers and societal stigma continue to limit the impact of these legal changes.

Education emerges as a critical determinant of safe abortion practices. Literate women are more likely to access legal and safe abortion services, as demonstrated by the high literacy rate among MTP seekers in this study. However, the limited awareness of emergency contraception underscores the need for targeted educational initiatives. Integrating sexual and reproductive health education into school curricula and community programs can bridge this knowledge gap.

Cultural norms and gender dynamics also play a pivotal role. Patriarchal attitudes often restrict women's autonomy in reproductive decision-making, leading to unintended pregnancies and unsafe abortions. Community engagement programs involving men and local leaders can help challenge these norms and promote shared responsibility in family planning.

To fully realize the potential of the MTP Act amendments, additional measures are needed:

1. **Enhancing Awareness:** Public education campaigns should focus on spreading awareness about the amended provisions of the MTP Act, targeting rural and marginalized communities.
2. **Strengthening Infrastructure:** Increased investment in healthcare infrastructure, especially in rural areas, is essential to operationalize the amended provisions effectively.
3. **Provider Training:** Regular training programs should be conducted for healthcare providers to ensure compliance with the updated guidelines and to address their concerns about legal ambiguities.
4. **Monitoring Mechanisms:** Establishing robust monitoring mechanisms can ensure accountability and improve the implementation of the Act at the grassroots level.

V. Policy Recommendations

1. **Extension of Gestational Limit:** The MTP Amendment Act, 2021, introduced several reforms and one of the most significance was allowing abortions up to 24 weeks for vulnerable groups, including survivors of sexual violence and incest, was a critical improvement. However, the definition of “vulnerable groups” remains ambiguous, leading to inconsistent application.
2. **Expansion of Healthcare Infrastructure:** Increase the number of certified abortion providers and facilities, particularly in underserved rural areas. Mobile health units and telemedicine platforms can extend services to remote regions.
3. **Strengthening Comprehensive Abortion Care (CAC):** Implement standardized training programs for healthcare providers, emphasizing safe abortion techniques and post-abortion care.
4. **Public Awareness Campaigns:** Launch widespread campaigns to educate women about their reproductive rights, legal provisions, and the availability of safe abortion services. Leveraging digital platforms and collaborations with NGOs can amplify these efforts.
5. **Addressing Stigma:** Develop initiatives to destigmatize abortion and contraception through community dialogues, media representation, and support networks for women.
6. **Monitoring and Evaluation:** Establish robust data collection systems to track abortion-related outcomes and identify gaps in service delivery. Periodic audits and reviews can inform policy adjustments.
7. **Enhanced Funding for Research:** Allocate resources to study regional disparities in abortion practices and the effectiveness of interventions, ensuring evidence-based policymaking.

VI. Conclusion

Unsafe abortions represent a significant yet preventable cause of maternal mortality and morbidity in India. Addressing this issue requires a comprehensive approach that integrates legal, medical, and social interventions. Strengthening healthcare infrastructure, amending restrictive laws, and fostering a supportive societal environment are crucial steps towards ensuring women’s reproductive rights and health. In Rajasthan and beyond, empowering women through education, awareness, and access to quality healthcare can transform reproductive health outcomes, contributing to broader gender equality and development goals.

References

- [1] World Health Organization. Abortion Care Guideline, 2022.
- [2] Ministry Of Health And Family Welfare, India. Comprehensive Abortion Care Guidelines, 2017.
- [3] Stillman M, Et Al. Abortion In India: Current Trends And Challenges. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2017;5(1):E90-E99.
- [4] Bhattacharya S, Et Al. Unsafe Abortion In India: A Study Of Septic Abortions In Rural Areas. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2020;150(2):231-237.
- [5] Iyengar K, Et Al. Trends In Abortion Services In Rajasthan. *Reproductive Health Matters*. 2021;29(58):145-153.
- [6] Sedgh G, Et Al. Induced Abortion Worldwide: Global Trends And Regional Patterns. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10227): 801-811.
- [7] Ganatra B, Et Al. Availability Of Medical Abortion Pills And The Role Of Chemists: A Study From Bihar And Jharkhand, India. *Reproductive Health Matters*. 2020;28(59):65-74.
- [8] Ministry Of Health And Family Welfare, India. National Health Policy, 2021.
- [9] Guttmacher Institute. Unintended Pregnancy And Abortion In India. New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2020.
- [10] Jejeebhoy SJ, Et Al. Increasing Access To Safe Abortion In Rural India. Population Council, 2019.