IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)
e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 24, Issue 7 Ser. 6 (July. 2025), PP 10-13
www.iosrjournals.org

Optical Properties And Color Perception In Esthetic Dentistry: A Literature Review

Ljubica Prosheva Pelivanova[1], Kiro Papakoca[2] Mirjana Boskovic[3]
Pavle Apostoloski[4] Julija Zarkova Atanasova[5] Sandra Atanasova[6]
(Faculty Of Medical Sciences, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia)
(Department Of Prosthodontics, Medical Faculty, University Of Niš, Nis, Nis Region, Serbia)

Abstract:
Background*: Color is one of the most important esthetic parameters in dentistry, particularly in fixed and esthetic prosthodontics. Accurate color reproduction depends on understanding both the perceptual and physical aspects of color in the oral environment.*
Objective: *To review current knowledge on color perception and the optical properties of dental materials, and to evaluate methods used for objective color assessment in esthetic dentistry.*
Methods*: A narrative literature review was conducted using scientific databases (PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar). The review focused on studies discussing visual color perception, color measurement technologies (spectrophotometry and colorimetry), and material-based optical behavior such as translucency and fluorescence. Key concepts like the CIE Lab* system and ΔE values were emphasized.*
Results*: Visual color perception is influenced by multiple psychophysical factors, making visual shade matching subjective and inconsistent. Instrumental methods such as spectrophotometry provide more objective data and allow for reproducible results. The CIE Lab* color space and ΔE values are widely accepted for quantifying color differences. Different dental materials show varied optical behaviors under diverse lighting and surface treatment conditions, with surface texture, aging, and finishing technique (e.g., polishing or glazing) significantly affecting final color outcomes.*
Conclusion: *Achieving optimal esthetic results in restorative dentistry requires a sound understanding of color science, including perceptual and instrumental evaluation. Dental professionals should be familiar with how material composition, surface treatments, and light interaction affect color, in order to deliver restorations that are both esthetically pleasing and clinically acceptable*
Key Word: *Esthetic dentistry, color perception, spectrophotometry, ΔE, CIE Lab*, optical properties*

Date of Submission: 10-07-2025 Date of Acceptance: 20-07-2025

I. Introduction

The importance of esthetics in modern dentistry has significantly increased in recent decades, with color being one of the most critical factors influencing patient satisfaction and clinical success. Color perception in dentistry is not solely a matter of personal preference—it reflects a complex interaction between the physical properties of restorative materials, lighting conditions, and the human visual system. Understanding these components is essential for achieving natural-looking and harmonious restorations, particularly in the anterior region.

Color in dentistry is traditionally evaluated through visual matching using shade guides, such as the Vitapan Classical or the newer VITA 3D-Master systems. However, visual shade selection is often subjective and prone to variability due to factors such as lighting, observer fatigue, and individual color vision differences.[1] As a result, objective, instrument-based methods like spectrophotometry and colorimetry have become increasingly adopted to enhance consistency and reproducibility in shade determination.

The Commission Internationale de l'Éclairage (CIE) L*a*b* color space has become the standard model for describing color in dentistry. In this three-dimensional system, "L*" represents lightness, "a*" the red-green axis, and "b*" the yellow-blue axis. The total color difference between two samples is expressed as ΔE, which quantifies perceptible or clinically acceptable variation.[2] A ΔE value below 3.3 is generally considered imperceptible to the average human eye under normal conditions, while values above that threshold may indicate clinically visible differences.

In addition to color measurement systems, dental materials themselves possess inherent optical properties—such as translucency, fluorescence, and opalescence—that influence the final appearance of

restorations. These properties vary significantly among materials like zirconia, lithium disilicate, and composite resins, and are affected by surface finishing techniques such as polishing or glazing [3,4].

This literature review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the principles of color in esthetic dentistry, covering both visual and instrumental approaches to color evaluation. It also explores the impact of material type and surface treatment on optical behavior, with the goal of guiding clinicians toward more predictable and esthetically pleasing restorative outcomes.

II. Review Of Literature Color Theory And Visual Perception In Dentistry

Color is a visual sensation resulting from the interaction of light, the object it reflects off, and the observer's perception. In dentistry, accurate shade matching is crucial for achieving esthetically pleasing outcomes, particularly in anterior restorations where color mismatch is easily noticeable.

Visual color perception is a complex psychophysical process influenced by multiple factors. These include the light source (its color temperature and intensity), the properties of the surface being viewed (texture, gloss, and translucency), and the observer's visual system (including eye fatigue, age, and color vision deficiencies).[5] Because of this complexity, visual shade selection using conventional shade guides remains inherently subjective and variable.

Lighting plays a pivotal role in color perception. The same dental crown may appear different under daylight, incandescent, or fluorescent lighting. Standardized lighting conditions, such as D65 illumination (which simulates daylight), are recommended for consistent color evaluation.[1]

The human eye is limited in its ability to distinguish small color differences, and even trained observers may disagree when visually assessing shades. To overcome these limitations, color science offers a more objective approach through color spaces such as the CIE L*ab** system and instrumental measurement devices. However, visual perception remains a relevant factor, especially in communicating with patients who assess restorations based on appearance under natural conditions.

Understanding the visual and physiological aspects of color perception enables clinicians to make more informed decisions when selecting materials and techniques for esthetic restorations.

III. Instrumental Color Measurement In Dentistry: CIE L*A*B* And ΔE

While visual shade matching continues to be widely used in clinical practice, it is inherently subjective and affected by numerous variables. To minimize observer bias and increase reproducibility, the use of instrumental color measurement has become an essential part of modern esthetic dentistry.

One of the most widely accepted systems for objective color evaluation is the **CIE L*a*b*** color space, developed by the International Commission on Illumination (CIE). This color model represents color in a three-dimensional coordinate system:

- **L*** corresponds to lightness (ranging from 0 = black to 100 = white),
- **a*** indicates the position between red (+) and green (−),
- **b*** indicates the position between yellow (+) and blue (−).

These three values provide a precise and standardized numerical description of a color.

To quantify the difference between two colors, the **ΔE (Delta E)** value is calculated based on the differences in the L*, a*, and b* coordinates. The formula most commonly used in dentistry is ΔE*ab, and the resulting value indicates how perceptible the difference is to the human eye. According to Johnston and Kao, a ΔE value of:

- **<1.0** is imperceptible,
- **1.0–3.3** is perceptible to skilled observers but considered clinically acceptable,
- **>3.3** is visible to the average person and may require clinical correction.

Instrumental devices used for color evaluation in dentistry include **spectrophotometers**, **colorimeters**, and **digital shade-matching devices**. Spectrophotometers are considered the gold standard due to their high accuracy, as they analyze the reflectance or transmittance of light across different wavelengths. These devices offer consistent results regardless of ambient lighting and are not affected by human visual limitations. [3,6]

Despite their advantages, instrumental methods may have limitations, such as the need for correct calibration, proper positioning, and adaptation to various tooth morphologies. Additionally, environmental factors like saliva, surface texture, and material translucency can influence readings.

Nevertheless, when used appropriately, instrumental methods—especially when combined with standardized shade guides—can significantly improve the accuracy and consistency of color selection and evaluation in esthetic restorative dentistry.

IV. Optical Properties Of Dental Materials

The appearance of dental restorations is not determined solely by shade selection; it also depends heavily on the **inherent optical properties** of the materials used. These properties—**translucency, opacity,**

fluorescence, opalescence, and surface gloss—play a critical role in the way light interacts with a restoration, ultimately influencing how natural or artificial it appears in the oral cavity.

Translucency and Opacity

Translucency refers to the degree to which light passes through a material while scattering within it. It allows the underlying structures (such as dentin or cement) to affect the final perceived color. Highly translucent materials can better mimic natural enamel, which is semi-translucent. In contrast, opacity blocks light transmission and is used when masking discolored tooth structure or metal substructures is required. [7]

Materials like **lithium disilicate ceramics** offer varying degrees of translucency, allowing clinicians to select based on the case-specific needs.[8] On the other hand, **zirconia ceramics**, especially in their traditional forms, are more opaque, although newer high-translucency zirconias have improved esthetic capabilities.

Fluorescence

Fluorescence is the property of emitting visible light when exposed to ultraviolet (UV) radiation. Natural teeth fluoresce under UV light, typically emitting a bluish-white glow. When dental materials lack this property, restorations can appear dull or gray in environments with UV light (such as daylight). Many modern ceramics and composite resins are manufactured to simulate this natural fluorescence to maintain esthetic harmony.[5]

Opalescence

Opalescence is the phenomenon where a material appears bluish in reflected light and orange-brownish in transmitted light, mimicking the optical behavior of natural enamel. It is particularly relevant in the incisal third of anterior teeth. Materials with good opalescent properties can replicate the vitality of enamel and improve the lifelike appearance of restorations.

Surface Texture and Gloss

The surface finish of a restoration—whether polished or glazed—affects how light reflects off it. A smooth, glossy surface reflects light specularly and appears brighter, while a rough surface scatters light and may appear duller. Polishing and glazing protocols have been shown to affect both the color perception and the ΔE values of ceramic restorations. [6,9]

Understanding these optical parameters allows clinicians to select materials and techniques that optimize the visual integration of restorations within the natural dentition. Proper manipulation of these properties enhances not only the esthetic result but also the patient's overall satisfaction.

V. The Role Of Polishing And Glazing In Optical Performance

Surface finishing techniques, specifically polishing and glazing, play a pivotal role in determining the esthetic outcome of dental restorations. These procedures influence not only the surface texture and gloss, but also the perception of color, translucency, and overall visual integration with the natural dentition.

Polishing

Polishing involves the mechanical smoothing of the restoration's surface using abrasive tools and polishing pastes, often with diamond particles. Proper polishing yields a high-gloss surface that enhances light reflection, improves esthetics, and reduces plaque accumulation. Clinically, a well-polished restoration can appear brighter and cleaner, especially under direct lighting.[9]

Several studies have shown that polishing, when performed correctly, can achieve surface qualities comparable to those of glazed ceramics. However, improper or insufficient polishing may lead to surface irregularities, increased light scattering, and higher ΔE values, potentially compromising esthetic outcomes.[6]

Glazing

Glazing involves the application of a low-fusing glass layer to the ceramic surface followed by firing in a furnace. The resulting surface is smoother and more uniform, often exhibiting better optical properties such as gloss, depth, and lifelike appearance. Glazed restorations tend to exhibit lower ΔE values, suggesting improved color stability and reduced perceptibility of color change over time.[3]

One key advantage of glazing is its ability to seal microdefects on the ceramic surface, which can prevent staining and degradation. However, glazed layers are susceptible to wear over time, especially when exposed to occlusal forces, which may necessitate re-polishing or re-glazing.

Clinical Implications

The choice between polishing and glazing depends on the clinical situation, the material used, and the clinician's preference. For example, intraoral adjustments often require polishing since re-glazing may not be

feasible chairside. Additionally, polishing is preferable for occlusal surfaces in order to minimize wear on opposing dentition.

Recent research emphasizes that both techniques, when properly executed, can achieve clinically acceptable esthetic outcomes. However, glazing tends to offer slightly better control over optical properties and color perception, especially in anterior restorations where esthetics are paramount.[4]

VI. Conclusion

Achieving natural and esthetically pleasing dental restorations requires a thorough understanding of both visual perception and the optical behavior of dental materials. Color is not an isolated parameter but a result of complex interactions between light, materials, and the observer's perception. While visual shade selection remains common in clinical practice, its inherent subjectivity necessitates the integration of objective tools, such as spectrophotometers and the CIE L*a*b* system, to enhance accuracy and reproducibility.

The optical properties of dental materials—such as translucency, fluorescence, and opalescence—significantly influence the final color outcome. These properties vary not only between material classes but also depending on surface finishing methods. Polishing and glazing, as critical surface treatments, can directly affect light reflection, surface gloss, and consequently color perception. Evidence suggests that while both techniques can produce clinically acceptable results, glazing generally offers superior optical performance and color stability, particularly for highly esthetic cases.

Clinicians must therefore consider not only the type of material selected, but also the finishing protocol employed, to ensure optimal integration of the restoration within the natural dentition. A scientifically informed approach to color matching—combining visual skills with instrumental support—represents the most effective strategy for delivering restorations that meet both esthetic expectations and long-term performance.

References

[1]. R. D. Douglas And T. J. Steinhauer, "Tooth Color Acceptance And Dental Shade Perception," Journal Of Prosthetic Dentistry, Vol. 97, No. 4, Pp. 200–208, 2007.
[2]. W. M. Johnston And E. C. Kao, "Assessment Of Appearance Match By Visual Observation And Clinical Colorimetry," Journal Of Dental Research, Vol. 68, No. 5, Pp. 819–822, 1989.
[3]. F. R. T. Kim, S. D. Porojan, R. D. Vasiliu, And L. Porojan, "The Effect Of Polishing, Glazing, And Aging On Optical Characteristics Of Multi-Layered Dental Zirconia," Journal Of Functional Biomaterials, Vol. 14, No. 2, Pp. 1–12, 2023.
[4]. E. Binici Aygün, E. K. Öztürk, A. B. Tülü, B. T. Bal, S. K. Nemli, And M. B. Güngör, "Factors Affecting The Color Change Of Monolithic Zirconia Ceramics: A Narrative Review," Journal Of Functional Biomaterials, Vol. 16, No. 2, P. 58, 2025.
[5]. A. Joiner, "Tooth Colour: A Review Of The Literature," Journal Of Dentistry, Vol. 32, Suppl. 1, Pp. 3–12, 2004.
[6]. L. Fiorin, P. E. B. S. Oliveira, A. O. Silva, A. C. L. Faria, A. P. Macedo, R. F. Ribeiro, And R. C. S. Rodrigues, "Wear Behavior Of Monolithic Zirconia After Staining, Glazing, And Polishing Opposing Dental Restorative Materials: An In Vitro Study," Coatings, Vol. 13,
[7]. M. J. Heffernan, S. A. Aquilino, A. M. Diaz-Arnold, D. R. Haselton, C. M. Stanford, And M. A. Vargas, "Relative Translucency Of Six All-Ceramic Systems. Part I: Core Materials," Journal Of Prosthetic Dentistry, Vol. 88, No. 1, Pp. 4–9, 2002.
[8]. F. Zarone, S. Russo, And R. Sorrentino, "From Porcelain-Fused-To-Metal To Zirconia: Clinical And Experimental Considerations,"Dental Materials, Vol. 27, No. 1, Pp. 83–96, 2011.
[9]. X. Liu, J. M. Aarts, S. Ma, And J. J. E. Choi, "The Influence Of Polishing On The Mechanical Properties Of Zirconia—A Systematic Review," Oral, Vol. 3, No. 1, Pp. 101–122, 2023.