IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)
e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 25, Issue 3 Ser. 4 (March. 2026), PP 47-54
www.iosrjournals.org

Surface Engineering Strategies For Optimizing Osseointegration In Implant Dentistry: A Comprehensive Review

Dr Gopika S, Dr Harsha Kumar K, Dr Vivek V Nair, Dr Deepthi V S
(Postgraduate Student, Department Of Prosthodontics And Crown And Bridge, Government Dental College, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India)
(Vice Principal, Professor, Head Of The Department, Department Of Prosthodontics And Crown And Bridge, Government Dental College, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India)
(Professor, Department Of Prosthodontics And Crown And Bridge, Government Dental College, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India)
(Assistant Professor, Department Of Prosthodontics And Crown And Bridge, Government Dental College, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India)

Abstract
Osseointegration, defined as the direct structural and functional connection between living bone and the implant surface plays a critical factor in the long-term success of dental implants, influencing their stability, functionality, and overall clinical outcomes. Surface characteristics of implants, such as topography and chemistry, as well as the surgical techniques employed during implant placement, are examined in detail, emphasizing their significant influence on osseointegration and subsequent implant stability. Additionally, host-related factors such as bone quality, systemic conditions, and patient-specific considerations are explored to further comprehend the complexity of the osteointegration process. Advances in surface engineering, including nanostructured coatings and bioactive materials, have shown promise in enhancing bone-implant interactions. Furthermore, emerging technologies and materials, such as computer-guided implant placement and biomimetic surfaces, are discussed for their potential to enhance osteointegration and improve long-term implants. By synthesizing current research and clinical findings, this review provides insights into improving osseointegration strategies to enhance patient outcomes in modern dental implantology.

Keywords: *Dental implants, osseointegration, surface modification, biofunctionalization, electrochemical treatment, tissue engineering*

Date of Submission: 16-03-2026 Date of Acceptance: 26-03-2026

I. Introduction

Dental implant therapy has become a predictable and widely accepted modality for the replacement of missing teeth, offering functional and aesthetic rehabilitation with high long-term success rates. Titanium and its alloys remain the materials of choice due to their favourable biocompatibility, corrosion resistance, and mechanical strength. When placed within alveolar bone, these implants achieve stability through a biologic process known as osseointegration, which establishes direct contact between living bone and the implant surface and forms the foundation for prosthetic loading[1].

The science of osseointegration has opened the door to the 21st century in dentistry. The concept of osseointegration was introduced by Per-Ingvar Branemark (1969), professor at the institute of applied biotechnology, university of Goteborg. He then defined it as " A direct structural and functional connection between ordered living bone and the surface of a load - covering implant. " The term Osseointegration was coined by Branemark, during his research on micro circulation in the bone repair mechanism. The introduction of commercially pure (CP) titanium by him was an important advance in implantology. The clinical success of commercially pure titanium implants is largely attributed to the spontaneous formation of a stable titanium oxide layer, which facilitates favourable cellular attachment and bone healing responses at the implant-bone interface.

Although titanium inherently supports osseointegration, implant success is not solely material-dependent. Multiple variables including implant macro- and micro-design, surface topography, surface chemistry, surgical protocol, biomechanical loading, and patient-related systemic factors collectively influence the quality and rate of bone integration[2,3]. Consequently, contemporary research has increasingly focused on optimizing implant surface characteristics to enhance early biological responses and improve long-term predictability.

In addition to conventional surface modification strategies, adjunctive biological approaches have gained attention. Pharmacologic modulation using agents such as bisphosphonates and vitamin D has been explored to

regulate bone remodelling dynamics, while tissue engineering strategies incorporating mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), platelet-rich plasma (PRP), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) aim to stimulate peri-implant bone regeneration.

Given the rapid evolution of implant surface technologies and regenerative concepts, a comprehensive and critical evaluation of these strategies is warranted. This review aims to synthesize current evidence on surface engineering and biologically driven enhancement techniques, highlighting their mechanistic basis, translational relevance, and future potential in optimizing osseointegration within modern implant dentistry.

II. Biology Of Osseointegration

Healing Mechanism Around Dental Implants

The healing response around dental implants resembles physiological bone repair and follows principles similar to primary bone healing. The concept of osseointegration, introduced by Per-Ingvar Brånemark, is based on achieving a direct structural and functional connection between living bone and the implant surface.

Bone healing may occur through primary or secondary mechanisms. Primary bone healing takes place when bone segments are in close approximation with minimal interfragmentary gap and adequate stability. It is characterized by organized bone formation with minimal granulation tissue and is the ideal biological scenario for implant integration. In contrast, secondary bone healing occurs when a significant gap exists between bone surfaces. This process involves clot formation, granulation tissue development, and callus formation, and may result in fibrocartilaginous tissue before eventual bone maturation. Excessive micromovement or infection during this phase can compromise osseointegration.

Immediately after implant placement, blood fills the gap between the implant and surrounding bone, forming a clot. This clot acts as a scaffold for cellular migration. Inflammatory cells such as polymorphonuclear leukocytes and macrophages dominate during the initial 1–3 days, facilitating debridement and release of growth factors. Subsequently, a provisional matrix composed of fibroblasts, mesenchymal cells, and vascular elements develops. Mesenchymal cells differentiate into osteoblasts, initiating new bone formation at the implant surface.

Early woven bone forms initially and gradually undergoes remodelling into mature lamellar bone. With adequate stability and controlled loading, the bone becomes denser and more organized. Functional loading through prosthetic rehabilitation stimulates remodelling, resulting in the formation of well-structured Haversian systems and osteons around the implant. A thin interface layer containing collagen fibres and osteocyte canaliculi facilitates biochemical exchange at the titanium oxide surface.

Once remodelling is complete, the implant is surrounded by a combination of cortical and trabecular bone capable of withstanding occlusal forces. Successful osseointegration therefore depends on primary stability, controlled loading, absence of infection, and proper prosthetic design.

III. Phases Of Osseointegration

During an implant surgical procedure, a drill punctures the naturally sculpted bone, tears blood vessels, and creates a large defect that quickly fills with blood. A titanium implant is inserted into the space and is held in place solely by mechanical friction. This phenomenon is referred to as primary implant stability. Osseointegration, also known as secondary implant stability which ensues after the first week of implant placement, necessitates a highly complex series of additional biodynamic processes.

1. Haemostasis (Minutes After Surgery)

Immediately after implant placement, blood fills the surgical site and contacts the titanium surface. Plasma proteins such as fibrinogen, fibronectin, and albumin rapidly adsorb onto the implant surface, forming a conditioning layer. Platelets aggregate at the site of injury and initiate clot formation. They release growth factors including platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), which recruit inflammatory and osteogenic cells. Activation of the coagulation cascade leads to fibrin formation, producing a stable clot that adheres to the implant surface. This fibrin matrix acts as a provisional scaffold for cell migration and subsequent bone healing.

2. Inflammatory Phase (Hours to Days)

Neutrophils are the first immune cells to infiltrate the wound, removing bacteria and tissue debris through phagocytosis and reactive oxygen species release. They are followed by macrophages, which continue debridement and regulate healing by secreting cytokines and growth factors. Macrophages also release vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), PDGF, and fibroblast growth factor (FGF), promoting angiogenesis and initiating the transition to the proliferative phase. Excessive bacterial contamination during this stage may impair healing and compromise osseointegration.

3. Proliferative Phase (Days After Surgery)

Fibroblasts migrate into the clot and produce extracellular matrix components such as collagen and proteoglycans. Simultaneously, hypoxia stimulates angiogenesis through hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) and VEGF signalling, restoring blood supply. Osteoclasts resorb necrotic bone at the implant interface, releasing growth factors such as bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) and TGF-β. Mesenchymal cells differentiate into osteoblasts, which deposit osteoid matrix on the implant surface. This matrix mineralizes to form woven bone, marking the development of secondary stability and the completion of early bone formation.

4. Remodelling Phase (Weeks to Months)

Woven bone is gradually replaced by organized lamellar bone through coordinated activity of osteoclasts and osteoblasts. This process is regulated by signalling pathways such as RANK/RANKL and osteocyte-derived mediators. The newly formed bone adapts to functional loading, becoming structurally aligned to withstand occlusal forces. Mature trabecular and cortical bone develops at the implant interface, ensuring long-term stability and successful osseointegration.

Influence of Implant Surface Characteristics

Implant surface topography and chemistry critically modulate early biological responses. Surface roughness enhances platelet adhesion by increasing fibrinogen retention, leading to greater platelet activation and release of chemotactic cytokines. The fibrin clot acts as a three-dimensional scaffold that guides migration of osteogenic cells, a process essential for osteo-conduction.

Micro- and nano-textured surfaces improve fibrin interlocking and increase surface area for cellular attachment, thereby promoting early bone deposition. Surface design therefore influences both clot stability and cellular recruitment at the bone-implant interface.

Protein Adsorption and the Vroman Effect

Protein adsorption is the first biological event following implantation. Proteins bind through electrostatic, ionic, and hydrophobic interactions. Surface charge, energy, and wettability determine the orientation and stability of adsorbed proteins. Smaller plasma proteins reach the surface first but are progressively replaced by larger proteins with higher binding affinity-a process known as the Vroman effect. Surface treatments that increase hydrophilicity enhance protein spreading and cell attachment, thereby improving early osseointegration.

IV. Classification Of Surface Modification Strategies

Osseointegration begins with a direct connection between the alveolar bone and the surface of the titanium implant without any intervening connective tissue, followed by natural fixation through ongoing bone apposition and remodelling around the implant. The area where the implant and tissue meet is a highly dynamic and active interaction site. To ensure predictable and durable outcomes in implant dentistry, it is essential to achieve optimal osseointegration. Various methods have been employed to modify the surface of implants, creating complex structures at different scales and significantly enhancing biofunctionalization[4].

A study conducted by Zhu et al. classifies various surface modification approaches into four categories; physical modifications, chemical modifications, electrochemical modification and bioactive modification methods[2]. There's also pharmaceutical and nutritional interventions, with substances such as bisphosphonates, melatonin, and vitamin D, all of which play critical roles in the osseointegration process. In addition, the transformative potential of tissue engineering strategies, especially the deployment of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), platelet- rich plasma (PRP), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and 3D printing technology.

Surface modification approaches can be categorized into:

1. Physical modifications
2. Chemical modifications
3. Electrochemical modifications
4. Bio-functional surface engineering
5. Regenerative and adjunctive enhancement strategies

Physical Surface Modifications For Optimizing Osseointegration:

These modifications improve mechanical interlocking and enhance early bone apposition. Clinically established surfaces such as sandblasted and acid-etched implants demonstrate predictable long-term survival. However, physical roughening alone does not provide biological signalling capability, and coating instability or delamination may occur in additive methods.

a. Sandblasting
b. Plasma spraying
c. Plasma immersion ion implantation
d. Physical vapour deposition
e. Laser

a. Sandblasting

Sandblasting involves using a high-speed jet beam created by compressed air to spray materials of different particle sizes onto the implant surface, changing the surface roughness. Using this subtractive approach increases the surface area of implants, promoting cell adhesion and improving the osseointegration capacity[5]. TiOblast (Astra Tech, Mölndal, Sweden) is an example of a commercial implant brand utilizing this subtractive surface modification method. SLA Straumann® is a widely used commercial dental implant manufactured by Straumann (Straumann Institute, Basel, Switzerland). It is known for its surface modification, which involves a combination of sandblasting with alumina and acid etching processes[6].

b. Plasma spraying

Plasma spraying, a thermal process that utilizes an electrical-driven arc to create high- temperature ionized gas, is a crucial technique in biomaterials and dental implants[7]. It melts materials into a molten or semi-molten state and sprays them onto the pre-treated implant surface at high speed. ITI-TPS® (Straumann Institute, Waldenburg, Germany) represents a commercial example of implants utilizing this surface modification method. This physical, additive surface modification method offers advantages such as rapid deposition, thick coatings, and low cost, making it an essential study area in biomaterials research.

c. Plasma immersion ion implantation (PIII)

This method involves injecting an ion beam into the implant surface, where the ions interact physically and chemically with the atoms or molecules on the implant surface. As the ions lose energy, they ultimately reside in the implant surface, leading to changes in the surface composition.

d. Physical vapor deposition (PVD)

PVD is a versatile method for applying thin-film coatings in a vacuum, facilitating the production of coatings comprising pure metals, metal alloys, and ceramics, typically ranging from 1 to 10 μm in thickness[8]. Two primary processes are commonly used in PVD, the first is sputtering which involves bombarding the material with a plasma discharge causing it to vaporize, while the second is evaporation, where the material is heated until it vaporizes and then condenses onto the substrate.

Magnetron sputtering is a widely used PVD method that is highly efficient in applying versatile coatings on titanium implant materials[9]. Magnetron sputtering has been employed to produce dense and uniform coatings of HA or biphasic ceramics such as HA and calcium phosphate (CaP) on titanium substrates, ensuring strong adhesion to the substrate[10].

e. Laser Treatments

Laser technology plays a crucial role in two essential applications of dental implantology, coating and texturing. In the coating application, precise laser pulses evaporate the target materials which condense on the substrate to create a thin, protective coating. In the texturing application, the laser prepares specific surface topographies on the implant, resulting in a textured surface that can significantly improve osseointegration. Laser-Lok® (BioHorizons, Birmingham, Alabama) is an example of a commercial dental implant that utilizes laser technology to modify the implant.

Pulsed-laser deposition (PLD) is a laser treatment used to create thin-film coatings on implant surfaces, offering exceptional versatility[11]. In this method, laser pulses eject material from a particular object, usually a solid, generating a plasma. The ejected material subsequently deposits onto a substrate, forming a thin layer.

Chemical Surface Modifications For Optimizing Osseointegration:

a. Alkali heat treatment
b. Sol-gel method
c. Thermal oxidation

a. Alkali heat treatment

This process involves immersing titanium implants in a strong alkali solution of specific concentration, followed by heat treatment at 300-800°C to create a porous oxide layer. This chemical treatment significantly increases the surface roughness of titanium and develops micron-sized porous structures, promoting HA deposition[12].

b. Sol-gel method

The sol-gel method is highly effective for creating titanium implant coatings, such as HA and TiO2[13]. The process involves immersing the substrate in a sol-gel precursor solution and repeatedly withdrawing and heating it to create a bioactive surface layer. Sol-gel films are often made through dip-coating, spin- coating, and spraying techniques. However, an alternative electrochemical deposition method has gained prominence recently as an innovative approach for creating sol-gel films.

c. Thermal oxidation

Thermal atmospheric oxidation, that occurs when metals are exposed to high temperatures in the atmosphere in the presence of oxygen (O2). The process occurs without an external electric field, forming an oxide layer on the implant surface, significantly improving the contact between osteoblasts and the implant to speed up osseointegration. The produced oxide layer enhances the bone-forming properties of the implant surface by improving wettability[14].

Electrochemical Surface Modifications For Optimizing Osseointegration:

a. Anodization
b. Microarc oxidation
c. Electrophoretic Deposition

a. Anodization

Anodization or anodic oxidation is a technique used to modify the surface of metals through oxidation. This process involves the creation of an oxide film on a metal surface by electrochemical means, resulting in a microstructure surface with micrometre-sized pores on titanium substrates[15]. During anodization, positive and negative ions in the electrolyte move toward the cathode and anode under an electric field, leading to an oxidation-reduction reaction.

Thus oxide coating produced by anodization can alter the surface colour, corrosion resistance, hardness, and other properties of titanium based materials[16]. Moreover, anodization can create different surface structures such as nanotubes, nanopores, and micro-nano textures on titanium based materials by using various electrolytes and adjusting the processing parameters[17]. A popular example of a commercial implant using this surface modification method is the TiUnite® brand (Nobel Biocare, Gothenburg, Sweden).

b. Microarc oxidation (MAO)

MAO is an improved version of anodic oxidation technology. This innovative electrochemical surface modification process can create bioactive TiO-based coatings on titanium substrates[18]. In this process, the implant is placed in an electrolyte solution and subjected to high voltage forming small, localized discharges. The titanium surface develops an oxide film with a thickness of tens of microns, a dense inner layer, and a porous outer layer. The micro-nano bioactive TiO2 coatings produced by MAO modification can enhance cell adhesion on the implant surface.

c. Electrophoretic deposition

Electrophoretic deposition involves applying high voltage to a conductive substrate to cause charged particles from the suspension to adhere to the implant[19]. This method enables the application of ceramic coatings on intricate-shaped implants. Additionally, electrophoretic deposition offers precise regulation over coating characteristics such as thickness and composition, in contrast to traditional methods like plasma spraying. However, using this deposition method, the densification of ceramic coatings at high sintering temperatures can lead to the deterioration of the metal substrate and decomposition of the ceramic coating.

Bioactive Surface Modification For Optimizing Osseointegration:

a. Layer-by-layer self-assembly (LBL)
b. Biomolecule adsorption

a. Layer-by-layer self-assembly (LBL)

The LBL method involves creating multilayer films through interactions between oppositely charged polyelectrolytes[20]. This method offers new possibilities for modifying titanium implant surfaces with several advantages including promoting osteogenesis and osseointegration and introducing drugs onto the surface of implants for bioactivation[21].

The LBL method forms multilayer films on the smooth titanium surface using sodium hyaluronate and chitosan/small interfering RNA nanoparticles as polyanions and polycations. These modified titanium surfaces significantly promote osteogenesis and cell differentiation. Moreover, multilayers of peptides have been

developed using this method by exposing pre-charged poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) and nano HA membranes to polyelectrolytes.

This process results in a multilayer gradient of peptide layers on the implant surface which significantly improves cell attachment and growth, directing the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells, and promoting mineralization.

b. Biomolecule adsorption

Biomolecules, such as proteins, are essential compounds produced by living organisms, playing vital roles in various biological processes including osseointegration[22]. For instance, when biomedical implants come into contact with biological fluids such as blood plasma and saliva, extracellular matrix protein adsorption to implant surfaces creates an immediate biological coating. These adsorbed proteins set the stage for subsequent interactions with host cells, influencing the success of biomedical implants[23].

Recent studies have shown that nonpolar, high surface tension, and electrically charged substrates are generally preferred for protein-based coatings. To achieve successful protein- related coating, the implant surface typically undergoes various treatments. For instance, porous titanium implants have been prepared with super hydrophilic and negatively charged surfaces through alkaline heat treatment, adsorbing positively charged protamine coating.

The adsorbed protamine coatings effectively inhibited the initial burst release of the adsorbed protein and achieved uniform protein distribution and sustained biomolecule release. Compared with untreated titanium, the adsorbed protamine coating showed good cytocompatibility at the initial stage and promoted cell adhesion. The findings suggest that combining inorganic and organic surface modifications can increase the osseointegration potential of implant materials.

In another study, the attachment of an elastin-like protein with an extended arginyl glycyl aspartic acid (RGD) sequence to the titanium surface increased the transformation of mesenchymal stem cells into bone cells and enhanced bone mineralization, thereby improving osseointegration capacity. Moreover, RGD facilitates the attachment and proliferation of bone-related cells, leading to improved osseointegration.

Regenerative And Adjunctive Enhancement Strategies

a. Tissue engineering approaches: harnessing MSCS, PRP, and VEGF for enhanced osseointegration
b. Pharmaceutical interventions in dental implant osseointegration
c. The revolution of 3d printing in dental implantology and tissue regeneration
d. Optimizing implant osseointegration by photo-functionalization
e. Optimizing implant osseointegration by photo-biomodulation

a. Tissue engineering approaches: harnessing MSCS, PRP, and VEGF for enhanced osseointegration

Tissue engineering represents an important advancement in regenerative implant dentistry, aiming to enhance peri-implant bone formation and improve osseointegration. This approach integrates cells, growth factors, and biomaterials to create a biologically active implant interface.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) play a central role due to their self-renewal capacity and multipotent differentiation potential. Bone marrow–derived MSCs and umbilical cord–derived MSCs demonstrate high proliferative ability and osteogenic potential, making them promising candidates for peri-implant bone regeneration.

Autologous platelet concentrates such as platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) are rich in growth factors including platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). These mediators promote angiogenesis, osteoblast proliferation, and early bone formation, thereby supporting initial implant stability[24].

Surface biofunctionalization with VEGF has also shown potential in enhancing both osteogenesis and vascularization around implants. By stimulating endothelial and osteoblastic activity, such strategies may accelerate bone–implant integration.

Although these regenerative approaches offer promising outcomes, further well-designed clinical studies are required to establish standardized protocols and evaluate long-term safety and effectiveness.

b. Pharmaceutical interventions in dental implant osseointegration:

Different types of pharmaceuticals such as bisphosphonates, antimicrobial medications, and dietary supplements can improve the integration of implants with bone. Bisphosphonates are a type of pharmaceutical that can reduce the activity of osteoclasts, which are responsible for bone resorption. When applied locally, such as to dental implants, they can improve the process of osseointegration[25].

Many antibiotics, such as gentamicin, amoxicillin, and vancomycin, were utilized in multifunctional coatings on implant surfaces to improve their antimicrobial properties and a crucial role in managing peri-implant infections[26].

Vitamin D, when applied to the surface of dental implants, acts as a potent biological agent influencing osseointegration. Receptors in osteoblasts responsive to vitamin D directly modulate cellular activities by controlling gene expression. In conjunction with key proteins essential for bone formation, like osteocalcin, these receptors are impacted by vitamin D, thereby playing a significant role in maintaining bone health[27].

c. The revolution of 3d printing in dental implantology and tissue regeneration:

The emergence of 3D printing technology, also known as additive manufacturing, has significantly changed dental implantology and tissue engineering. This advanced process allows for the customization of implants and scaffoldings with high precision and reproducibility, which was previously unattainable through traditional manufacturing methods.

By printing complex structures layer by layer, 3D printing enables the creation of intricate designs that closely match the patient's unique anatomical features. This has the potential to improve the fit and integration of dental implants[28].

Additionally, 3D-printed bioactive scaffolds can be excellent platforms for cell attachment and proliferation, especially when combined with MSCs, PRP, or growth factors such as VEGF. These scaffolds support cellular activities and gradually degrade to make room for newly formed tissue, thus playing a vital role in the guided regeneration of bone tissue.

d. Optimizing implant osseointegration by photo-functionalization:

This technique involves exposing titanium surfaces to UV light, which causes significant changes in the physicochemical properties of titanium that favour osseointegration. The application of UV light to titanium, which encompasses wavelengths of 100–400 nm, significantly reduces the aggregation of carbon contaminants. UV treatment transforms the surface of titanium from hydrophobic to hydrophilic. Recent studies found that the osseointegration strength was three times higher for UV-treated implants than for untreated implants after two weeks of healing. UV-photo-functionalized titanium is pellicle-free, super-hydrophilic, and positively charged, remarkably improving titanium-and-osteogenic cell interactions and, hence, consequent osseointegration[29].

e. Optimizing implant osseointegration by photo-biomodulation:

Photo-biomodulation techniques, particularly low-level laser therapy (LLLT), have gained traction due to their ability to accelerate osseointegration by stimulating cellular metabolism and promoting tissue healing. This is mediated by the mitochondrial enzyme cytochrome C oxidase (CCO) and includes the absorption of near-infrared light to activate certain chromophores and trigger signalling pathways[30].

Physiological effects of low level laser therapy are improved metabolism, increased cell metabolism, improved blood circulation and vasodilatation, analgesic effect, anti- inflammatory and antioedematous effects and stimulation of wound healing, thereby improving the osseointegration of dental implants.

V. Conclusion

Optimizing osseointegration in dental implantology is essential for ensuring the long-term success and stability of dental implants. Various factors, including implant surface modifications, biocompatible materials, and patient-specific considerations, play a crucial role in enhancing bone-implant integration. The introduction of advanced techniques, specifically tissue engineering and 3D printing, represents a significant stride in aligning patient-specific needs with innovative solutions. The convergence of these techniques, from the microscopic modifications of implant surfaces to the potential of bioactive 3D-printed scaffolds, illuminates a promising horizon for the future of dental implants. Additionally, proper preoperative planning, post-operative care, and patient lifestyle factors such as nutrition and oral hygiene further contribute to successful outcomes. By prioritizing these elements, dental professionals can enhance the predictability and reliability of implant treatments, ultimately benefiting patients with improved oral health and quality of life. Future research and technological advancements will continue to refine implant designs and treatment protocols, ultimately improving patient satisfaction and implant longevity.

References

[1]. Albrektsson T, Brånemark PI, Hansson HA, Lindström J. Osseointegrated Titanium Implants. Requirements For Ensuring A Long-Lasting, Direct Bone-To-Implant Anchorage In Man. Acta Orthop Scand. 1981;52(2):155–70. Doi:10.3109/17453678108991776 Pubmed PMID: 7246093.

[2]. Advances In Implant Surface Modifications To Improve Osseointegration - Materials Advances (RSC Publishing) [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Pubs.Rsc.Org/En/Content/Articlelanding/2021/Ma/D1ma00675d

[3]. Albrektsson T, Johansson C. Osteoinduction, Osteoconduction And Osseointegration.

[4]. Rupp F, Liang L, Geis-Gerstorfer J, Scheideler L, Hüttig F. Surface Characteristics Of Dental Implants: A Review. Dental Materials. 2018 Jan 1;34(1):40–57. Doi:10.1016/J.Dental.2017.09.007

[5]. Menhall A, Lahoud P, Yang KR, Park KB, Razukevicius D, Traini T, Et Al. The Mineral Apposition Rate On Implants With Either A Sandblasted Acid-Etched Implant Surface (SLA) Or A Nanostructured Calcium-Incorporated Surface (XPEED®): A Histological Split-Mouth, Randomized Case/Control Human Study. Materials. 2024 Jul 5;17(13):3341. Doi:10.3390/Ma17133341 Pubmed PMID: 38998421.

[6]. In Vitro And In Vivo Evaluation Of SLA Titanium Surfaces With Further Alkali Or Hydrogen Peroxide And Heat Treatment - Iopscience [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Iopscience.Iop.Org/Article/10.1088/1748-6041/6/2/025001/Meta

[7]. Ghadami F, Amani Hamedani M, Rouhi G, Saber-Samandari S, Mehdi Dehghan M, Farzad-Mohajeri S, Et Al. The Correlation Between Osseointegration And Bonding Strength At The Bone-Implant Interface: In-Vivo &Amp; Ex-Vivo Investigations On Hydroxyapatite And Hydroxyapatite/Titanium Coatings. J Biomech. 2022 Nov;144:111310. Doi:10.1016/J.Jbiomech.2022.111310 Pubmed PMID: 36162145.

[8]. Plasma-Assisted Chemical Vapor Deposition Of Tibn Coatings On Nanostructured Cemented WC-Co [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Www.Mdpi.Com/2075-4701/10/12/1680

[9]. Biţa AI, Antoniac I, Miculescu M, Stan GE, Leonat L, Antoniac A, Et Al. Electrochemical And In Vitro Biological Evaluation Of Bio-Active Coatings Deposited By Magnetron Sputtering Onto Biocompatible Mg-0.8Ca Alloy. Materials. 2022 Apr 25;15(9):3100. Doi:10.3390/Ma15093100 Pubmed PMID: 35591436.

[10]. Jeong WJ. A Study On The Deposition Of Hydroxyapatite Nano Thin Films Fabricated By Radio-Frequency Magnetron Sputtering For Biomedical Applications. J Nanosci Nanotechnol. 2020 Jul 1;20(7):4114–9. Doi:10.1166/Jnn.2020.17582 Pubmed PMID: 31968428.

[11]. Pulsed Laser Deposition Of Hydroxylapatite Thin Films On Ti-6Al-4V - Cotell - 1992 - Journal Of Applied Biomaterials - Wiley Online Library [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Onlinelibrary.Wiley.Com/Doi/Abs/10.1002/Jab.770030204

[12]. Nishio K, Neo M, Akiyama H, Nishiguchi S, Kim HM, Kokubo T, Et Al. The Effect Of Alkali- And Heat-Treated Titanium And Apatite-Formed Titanium On Osteoblastic Differentiation Of Bone Marrow Cells. J Biomed Mater Res. 2000 Dec 15;52(4):652–61. Doi:10.1002/1097-4636(20001215)52:4<652::Aid-Jbm9>3.0.Co;2-W Pubmed PMID: 11033547.

[13]. Anees E, Riaz M, Imtiaz H, Hussain T. Electrochemical Corrosion Study Of Chitosan-Hydroxyapatite Coated Dental Implant. Journal Of The Mechanical Behavior Of Biomedical Materials. 2024 Feb 1;150:106268. Doi:10.1016/J.Jmbbm.2023.106268

[14]. Liu: Stability, Biomechanics And Biocompatibility... - Google Scholar [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Scholar.Google.Com/Scholar_Lookup?Journal=Front%20Bioeng%20Biotechnol&Title=Stability,%20biomechanics%20and%20biocompatibility%20analysis%20following%20different%20preparation%20strategies%20of%20hierarchical%20zeolite%20coatings%20on%20titanium%20alloy%20surfaces&Volume=11&Publication_Year=2023&Pages=1337709&Pmid=38188487&Doi=10.3389/Fbioe.2023.1337709&

[15]. Diamanti MV, Spreafico FC, Pedeferri MP. Production Of Anodic Tio2 Nanofilms And Their Characterization. Physics Procedia. 2013 Jan 1;European Conference On Nano Films - ECNF201240:30–7. Doi:10.1016/J.Phpro.2012.12.004

[16]. Yang B, Uchida M, Kim HM, Zhang X, Kokubo T. Preparation Of Bioactive Titanium Metal Via Anodic Oxidation Treatment. Biomaterials. 2004 Mar 1;25(6):1003–10. Doi:10.1016/S0142-9612(03)00626-4

[17]. Titanium Oxide Nanotube Arrays Prepared By Anodic Oxidation | Journal Of Materials Research [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Link.Springer.Com/Article/10.1557/JMR.2001.0457

[18]. Chung CJ, Long HY. Systematic Strontium Substitution In Hydroxyapatite Coatings On Titanium Via Micro-Arc Treatment And Their Osteoblast/Osteoclast Responses. Acta Biomater. 2011 Nov;7(11):4081–7. Doi:10.1016/J.Actbio.2011.07.004 Pubmed PMID: 21784178.

[19]. Uicich FC, Merlo JL, Redersdorff IE, Herrera Seitz MK, Pastore JI, Ballarre J. Optimized Electrophoretic Deposition Of Chitosan/Mesoporous Glass Nanoparticles With Gentamicin On Titanium Implants: Enhancing Hemocompatibility And Antibacterial Activity. ACS Appl Bio Mater. 2024 Jul 15;7(7):4642–53. Doi:10.1021/Acsabm.4c00488 Pubmed PMID: 38967050.

[20]. Zhao B, Dong Y, Shen X, He W, Jin H, Yao L, Et Al. Construction Of Multifunctional Coating With Cationic Amino Acid-Coupled Peptides For Osseointegration Of Implants. Materials Today Bio. 2023 Oct 28;23:100848. Doi:10.1016/J.Mtbio.2023.100848 Pubmed PMID: 38033370.

[21]. Song W, Song X, Yang C, Gao S, Klausen LH, Zhang Y, Et Al. Chitosan/Sirna Functionalized Titanium Surface Via A Layer-By-Layer Approach For In Vitro Sustained Gene Silencing And Osteogenic Promotion. International Journal Of Nanomedicine. 2015 Mar 24;10:2335. Doi:10.2147/IJN.S76513 Pubmed PMID: 25848254.

[22]. Alendronate As Bioactive Coating On Titanium Surfaces: An Investigation Of Cap–Alendronate Interactions - PMC [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Pmc.Ncbi.Nlm.Nih.Gov/Articles/PMC11173899/

[23]. Synthetic Biomaterials As Instructive Extracellular Microenvironments For Morphogenesis In Tissue Engineering | Nature Biotechnology [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Www.Nature.Com/Articles/Nbt1055

[24]. Tissue Engineering - Pubmed [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Pubmed.Ncbi.Nlm.Nih.Gov/8493529/

[25]. Yu RQ, Wang JY, Rao NJ, Huo L, Zheng LW. Effects Of Bisphosphonates On Osseointegration Of Dental Implants In Rabbit Model. Biomed Res Int. 2021;2021:6689564. Doi:10.1155/2021/6689564 Pubmed PMID: 33628807; Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC7895577.

[26]. Antibacterial Coatings: Challenges, Perspectives, And Opportunities - Pubmed [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 27]. Available From: Https://Pubmed.Ncbi.Nlm.Nih.Gov/26463723/

[27]. Salomó-Coll O, Maté-Sánchez De Val JE, Ramírez-Fernandez MP, Hernández-Alfaro F, Gargallo-Albiol J, Calvo-Guirado JL. Topical Applications Of Vitamin D On Implant Surface For Bone-To-Implant Contact Enhance: A Pilot Study In Dogs Part II. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2016 Jul;27(7):896–903. Doi:10.1111/Clr.12707 Pubmed PMID: 26419393.

[28]. Wixted CM, Peterson JR, Kadakia RJ, Adams SB. Three-Dimensional Printing In Orthopaedic Surgery: Current Applications And Future Developments. JAAOS Global Research & Reviews. 2021 Apr 20;5(4):E20.00230. Doi:10.5435/Jaaosglobal-D-20-00230 Pubmed PMID: 33877073.

[29]. Optimizing Implant Osseointegration, Soft Tissue Responses, And Bacterial Inhibition: A Comprehensive Narrative Review On The Multifaceted Approach Of The UV Photofunctionalization Of Titanium - Pubmed [Internet]. [Cited 2024 Oct 28]. Available From: Https://Pubmed.Ncbi.Nlm.Nih.Gov/38853001/

[30]. Shenoy A, Ganapathy D, Maiti S. Effectiveness Of Photobiomodulation With Low-Level Laser Therapy On The Implant Stability Quotient At Different Time Intervals: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Cureus. 2024 Jan;16(1):E52160. Doi:10.7759/Cureus.52160 Pubmed PMID: 38344646; Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC10859061.