IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)
e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 24, Issue 7 Ser.3 (July 2025), PP 31-37
www.iosrjournals.org

Solving Intrinsic Tooth Discoloration: A Review of Current Research, Clinical Protocols, and Best Practices

Nivedhidha M[1], Logeshwaran G[2], Mageswaren R[2], Sathya Kumar M[1], Aravindhan R[1*], Magesh K T[1], Sivachandran A[1], Richa singh[1], Swathi S[1]

[1]*(Department of oral Pathology, SRM Kattankulathur Dental College, SRM Nagar, Chengalpattu District, Pin -603203, Tamil Nadu, India)*

[2]*(CRI, SRM Kattankulathur Dental College, SRM Nagar, Chengalpattu District, Pin -603203, Tamil Nadu, India)*

Abstract:
Teeth discoloration stems from internal structures or external deposits that create different clinical challenges. Internal stains affect the teeth's calcified tissues, while external stains come from film deposits, pigment, or calculus on the enamel surface, exposed dentin, or cementum. A proper understanding of tooth discoloration's mechanism plays a significant role in accurate diagnosis and patient communication. Analysis of teeth staining causes reveals that internal discoloration happens due to chromogenic material within the enamel or dentin. This material can become part of the tooth during development or after eruption. Endemic fluorosis stands out as the most common pre-eruptive staining, which results from excessive fluoride intake during tooth development. Treatment approaches for tooth discoloration vary by a lot based on whether structural changes in dental hard tissues cause the staining or if it comes from endodontic procedures and root filling materials. This article will explore current research on intrinsic tooth discoloration and present evidence-based clinical protocols that lead to effective management.

***Key Word**: **Intrinsic tooth discoloration, Tooth whitening, Dental aesthetics, Restorative dentistry, Clinical protocols, Best practices**.*

Date of Submission: 02-07-2025 | Date of Acceptance: 11-07-2025

I. Introduction

Tooth discoloration arises from either intrinsic changes within the tooth's internal structures or extrinsic deposits on its external surfaces, each presenting distinct clinical challenges. Intrinsic (internal) stains involve the calcified tissues of the tooth—enamel and dentin—whereas extrinsic (external) stains result from surface film deposits, pigments, or calculus accumulating on the enamel, exposed dentin, or cementum. A thorough understanding of the underlying mechanisms of tooth discoloration is crucial for accurate diagnosis, effective treatment planning, and meaningful patient communication. Analysis of the etiological factors reveals that intrinsic discoloration is primarily caused by chromogenic substances incorporated into the enamel or dentin, either during tooth development (pre-eruptive) or following eruption (post-eruptive). Among pre-eruptive causes, endemic fluorosis is the most prevalent, resulting from excessive fluoride exposure during the formative stages of tooth development.

Treatment modalities for tooth discoloration vary significantly depending on the etiology. Discoloration stemming from structural alterations in dental hard tissues requires a different approach compared to staining induced by endodontic procedures, such as root canal therapy and the use of certain root filling materials. Article aims to delve into current research on intrinsic tooth discoloration and provide evidence-based clinical protocols to guide effective and individualized patient management.

II. Etiological factors in intrinsic tooth discoloration

Structural changes in dental hard tissues or variations in their thickness cause intrinsic tooth discoloration. Dentists need to understand why it happens to diagnose and plan treatments effectively. The causes fall into three categories: systemic, local, and medication-induced.

Systemic Causes

Dental fluorosis stands out as the most common cause of intrinsic staining. This happens when people get too much fluoride exposure while their teeth develop. The condition appears when daily fluoride intake goes above the safe range of 0.05-0.07 mg fluoride/kg body weight/day [1]. The timing and duration of exposure determine its severity. Patient's teeth show symmetrical patterns of enamel discoloration with sub-surface hypo mineralization. The clinical signs range from slight white opaque flecks to severe hypo plastic patches that stain easily [2]. Congenital erythropoietic porphyria is a rare genetic condition that affects porphyrin metabolism. This inherited autosomal recessive disease turns teeth reddish-brown, a condition called erythrodontia [1]. Porphyrin pigments bind to calcium phosphate and become part of teeth during formation [3]. High blood bilirubin levels can turn teeth green, a condition called chlorodontia. Scientists have found that bilirubin levels between 1.2 and 1.8 mg/dL can stain teeth [4]. Bilirubin settles in developing dental tissues and leaves permanent stains. High levels in the blood make it easier for bilirubin to settle in various tissues, including teeth and bones [4].

Local Causes

Tooth trauma can cause immediate or delayed colour changes. Red discoloration might appear right away after luxation injuries. This happens because veins stop working while arteries keep sending blood to the pulp [2]. The blood breaks down and creates a blue-brown colour. Most trauma-related discoloration shows up 4-24 months after the injury [5]. A Gray discoloration usually points to pulp necrosis. Red blood cells break down and pulp remains seep into dentinal tubules [5]. Poor blood supply or no blood supply causes this condition. Trauma, deep decay, or aggressive drilling during tooth preparation can lead to this problem. The tooth might not hurt until the periodontium gets involved, but it won't respond to sensitivity tests [6].Internal resorption doesn't happen as often as external root resorption. The condition needs two things: living pulp tissue at or below the resorption area and dead pulp above it [6]. This creates the "pink tooth of Mummery." The hyperplastic, vascular pulp tissue becomes visible through the remaining tooth structure as resorption nears the external surface [2].

Medication-Induced Staining

Tetracycline antibiotics, which came out in 1948, are known to discolor teeth. These antibiotics bind with calcium ions and become part of calcifying tissues like teeth, bone, and cartilage [7]. Teeth are most vulnerable to tetracycline staining during formation—from the second trimester of pregnancy until about age 8 [3]. The dose amount, treatment length, and tooth development stage affect how severe the staining becomes. The colors can range from yellow to gray or brown [8]. Minocycline, a modified form of tetracycline, stains teeth differently from other tetracyclines. This antibiotic can stain teeth at any age, affecting 3-6% of users. Three different processes might cause the staining: binding to tooth collagen, etching into enamel and oxidizing, or combining with iron ions [9]. The stains look blue-gray to gray and affect tooth crowns differently than tetracycline stains [7]. Unlike tetracycline stains, minocycline stains don't glow under UV light [8]. Minocycline stains can show up anytime between one month and several years after starting treatment [9]. The risk goes up with higher doses, especially above 100 mg daily, and longer treatment times [8].

Tooth Discoloration from Dental Materials

Dental materials in endodontic procedures are a major yet overlooked reason for intrinsic tooth discoloration. Modern endodontic materials can stain teeth and create esthetic challenges, especially in anterior teeth. Both patients and clinicians face this common problem of crown discoloration after endodontic treatment [10]. Dental professionals must learn about various materials' staining potential to reduce post-treatment discoloration and set proper patient expectations.

Amalgam and Silver-Containing Sealers

Dark discoloration often happens when amalgam restorations' corrosion products penetrate dentinal tubules. Black staining shows up clearly under previous amalgam restorations [11]. Silver (Ag) and mercury (Hg) ions from the amalgam move into the tooth and form metallic sulfides. The darkly discolored dentine beneath amalgam contains corrosion products and loses minerals. This makes it react differently to adhesive procedures than regular dentine [11]. Silver-containing materials like root canal sealers turn teeth gray to black [12]. Materials such as Kerr pulp canal sealer and AH26 with silver additives cause severe discoloration because they use silver ions for radio opacity [13]. Silver points were once popular as root filling materials. These points not only stain teeth but also affect the surrounding soft tissues through corrosion [14]. ZOE-based sealers pack quite a punch when it comes to discoloration. Sultan sealer, which doesn't contain silver, tops the list in studies measuring crown discoloration [10]. The dramatic color changes happen because eugenol bonds with zinc oxide. This chemical reaction leads to oxidation and darkening over time [10].

Ledermix and Iodoform-Based Medicaments

Ledermix paste helps treat root canals and contains demeclocycline hydrochloride (3.021% - a tetracycline antibiotic) and triamcinolone acetonide (1% - a corticosteroid) in a polyethylene glycol base [1]. The paste fights inflammation and bacteria well but can stain teeth. The demethylchlortetracycline component causes this staining. Lab studies show that teeth with Ledermix paste turn dark brown, especially in sunlight. Teeth stain more when the paste sits in pulp chambers rather than below the cement enamel junction (CEJ). Young teeth seem more likely to stain from Ledermix than mature ones. This might happen because their dentinal tubules let more material pass through [15]. Iodoform-based medicaments also cause crown discoloration [14]. Tetracycline derivatives in these medicines stick to calcium in dentine and form stains that won't dissolve. Research shows that 92.9% of teeth got darker during follow-up when treated with Ledermix [1].

Color Stability of AH Plus vs AH 26

AH26's silver radio-opacifier earned it a reputation for severe tooth staining. AH Plus came along as a silver-free option to solve this problem [13]. But studies reveal that AH Plus still causes grayish crown staining, though differently than AH26. Research shows AH Plus creates more grayish crown discoloration than Apexit Plus sealer, which causes reddish-brown staining. This suggests that AH26's silver ions weren't the only culprit. Some AH Plus ingredients might cause this persistent grayish discoloration.

Color measurements in the first week (3-10 days) showed Sultan sealer made the biggest changes ($\Delta E = 7.55$), while AH Plus showed the least ($\Delta E = 5.43$) . The second week (10-17 days) saw minimal changes ($\Delta E < 3.5$) except for AH Plus, which measured $\Delta E = 3.63$ [10]. The newer AH-Plus epoxy resin cement uses zirconium oxide instead of silver for radioopacity. This material stays color-stable longer and avoids bismuth's chemical reactions [14]. Yet clinical evidence shows that no current root canal sealer can guarantee the treated tooth's color will stay the same [15].

III. Clinical assessment and shade evaluation

The quickest way to develop an effective treatment strategy is to get an accurate picture of teeth discoloration. Latest surveys show that half the population notices some type of tooth discoloration. These numbers highlight how systemic these problems are [2]. Dental professionals need systematic evaluation methods that go beyond what patients report. This helps them properly identify staining patterns and choose the right treatment.

Visual vs Instrumental Color Matching

Visual shade matching remains accessible to more people in clinical dentistry because it's quick and simple. But this approach has major limitations since it relies heavily on subjective judgment. Studies comparing both methods found visual assessment was correct 78% of the time, while instrumental methods achieved perfect matching [4]. Several factors affect how accurate visual assessment can be varying light sources and intensities, metameric effects (colour appearance changes under different lighting), individual factors including colour blindness, age, fatigue, and medication effects, and binocular disparity between observers. The consistency rate for visual shade selection averages 64% for VITA Classical and drops to 48% for 3D-Master shade guides. Instrumental methods show much better results with 96% consistency for both guide systems [3]. These numbers clearly show why visual assessment alone isn't enough.

Use of Spectrophotometers and Colorimeters

The Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage (CIE) adopted the CIELAB color space as the standard for dental colorimetry. This system measures three key coordinates: L* (luminosity), a* (red-green axis), and b* (yellow-blue axis) [16]. This provides measurable and quantifiable ways to assess color. Spectrophotometers break down the full spectrum of reflected light at 1-25 nm intervals using spectral filters [17]. Each device contains a source of optical radiation, light dispersing mechanism, optical measuring system, detector, and signal converter [18]. The CIEDE2000 ($\Delta E00$) formula measures the difference between two points in color space (ΔE). This formula works best with perceptibility threshold at 0.8 and acceptability at 1.8 [16]. Popular systems include spectroShade Micro (SS) that Combines digital camera and LED spectrophotometer, VITA Easy shade like handheld spectrophotometer for chairside use, Digital photography with calibration which uses the eLAB system based on CIELAB. Spectrophotometers consistently show better results than visual methods. Research shows correlation coefficients with dental guides ranged from -0.32 to -0.39, while spectrophotometers scored between -0.35 and -0.55 [19]. The VITA Easyshade matched visual determination 56.3% of the time, which beats other digital systems [20].

Assessing Discoloration Depth and Location

Tooth structure analysis helps determine discoloration depth and location. Enamel and dentin have different optical properties, and stains can appear in either layer or both. An accurate diagnosis comes from a full picture of patient history combined with knowledge of how discoloration happens [2].

The color assessment follows these steps:

1. Examining the tooth while hydrated
2. Positioning the spectrophotometer tip perpendicular to the vestibular aspect
3. Maintaining position until results are obtained
4. Recording measurements from multiple areas of the tooth surface

The best documentation combines both visual and digital methods. Digital photography needs standardization with an 18% gray card that serves as a neutral reference point for consistent images [21]. Image analysis shows the most promise for measuring tooth colour, though research continues to improve these approaches [22]. A detailed assessment forms the foundation to select appropriate treatments and predict outcomes, especially with complex intrinsic discoloration.

IV. Treatment planning for intrinsic stains

A systematic approach to treat intrinsic tooth stains should balance both clinical factors and what patients want. Dental professionals must balance technical needs with esthetic goals to get the best results.

Vital vs Nonvital Tooth Bleaching Decision Tree

The most important decision in treatment planning depends on whether the tooth is vital or nonvital. Dentists can use two main approaches for vital teeth: at-home bleaching and in-office procedures. Dental professionals prefer at-home bleaching (78.1%) over in-office techniques (21.9%). Dentists with post-graduate training show an even stronger preference [23]. At-home vital bleaching uses 10% carbamide peroxide with custom-made mouth guards. Patients wear these guards for 8 hours daily over two weeks. In-office vital bleaching uses stronger concentrations (25-40% hydrogen peroxide) for about an hour in a controlled setting [24]. Internal bleaching techniques work best for nonvital teeth. Most dentists use hydrogen peroxide concentrations above 30% in these cases [23]. The walking bleach technique offers a safe approach. It seals sodium perborate mixed with water in the pulp chamber to achieve gradual whitening [24]. Some dentists get better results by combining approaches. They start with in-office bleaching and follow up with at-home treatments using 10-20% carbamide peroxide gels [24].

Contraindications for Internal Bleaching

Dentists must check for conditions that rule out internal bleaching like discolorations from amalgam or metallic materials (won't respond to bleaching), major dentin loss in the cervical region (might fracture), large restorations that weaken structure, visible cracks below the gum line (bleaching agent might reach periodontal tissues), patients under 19 years old (roots haven't finished forming) [5]. Removing fiber posts from root canals and composite resin from pulp chambers could damage the tooth [5]. Porcelain or composite veneers might work better in these cases [6]. The post-treatment restoration plan matters too. If the patient needs a prosthetic crown, internal bleaching should focus on improving color near the gum line and root top. This helps create better gingival esthetics [6].

Informed Consent

Different stains respond differently to treatment. Patients need to know these limits. Patients should know that bleaching won't change the color of existing crowns, veneers, or implants. Any tooth-colored restorative work should happen after bleaching to match shades correctly [25].A detailed informed consent should cover side effects like tooth sensitivity and gum irritation, possible colour regression needing touch-ups, lifestyle choices that affect results, other treatment options if bleaching might not work well [26].Good planning and clear communication helps dentists to guide patients toward treatments that work both technically and esthetically.

Bleaching Agents and Their Chemical Behavior

Modern bleaching agents work by knowing how to penetrate tooth structure and break down stain-causing molecules through controlled oxidation processes. Three main compounds lead clinical bleaching protocols: hydrogen peroxide, carbamide peroxide, and sodium perborate.

Hydrogen Peroxide vs Carbamide Peroxide

Hydrogen peroxide (HP) acts as the primary active ingredient in most bleaching systems. Dentists use it in 35% concentrations for in-office procedures. Carbamide peroxide (CP) works as a more stable precursor that breaks down gradually. It releases about one-third its concentration as hydrogen peroxide—a 37% CP solution produces roughly 12% HP [27].

Table no 1: This basic difference changes clinical application by a lot.

Characteristic	Hydrogen Peroxide	Carbamide Peroxide
Breakdown speed	Rapid	Gradual
Treatment duration	Shorter sessions	Longer wear time
Sensitivity risk	Higher	Lower

CP releases more slowly, which means more treatment sessions to match HP's results. This slower release also cuts down tooth sensitivity by limiting reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation [27]. Studies show no major differences in final lightening results between 20% CP and 7.5% HP, despite varying initial rates [28].

Sodium Perborate Hydrate Forms and Efficacy

Sodium perborate (SP) comes in three hydrate forms for internal bleaching of non-vital teeth: monohydrate, trihydrate, and tetrahydrate. Clinical studies show these forms work equally well when mixed with either water or hydrogen peroxide [29]. This finding challenges the common belief that SP needs HP for best results. Research reveals success rates between 46% and 77% in different SP preparations with similar final outcomes [30]. Dentists can safely use SP mixed with water instead of hydrogen peroxide, which may reduce risks tied to higher peroxide concentrations [29].

Oxygen Radical Release and Chromosphere Breakdown

The bleaching process depends on nascent oxygen release and free radical formation in alkaline solutions. These include hydroxyl radicals, perhydroxyl radicals, and superoxide anions [24]. Hydrogen peroxide needs an alkaline environment with pH between 9.5 and 10.0 to form radicals effectively. These conditions create more perhydroxyl free radicals that enhance bleaching effects [9]. These reactive species target organic pigmented molecules (chromospheres) in dental tissues. They break double-bond conjugations into smaller, lighter-colored components. Bond rupture changes the chromosphere molecules' absorption spectrum, which reduces visible stains [24]. The oxidation process poses minimal risks but might cause structural changes to dental tissues. These changes can include rougher surfaces and weaker mechanical properties from mineral loss and organic matrix breakdown [7].

Post-Bleaching Restoration and Color Stability

The completion of bleaching treatment starts, not ends, the restorative process for discolored teeth. Dental professionals must guide critical post-bleaching decisions to achieve the best esthetic results and ensure restoration lasts longer.

Timing of Composite Bonding after Bleaching

Research shows that composite bonding right after bleaching leads to weaker bonds. Both shear bond strength and tensile bond strength drop when adhesive procedures follow bleaching without proper delay [8]. This happens because leftover oxygen from bleaching agents stops resin from properly hardening inside dental tissues [31]. Most studies suggest waiting at least 24 hours after 10% carbamide peroxide application, 1 week after 35% hydrogen peroxide application [8] notwithstanding that, a two-week wait remains the best practice. Research shows that bond strength might not fully return to normal even after one week [8]. During this time, oxygen slowly leaves the tooth structure, which allows normal hardening to happen again [32].

Use of Sodium Ascorbate and Alcohol-Based Adhesives

When you just need immediate restoration, antioxidizing agents are a great way to get quick results instead of long waiting periods. Sodium ascorbate (10% concentration for 10 minutes) neutralizes leftover oxygen by fixing the changed redox potential of bleached surfaces [33]. Alcohol-based adhesives can reduce bleaching's negative effects on bonding. The alcohol helps remove leftover water and oxygen from tooth surfaces [34]. Acetone-containing adhesives work exceptionally well here. The success of these methods depends on the specific adhesive system. To name just one example, Single Bond adhesive works much better with sodium ascorbate treatment, while Excite adhesive shows little improvement [35].

Managing Color Regression over Time

Color regression after bleaching happens often and needs active management. Research shows that both at-home and in-office bleaching methods have similar color relapse patterns after six months. The original whitening effect might be in part from temporary dehydration rather than actual color change. Patients should know about possible regression and the need for touch-up treatments. Studies reveal that after six months; the original whitening effect fades completely whatever method was used. Tooth sensitivity affects 42.9% of at-home bleaching patients and 57.1% of in-office bleaching patients. This usually goes away soon after treatment ends [15].

V. Conclusion

This detailed review gets into the complex nature of intrinsic tooth discoloration and current clinical approaches that address this esthetic challenge. A proper diagnosis is the life-blood of successful treatment. Dental professionals need to distinguish between various causes - systemic issues like fluorosis, local factors such as trauma, or staining from tetracyclines. Dental materials used in endodontic procedures need special attention. They are a preventable cause of discoloration that happens by a lot. The selection of color-stable materials plays a vital role to maintain long-term esthetic outcomes, especially when you have anterior teeth. Color assessment has moved beyond subjective visual methods. Modern spectrophotometric and colorimetric approaches improve diagnostic precision by a lot. These methods lead to more predictable treatment outcomes and better progress tracking.

Treatment planning needs to think over multiple factors: tooth vitality, discoloration depth, structural integrity, and patient expectations. The right bleaching agents—hydrogen peroxide, carbamide peroxide, or sodium perborate—should match each clinical situation based on their chemical behaviors and safety profiles. Post-bleaching care is just as important to ensure long-term success. Dental professionals should delay composite bonding procedures for at least two weeks after bleaching. This prevents weak bonds caused by oxygen inhibition of polymerization. Using sodium ascorbate as an antioxidant could be a great way to get solutions when immediate restoration becomes necessary. Patients should understand that colors can regress over time before treatment begins. Patient education must include maintenance protocols and realistic expectations. Tooth whitening advances with better understanding of staining mechanisms and development of affordable, less invasive treatment options. Dental professionals must balance technical excellence with careful patient communication. This helps achieve outcomes that satisfy both functional and esthetic needs. Current evidence-based protocols offer predictable solutions for most clinical scenarios, though some intrinsic staining remains challenging. These treatments ended up restoring both dental appearance and patient confidence.

References

[1]. Kim ST, Abbott P. The effects of Ledermix paste as an intracanal medicament on the discolouration of teeth. Australian Endodontic Journal. 2000;26(2):86-87.
[2]. Noonan V, Kabani S. Enamel hypoplasia. Journal of the Massachusetts Dental Society. 2010;59(1):42.
[3]. Igiel C, Lehmann KM, Ghinea R, Weyhrauch M, Hangx Y, Scheller H, Paravina RD. Reliability of visual and instrumental color matching. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry. 2017;29(5):303-308.
[4]. Menini M, Rivolta L, Manauta J, Nuvina M, Kovacs-Vajna ZM, Pesce P. Dental Color-Matching Ability: Comparison between Visual Determination and Technology. Dentistry journal. 2024;12(9):284.
[5]. Zarow M. Nonvital Tooth Bleaching: A Case Discussion for the Clinical Practice. Compendium of continuing education in dentistry. 2016;37(4):268-276.
[6]. Zarow M. Contraindicated internal bleaching – what to do? International Dentistry –African Edition 2023; 8(1):42-48.
[7]. Elfallah HM, Bertassoni LE, Charadram N, Rathsam C, Swain MV. Effect of tooth bleaching agents on protein content and mechanical properties of dental enamel. Acta biomaterialia. 2015; 20: 120-128.
[8]. Yu H, Zhang CY, Cheng SL, Cheng H. Effects of bleaching agents on dental restorative materials: A review of the literature and recommendation to dental practitioners and researchers. Journal of Dental Sciences. 2015;10(4):345-351.
[9]. Féliz-Matos L, Hernández LM, Abreu N. Dental Bleaching Techniques; Hydrogen-carbamide Peroxides and Light Sources for Activation, an Update. Mini Review Article. Open Dentistry Journal. 2015; 8:264-268.
[10]. El Sayed MA, Etemadi H. Coronal discoloration effect of three endodontic sealers: An in vitro spectrophotometric analysis. Journal of Conservative Dentistry. 2013;16(4):347-351.
[11]. Scholtanus JD, Ozcan M, Huysmans MC. Penetration of amalgam constituents into dentine. Journal of Dentistry. 2009 ;37(5):366-373.
[12]. Moazami F, Sahebi S, Ahzan S. Tooth Discoloration Induced by Imidazolium Based Silver Nanoparticles as an Intracanal Irrigant. Journal of Dentistry (Shiraz). 2018;19(4):280-286.
[13]. Veronica PS. Tooth discolouration due to endodontic materials and procedures. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences. 2013;9:32-36.
[14]. Ahmed HM, Abbott PV. Discolouration potential of endodontic procedures and materials: a review. International Endodontic Journal. 2012;45(10):883-897.
[15]. Moghadam FV, Majidinia S, Chasteen J, Ghavamnasiri M. The degree of color change, rebound effect and sensitivity of bleached teeth associated with at-home and power bleaching techniques: A randomized clinical trial. European Journal of Dentistry. 2013;7(4):405-411.
[16]. Dias S, Dias J, Pereira R, Silveira J, Mata A, Marques D. Different Methods for Assessing Tooth Colour-In Vitro Study. Biomimetics (Basel). 2023;8(5):384.
[17]. Budde N, Arnold C, Wienke A, Schweyen R. Comparison of the Clinical Evaluation of Digital Tooth Shade Determination Using an Intraoral Scanner with Proven Subjective and Objective Methods. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2024;13(22):6668.
[18]. Chu SJ, Trushkowsky RD, Paravina RD. Dental color matching instruments and systems. Review of clinical and research aspects. Journal of Dentistry. 2010;38 (Suppl 2):e2-e16.
[19]. Alvarado-Lorenzo A, Criado-Pérez L, Cano-Rosás M, Lozano-García E, López-Palafox J, Alvarado-Lorenzo M. Clinical Comparative Study of Shade Measurement Using Two Methods: Dental Guides and Spectrophotometry. Biomedicines. 2024;12(4):825.
[20]. Igiel C, Weyhrauch M, Wentaschek S, Scheller H, Lehmann KM. Dental color matching: A comparison between visual and instrumental methods. Dental Materials Journal. 2016;35(1):63-69.
[21]. Preethi Suganya S, Manimaran P, Saisadan D, Dhinesh Kumar C, Abirami D, Monnica V. Spectrophotometric Evaluation of Shade Selection with Digital and Visual Methods. Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences. 2020;12(Suppl 1):S319-S323.

[22]. Brook AH, Smith RN, Lath DJ. The clinical measurement of tooth colour and stain. International Dental Journal. 2007;57(5):324-330.
[23]. Demarco FF, Conde MC, Ely C, Torre EN, Costa JR, Fernández MR, Tarquinio SB. Preferences on vital and nonvital tooth bleaching: a survey among dentists from a city of southern Brazil. Brazilian Dental Journal. 2013;24(5):527-531.
[24]. Alqahtani MQ. Tooth-bleaching procedures and their controversial effects: A literature review. Saudi Dental Journal. 2014 ;26(2):33-46.
[25]. Irusa K, Abd Alrahaem I, Ngoc CN, Donovan T. Tooth whitening procedures: A narrative review. Dentistry Review. 2022;2(3):100055.
[26]. Müller-Heupt LK, Wiesmann-Imilowski N, Kaya S, Schumann S, Steiger M, Bjelopavlovic M, Deschner J, Al-Nawas B, Lehmann KM. Effectiveness and Safety of Over-the-Counter Tooth-Whitening Agents Compared to Hydrogen Peroxide In Vitro. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2023;24(3):1956.
[27]. de Boa PWM, Santos KS, de Oliveira FJD, Borges BCD. Can carbamide peroxide be as effective as hydrogen peroxide for in-office tooth bleaching and cause less sensitivity? A systematic review. Restorative Dentistry and Endodontics. 2024;49(2): e14.
[28]. Mokhlis GR, Matis BA, Cochran MA, Eckert GJ. A clinical evaluation of carbamide peroxide and hydrogen peroxide whitening agents during daytime use. Journal of American Dental Association. 2000 Sep;131(9):1269-1277.
[29]. Ari H, Ungör M. In vitro comparison of different types of sodium perborate used for intracoronal bleaching of discoloured teeth. International Endodontic Journal. 2002;35(5):433-436.
[30]. Weiger R, Kuhn A, Löst C. In vitro comparison of various types of sodium perborate used for intracoronal bleaching of discolored teeth. Journal of Endodontics. 1994;20(7):338-341.
[31]. Topcu FT, Erdemir U, Ozel E, Tiryaki M, Oktay EA, Yildiz E. Influence of Bleaching Regimen and Time Elapsed on Microtensile Bond Strength of Resin Composite to Enamel. Contemporary Clinical Dentistry. 2017;8(3):451-458.
[32]. Da Silva Machado J, Cândido MS, Sundfeld RH, De Alexandre RS, Cardoso JD, Sundefeld ML. The influence of time interval between bleaching and enamel bonding. Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry. 2007;19(2):111-118.
[33]. Anil M, Ponnappa KC, Nitin M, Ramesh S, Sharanappa K, Nishant A. Effect of 10% Sodium Ascorbate on Shear Bond Strength of Bleached Teeth - An in-vitro Study. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2015;9(7): ZC31- ZC 33.
[34]. Türkmen C, Güleryüz N, Atalı PY. Effect of sodium ascorbate and delayed treatment on the shear bond strength of composite resin to enamel following bleaching. Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice. 2016;19(1):91-98.
[35]. Khoroushi M, Saneie T. Post-bleaching application of an antioxidant on dentin bond strength of three dental adhesives. Dental Research Journal (Isfahan). 2012;9(1):46-53.