e-ISSN: 2279-0837, p-ISSN: 2279-0845.

www.iosrjournals.org

Satanism In Nowadays Society And World

(M. R. Pinheiro¹)/Twins Of God

Abstract:

In this paper, we talk about objects, discourse, gestures, life choices, images, spiritual feelings and other means that the satanic use to spread satanic rhetoric. We then explain what type of rhetoric it is, the intents with it and ways to desatanize or get rid of the effects of such things on our own spirit/brain/life. On the way to that, we register the passing of Daniela Perez, Raul Gazolla, Guilherme de Padua and Paula Thomaz, which preceded the death of their IDs. We then acknowledge the IDs of Daniela Perez and Paula Thomaz as satanic transgender experiences, therefore as 'belonging' to black men, members of the satanic sisterhood, after their passing by means of usurpation. We also register the passing of Suzanne Armstrong, Gregory Armstrong, Susan Barlett and the neighbor of 'Susan Barlett', which preceded the death of their IDs. We then acknowledge the IDs of Susan Barlett and Suzanne Armstrong as satanic transgender experiences, therefore as 'belonging' to black men, members of the satanic sisterhood, after their passing by means of usurpation. We still present some theory about the existence of a Louis Armstrong that is different from that whose image is known by the dominant media.

Keywords: desatanization, satan, hell, satanic rhetoric

Date of Submission: 13-03-2025 Date of Acceptance: 23-03-2025

I. Introduction

Even those who claim to be Christians to maximum degree are spreading satanic rhetoric with the activities they are involved in on even a daily basis. Satanization has to do with satan, who we found out made himself be such through becoming the worst marginal in the satanic brotherhood, that to command everyone else [1].

All activities of satan on earth ('Nelson', our Al Qaeda brother, is satan) aim at inflicting pain, injury and loss on human kind. While the devil ('Braganca', our Al Qaeda father) aims at putting us all in hell, so the entire human kind, for believing that is his only hope of ending up in heavens (he theorizes that, if everyone ends up in hell, Our God will have nobody for heavens and therefore will have to accept us all there, including him), satan helps him in his mission, not necessarily intending to do so, since he eventually forces people to sin as he perpetrates or contaminates others with his thinking/spirit/actions.

The queen of hearts follows satan and is his best helper: she twists the minds/spirits of the men so completely that they accept removing their Our God's Biological Merger and be transformed into something that looks like a woman and may be perceived as such by those who are not yet synchronized with the Holy Ghost. Since that is also sinning per se, even though there is way more involved in what is done to them and done by them, she also helps the devil with his mission in a big way.

One of the things satan and the queen of hearts dedicate their resources to is finding alternative ways of spreading satanic rhetoric, since they dream about having direct contact with mentally normal and free human beings who would accept their reasoning/feelings/spirit/beliefs as something coming from sane/non-criminal human beings, so at most revolutionary ones, and people they would like to relate to, with fights and teams they would like to join. At the same time, this makes the brainwashing via 666 more effective, causing the human mind and society to overlook the eventual 'truths of the spirit' relayed by the slave, rather than perceiving them as something criminally implanted.

We talk about objects, discourse, gestures, life choices, images, spiritual feelings and other means that the satanic use to spread such rhetoric. We then explain what type of rhetoric it is, the intents with it and ways to desatanize or get rid of the effects of such things on your own spirit/brain/life.

Development

Crosses

The satanic invented that crosses, which we take to be the symbol of Christ's martyrdom, therefore suffering and pain, are instruments of redemption of humans instead of instruments of confirmation that one, the person wants to die like Jesus Christ, so by the hands of others, and cruelly, against their will/choice, and two

-

¹ Elsie.dunnam@yahoo.com

that the person appreciates/praises what human kind has done to Jesus Christ, the son of Our God, the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, so actual payments of a few more installments in the process of acquisition of their one-way trip to hell (how could Our God like a person who (ostensively) states that they loved His son's martyrdom?).

Notice here that, even though the satanized may say that they carry that to remember Jesus Christ's martyrdom and therefore to show remorse, as if marking themselves as guilty, all other necklaces are worn for precisely the opposite reason, so that this argument cannot survive simple examination of facts of their lives. Besides, also in humanity, so outside of the realm of semi-gods, which is what Jesus Christ was, we decide, as a rule, to remember the life of the person after their passing, not their martyrdom, so that we may have a sadness-display day in the calendar year but we don't carry a copy of the bullet that killed JFK, for instance, on our chest to then remember how we were not there to stop the bullet, to perhaps take the bullet in our own bodies in order to save him.

We also become the image we most advertise with our bodies more and more with time [2], so that, by wearing that, we are becoming the own crucifixion or the death of Jesus Christ, which has to be a demoniac thing, therefore the opposite to being a Christian.

We do believe at least two sentences of the satanic bible, Christian version [1], which were attributed to Jesus Christ: "I am the way and the truth and the life. No one comes to the Father except through me." (John 14:6, king James bible) Jesus Christ then explicitly told us that he is not his death or supposed to be remembered for it, that he is his life instead (he doesn't say, I am the way, the truth and the death, he says life instead) or to be associated with life instead.

We are then also supposed to never adore/praise/like (some people kiss the cross and humans kiss people and things they like, so that that is also horrible sin: it means the kisser liked what was done to him, specially the cross part) his martyrdom at the church or at home or anywhere else, which means we should absolutely never have crosses anywhere. That has to be a sin, a demoniac thing.

Saying 'blood of Christ has power' or, in Portuguese, 'sangue de Jesus tem poder', claiming that we are all sinners and that is OK, claiming Jesus died for our sins and not from our sins, instantaneous forgiveness, always give the other cheek when we are hit, the more we hurt/injure Christ/Christians the more they help us, drink my blood/eat my flesh, 'gostoso'/'gostosa' (yummy) and 'vou te comer' (I will eat you)

Who likes seeing blood and even drinking blood is the satanic, the mythical and demoniac figures, such as vampires [3], not Our God's people: we do not eat human flesh (cannibalism) and we do not drink human blood.

What has power is obviously not Our Savior's death, therefore blood, but Our Savior himself, so his life, not his death, eternal life. The satanic managed to spread such a token through their incredible number of usurpations [4] of Christian existences.

By repeating that his death has power, you imply what the satanic invented in their satanic bible, Christian version: that he died for our sins and therefore you can sin at will and you don't even need to repent or ask for forgiveness but you will also be in heavens after death. Do you really think, of your own mind, that Our God would do things like this? Obviously not: you must repent from all your sins, commit to never sinning anymore, acquire a pure heart, a clean soul, praise Our God the right way, go to the floor in rags, fast, if necessary, until Jesus Christ succeeds in getting you to be forgiven by Our God after you ask him to intervene in your favour. If you are not yet convinced that the bible nowadays known as the Christian bible was entirely written by devil adorers (people who praise one or more creatures from hell), perhaps read [4] again, then [5]. Once you are convinced that the supposed apostles were not even followers of Jesus Christ, you search for the truth in yourself, after you went through purification: ask Jesus Christ to tell you the truth in case you need more confirmation than what we, Twins of God, are giving you.

Jesus Christ died because the satanic succeeded in usurping the existence of the people who mattered, so that of Caiaphas (that is when he was judged by the Roman court, Caiaphas was the equivalent to our modern judges) [6], Pontius Pilate, Tiberius Julius Caesar Augustus, the Sanhedrin's half plus one (36 people according to the satanic bible, Christian version, Numbers 11: 16-17, king James version) and still some of the existences of those who would further abuse and violate/hurt Jesus Christ, such as Longinus [7], who, later on, for the devil's delight, was called saint or heavens' person by the (satanic) Catholic Church [8]. The satanic also usurped the existence of as many religious leaders as those who took notice of Jesus Christ during his life to guarantee confusion and misinformation and therefore more chances people sinned also for not knowing Jesus Christ was the actual son of Our God and no religious leader from that time disagreed with that assertion. Circumstantial evidence is found, for instance, in ([6], pp. 1-2): people were confused about whether Caiaphas conspired with Pontius Pilate in the arrest of Jesus Christ or not (some would think of the actual Caiaphas and some would think of the usurper when giving an opinion on what Caiaphas actually did). If The Jews were so

revolted with Jesus' claim before his judgement, there would obviously be something, so say notes on exorcism sessions involving Mary, since she was not stoned (punishment back then for blasphemy), but there is nothing, so that it is way more plausible to claim that things went how we here describe than that The Jews were mostly against him and accusing him of blasphemy. Since apostles is something that never existed [5], the writings attributed to those are a work of fiction to the most of those. Reports on Jesus Christ being expelled from synagogues and having his life threatened are something that is probably invention, since the satanic would obviously try to pass rhetoric that emphasizes satanism instead of Christianity. The synagogues, back then, apparently did not have a rabbi and other figures, such as the teachers of the law, used to be frequently in those. Since the report does not come attached to a date and time, it could have happened any time when a certain person was not present, which is obviously the intention with not giving a date and time for the event. There is a good chance nobody in Nazareth that was there during the time of the life of Jesus ever had contact with the New Testament, since the first book was written at least 50 years after Jesus' death (Co-pilot) and probably wasn't distributed there. The marginal would have first usurped the existence of Caiaphas, just like they usurped that of 'Napoleon's' brother [1], and he would then have identified who would be usurped in the Sanhedrin for him to have majority against Jesus Christ when time came, like it is not because the marginal tells us that Caiaphas met with the Sanhedrin a few hours before the arrest of Jesus (Matthew 26: 3-5) that he didn't meet them before that date to discuss the same thing. 'Braganca'/the devil confirmed this account. The marginal then replaces the amount of men he needs for his purpose through having his envoys visiting the house of the usurpers at night and passing voice to skull [9] to them as well as performing any needed adjustments (so say killing the rest of their families, leaving just the ID of the man remain, perhaps replacing the rest of the family later on, if necessary). The marginal would have replaced the other big names mentioned before at the same time he replaced Caiaphas in order to guarantee the result. Differences on the online images of these key-people serve us as circumstantial here as well (no dates provided or artist, so that it is just circumstantial): We will quickly study one of them, just to exemplify, so here we have Tiberius Julius Caesar Augustus (please observe the nose and the chin to conclude that we have 3 very different men below this line).



The marginal likes completely changing narratives of life to whatever he wants, as seen, for instance, in [13] and [14], so that he created a biography for Jesus (what we call satanization of biography) in which he was always rejected and hiding (could not teach more than a couple of times in Nazareth because he was rejected there, lived in Egypt for a time because they were escaping the rage of the government, could not go to Judea because they were trying to kill him, etc.), said or did things that are completely incompatible with the supposed choices of Our God (split husband from wife and kids (Our God says the body of the wife belongs to the husband and the body of the husband belongs to the wife, with exclusivity), healed diseases (according to the Old Testament, written by the same satanic brotherhood, diseases were curses of Our God, therefore it is understood the person deserves or should endure those), resurrected the dead (again, killing the person is a decision of Our God only), etc.), his parents didn't have not even light love or care for him (forgot him in the temple after taking him close to there, entered transport and went for one day without ever noticing he wasn't with them until the end of the day), the community did not welcome him when he was born (nothing noted in the bible in those regards), his mother/foster father did not have not even minimum attention of the community when Mary was pregnant (there should be some visits, someone talking about her belly, something), nobody from religion ever visited his mother/foster father when Mary was pregnant (yet he was the son of Our God and Mary was still virgin!), etc. To make things favor his brotherhood, therefore those who sin to highest, he used the figure of Jesus to create the myth of instantaneous forgiveness (the marginal next to him, on another cross, simply says, "Jesus, remember me when You come into Your kingdom" (Luke 23: 39-43), and he is forgiven and will be in paradise almost immediately, still with Jesus, the semi-god, son of Our God) and sin

'washing'/forgiveness through Jesus' suffering/martyrdom/death/blood, which effectively translated into 'we are all sinners, but that is OK, since Jesus has already died for our sins, therefore has already paid, in our place, for them all'. Obviously not: not only it was the own marginal who created the myth of the voluntary death/martyrdom of Jesus Christ, Our Lord, by manipulating all back then in the ways of the satanic, but Our God, therefore also His son, are not into prophecies or sacrificing their existence in whatever shape for humans at all, since their story is about maximum possible freedom to all humans, superiority to us, and prophecies finish not only with our personal freedom but also that of Our God (now he is obliged to make something happen at a certain time, regardless of what human kind has done or how His reasoning changed/evolved). Effectively, we all murdered Jesus Christ, the son of Our God, back then and, so far, we are, almost all of us, shameless. Worth noticing that we are the Twins of God, sent to human kind to restore this world and humanity, and we endure a martyrdom that goes on for almost 60 years so far with very few ever doing what we ask immediately, despite the number of proofs we have provided to human kind in the direction of Our God and His son and even the devil ('Braganca' is the incarnated devil) plus satan, on top of the Darkest Lord Ever respecting us and wishing for us to accomplish our mission on earth. Human kind spent the last 3 years and 3 months plus massacring over my body from inside, destroying every single fraction of inch with intentions and accompanying that with torture via voice and image, 16 or 24/7, so that not only we do not regret having done that to Jesus, Our Lord, but we still repeat it in much worse version (no accusation, no court, against every law of the place all the way through) to us, this time a couple, when Our God sends His messengers once every generation only.

The satanic brotherhood then invented yet another atrocity with the narrative of the acts of Longinus and their consequences: they say Longinus, who was visually impaired someway, pierced the side of Jesus with a spear to test if he was dead and, with that, water and blood came out of Jesus and splashed into his eyes, what made him heal his eyes, so that no more impairment was found in them [15]. The marginal then, if it was ever true that Longinus had visual impairment someday, simply replaced Longinus with a usurper who had no eye problems, obviously and trivially: no, Our Lord does not reward those who hurt him at all. Even though Jesus, the son, is not a vindictive entity, Our God had instances of severe punishment of those who didn't do what they were supposed to do before Christ came. The marginal invented the figure of the woman who invaded Jesus' body space and touched his vest without asking his permission as well to say he has no choice but healing if a person simply attacks his body or personal space (Matthew 9:20-22, for instance, KJV). That is legitimizing violence/crime, which is obviously something in favour of the marginal's thinking and actions on earth but can't possibly be true: Our God and His son are about extreme respect and politeness instead and only those who have those will ever get something from them. The way they are is the Christian: we all demand extreme respect and politeness to give help to someone, as a first thing. The marginal then invented and spread also that whoever follows Jesus must 'give the other face' as they are hit (Matthew 5: 38-39, KJV): negative, Christians are allowed to react to violence with violence as well and, in fact, Our God does not impose any rules to war, apart from us doing our best to injure to the least, since we must have good intentions always with whatever we do. The marginal also invented that Jesus said, on his last meal with the 'apostles', that they should eat the bread thinking it is his flesh and drink the wine thinking it is his blood: the marginal has to actually do that at a certain stage inside of both satanic brotherhood and sisterhood. They believe we must be as much of a beast as we can be, always preparing for the space fight, where there will be no laws/rules, and they think cannibals are ahead of us in levels of beasts' behaviour, so that they must learn how to eat human flesh and drink human blood as well. To trivialize the acts, not only did they insert that in the bible that way, using he figure of Jesus, but they also made cariocas say 'gostoso' and 'gostosa' to their girlfriend or boyfriend or husband or wife or even to someone they want to flirt with or compliment. These words means yummy in Portuguese and the members of the satanic brotherhood/sisterhood do eat people, so that they would be laughing and saying that to each other on the street about a certain person or child they see and nobody would think that is weird after the rhetoric has been passed and the word has been transferred to another sphere of life in the Collective Unconscious. Besides, in the minds of those using such terms, sex is now associated with food and eating, to the point of the satanic adding yet one more 'comer' with sexual meaning: 'vou te comer' (I am going to eat you) is now 'I will have sex with you' for cariocas. It is just that, when the satanic brotherhood/sisterhood member says that, they actually mean it and that may have an unconscious meaning that makes the user of the terms feel more tempted to accept such a proposal from one of them or find that a normal thing..

Mate, cheers, Amen, love, faith, holy trinity, 'porra', k..t, 'bicho'

Mate was originally a term used by convicts to refer to other convicts or strangers in Australia [16], so that served them as a code, like it is easy to tell if a person is a local or not, and, by calling them mates, they were tagging the person as possible target. The equivalent in Rio would probably be 'bicho' or animal in a pejorative sense: animal is animal in Portuguese as well but the satanic invented 'bicho' as a way to refer to humans, which probably associates those with targets, since animals are hunted and therefore killed, used to

feed others, are enslaved and therefore forced to play whatever role the 'master' assigns to them (companion, children, friend, etc.), etc. I imagine that, when they invented 'jogo do bicho' in Rio, the intention of the marginal was commanding organized crime through the results, so, for instance, if the result of the day were dog, his commanded/followers should attack only executives, if the result of the day were cat, his commanded/followers should attack models and so on, so a particular sector of society that they would have classified under that tag (Leinha confirmed that is what happened in practice. Antelopes would be called veado, number 24, and this is the animal that is associated with gay men in Rio, so that I assume that, when 24 wins, they target gay men exclusively. Leinha also refers to a conversation with carioca males: that they didn't accept doing both genders and we then understand that they cannot then join Al Qaeda, since, if it were the brotherhood or sisterhood, they would have be exclusively negros, but she doesn't mention that. All three groups, so satanic brotherhood, satanic sisterhood and Al Qaeda, impose doing both genders as qualification to join, even though, in the end of all, the black men will be with the own black men and all that will remain is the satanic brotherhood. Bicho is then 'bi' 'xo' as well: cariocas are bichos because they said 'xo' ('go away' in Brazil) to the proposal of having to do both genders in sex). Using these terms to refer to members of our society means nullifying their personality or individuality, which makes relationships between social members be more superficial and allows for confusion between sexual and social partner, as well as gender confusion, like both she-partners and he-partners are called the same, so that the person being told 'x is my mate' doesn't immediately know if x is male or female, which allows for people to conceal their sexual/moral preference from others (mate might be a flatmate or a sexual partner or a friend or even a wife or a husband). Satan likes confusion, as much blurring as possible, since, only in confusion can someone choose his ways or him. Our God likes clarity: that others know all the time who they are dealing with.

Mate is about doing things together, so behavior: if we play squash frequently with someone that is your mate. The marginal likes behavior because the marginal thinks that he can copy and therefore replacement is legitimized via the use of the term mate: the other person will do the same things with us, therefore what difference does it make? Bicho is rhetoric to get used to feeling like an animal, existing as such: the actions of the marginal are then legitimized by the fact that you are an animal, so that laws don't apply to his relationship with you, since those are only for humans. At the same time, helps with radicalization: the satanic brotherhood/sisterhood believes in people becoming each time more animal, each time more bestial in terms of behavior, so each time a worse animal in terms of level of cruelty or brutality. To the replacement side, it is assumed that animals do not miss each other: it suffices putting another animal of the same type and gender close to them and they will think it is all the same. Desatanizing is not saying that anymore.

Cheers is said in Australia to mean celebration but also 'thank you': the marginal invented that to spread rhetoric connected to alcoholism, since satan wants us to do everything that is wrong to do in life, as many things as possible, so that we have more chances of maximizing sin. Yet it is also a way of not showing actual gratitude: while a thank you is definitely a display of appreciation of the kindness of another human being, a 'cheers' might mean celebration, toast, and doesn't necessarily imply acknowledgement of the good contribution of the other to our lives. It is again a blurred region thing, so that satan loves it but Our God doesn't. A 'thank you' works as a reward for the good done, so that the social effect is well being and togetherness/harmony. A 'cheers' works as a celebration of something that could be exactly the opposite to gratitude, say I am toasting to the fact that I was able to use you, never that I think I now owe you more respect or Christian love or favors, so that the social effect is imbalance (either connects to sure deviation, so alcoholism, or legitimizes crime, which is 'managing to use the other' (if you use, then it is implied that there is no friendship required, no feelings of partnership or mutual sacrificing/giving in favor of a relationship).

Amen was introduced by the marginal for the same reason they introduced 'eat my flesh', 'drink my blood' to the mass: for us to sin to maximum while believing we are doing precisely the opposite. Amen means 'so be it' in Hebrew [17] (it is interesting to observe that [17] says it is all Semitic languages and Co-pilot says those were Afro-Asiatic languages, so that the African descents would master the language well). While [17], p. 3, on first application of the term, is all correct and represents obedience and reverence to Our God, later on, on the same page, the application is blasphemy already: Tobias and Sarah would have said Amen by the end of the prayer. That means that they were giving an order to Our God through their prayer, that they themselves can determine if those things will be done or not, like Our God has no power or influence on any of it: horrible blasphemy. Desatanizing is not saying that anymore.

'God is love', 'love is beautiful', 'I love him' or 'I love her' are common things in the speech of members of societies of sinners, which, per se, means the term 'love' has to be wrong, but other proofs can be given. For instance, Our God is not love, for He has showed maximum hate on quite a few occasions, according to the own satanic brotherhood (their bible, Christian version): Sodom and Gomorrah (Genesis 19:24), The Great Flood (Noah's story, Genesis 6-9) and Egyptian plagues (Exodus 7-14), for instance. 'Love is beautiful': as 'God is love', it is a universal and universals, as we saw before, are satanic things, actually satanic tools of radicalization or spread of hate (they are true at most in Mathematics, so pretense logic, not actual) [9]. We

could exhibit quite a few examples where love doesn't come across as beautiful: that of Romeo and Juliet [18], that of 'Guilherme de Padua' and 'Paula Thomaz'[19] or that of 'Barry Woodard' and 'Suzanne Armstrong' [20].

We will examine each one of those lightly: The original Raul Gazola and Daniela Perez seem to be the ones in the image below.



Their lives probably got usurped in 1972 or 1973, since I think I saw the airplane that came from Rio de Janeiro to Sydney back then, think 'Braganca'/the devil took me to inside of it. I believe they told me that they invited them to some movie in Australia, similar to what they did to my mother, Elsie Dunnam, so that it seems that it was an airplane full of actors from Rio and only those. In this way, the original Daniela must have been murdered later on.

Daniela Perez

The usurpers of Daniela's existence seem to be another satanic transgender experience [21], so that who died in the episode described on [19] ('Daniela' played the love interest of 'Guilherme de Padua' in a famous soap opera, but 'she' was married to 'Raul Gazola'. The story goes (created by Leinha and me somehow (brain hacking) that 'Guilherme' made a pass on her while giving her lifts home after the filming and 'she' said no, since she was in a Christian relationship with 'Raul'. 'Guilherme' then told his wife about all and they decided to prove their togetherness through killing 'her' like that, so both doing that together, which means 'Paula' hid in the boot of the car until 'Guilherme' stopped the car on the way to 'Daniela's' home, next to a grass stripe. He then came up with an excuse to open the boot, from which the 'wife', 'Paula', jumped already with the scissors that would kill 'Daniela' [22]. If I and Leinha created the plot together somehow, that means they were entirely moved during all the action, even because I now remember Leinha talking about the details on how they would move and the things he would be saying. I am sure it was scissors because that is how Leinha conceived it) was a black man who changed himself into a white woman to better usurp Daniela's ID. See



The jaws make us see a man on all three pictures above and different men (nose and line of hair). The folds of the face makes us see their thicker skin, therefore a negro in all of them.

Guilherme de Padua



These are clearly four very different men (nose and chin could make you see that more easily on naked eye). We were unable to locate one picture online that we think could belong to the righteous owner of the ID.

[29] brings what appears to be 2 pictures of 'Daniela Perez' when she was a baby, yet 2 other people, different from those we saw here as attached to this ID. One of them, the youngest one, could be the original Daniela. However, we then know that her existence got usurped very early, probably before 5 years old.

Paula Thomaz



We don't believe the righteous owner of this ID might be the one above [30] because of ears and chin. We believe that is already a satanic transgender experience, so originally a black male. All four images represent different men: nose and chin will make you see that.

Raul Gazolla



These are 4 very different men: the nose and the chin should help you see that. Their ears are exclusively of the negro race, so that they are all negros originally. Notice that these are all different from the picture we exhibited here on page 8.

Their love stories ('Raul Gazolla' and 'Daniela Perez', 'Guilherme de Padua' and 'Paula Thomaz', Raul Gazolla and Daniela Perez and Guilherme de Padua and Paula Thomaz) seem a lot ugly to us (murder of one of the parties involved or both).

In the 'love' involving 'Barry Woodard' and 'Suzanne Armstrong', 'Suzanne' was stabbed 29 times and 'Susan' was stabbed 55 times [38]. 'Suzanne' was also raped after death, so that the perpetrator would have had sex with a dead woman full of blood on her [37]. We found out that who perpetrated was black 'Nelson' and the satanic brotherhood/sisterhood then moved them all, including a neighbor, who also got killed on that occasion, a male who would have entered through the back door trying to stop the murder after hearing the

yells. The baby was left to die in the house after all were killed and the corpse of the neighbor was taken by black 'Nelson' and replaced with that of a new usurper, who then appears in the media later on, already with the narrative of life inserted by the satanic brotherhood via 666 [9], as usual. We give more details on [37].

Barry Woodard



The ears and the U to V-face point uniquely at negros, so that this one is a negro [13]. There was at last one more man figuring as Barry Woodard and his image appeared in [38] when we created the blog post but now the satanic managed to remove it. We have acquired complete certainty that absolutely anything that is exclusively online can be adulterated at any time by the satanic brotherhood/sisterhood, since we have been through several instances of those occurrences, so, even when it is online news they can change title, contents, dates and images in a matter of seconds: you may be watching the movie and your eye won't blink but they will be able to change the movie, like load another version, just like that. Since they are also able to make TV material disappear forever, the only possible safe vehicle is the printed one, even so with largest and longest distribution. Our Plan is the best as possible, please, so that we really need the carioca 'women' (they seem to be all satanic transgenders) to cleanse our satanic brotherhood psychiatric record in Rio de Janeiro, with the Municipio, PGM/RJ, declaring then, in their documents, either that I got completely healed or that I never had the disease and it was all crime (the truth). Once they do that, 'Trump' should accept doing what is in the Letter to Trump on Academia.edu [39], which is the start of fixing everything and everyone, including us two.

Notice that 'Nelson' left his sperm in the main target, yet compliance and media, both, don't even refer to its collection, which obviously is mandatory in a Christian world, who would say checking such sperm...

Suzanne Armstrong



We here have 3 different black males because of nose, thickness of the skin (folds) and shape of the face. This is therefore another satanic transgender experience, therefore black males who are managed by the satanic sisterhood or Leinha (top boss of the sisterhood). We have insufficient evidence to determine when the righteous owner of the ID got murdered.

Gregory Armstrong (supposed son of Suzanne)



Just using the nose we can see that these are two different males. Yet none of them is the actual Gregory, since we know that one died from starvation and thirst inside of the house where the murders took place. Notice that [34] says he was 1 year and 4 months, which means he couldn't be the boy whose picture is above [35] also because of the age involved (the boy in the picture is older than 2 years old). Observe that they were both transgenders, so that the kid wasn't theirs anyway: one more 'gift' from the satanic sisterhood. Even though black 'Nelson' claims to have chosen this house because of the flat roof, I do have the impression that, if the usurpers treat children with love, they get killed, even because all usurpers must agree with giving 'their' kids to Baal in fire sacrifices or having those raped by the brotherhood or even used as spouse for a time before getting killed, along with others, such as them eating those a few times. They have to support pedophilia and crime in all senses as well.

I would like to further observe that this surname is 'Armstrong', just like that of the man who composed one of the most played and beautiful songs ever created by men, 'What a Wonderful World', and his name was Louis Armstrong. Now the online information is different from that of the past, when I first looked for this sort of thing, since, in the past, it clearly said Louis Armstrong had also composed the song, not only sang it beautifully [40], but now they say other people, actually two, did that instead. Now and for the duration of my life, the image for his ID is black male. Yet, since I do believe their family is like ours, and spread also to Australia, having Australia as one of their preferred destinations in the world, and his family is white, since all images I see here are white, I infer the man who created that wonderful song was also white, so, like us, white native Christian American. I also felt spiritually inclined to believe that the first time I heard the song, so that I think that is sure shot. He could be another case like Madonna, who died in Australia practically before me in 1972 or 1973, so someone who accumulated songs and they were at most trying to publish/sell those by the time the marginal took their lives. It is likely that Neil Armstrong, the astronaut, who we also proved had his life usurped by the marginal long time ago, was also his relative.

Susan Barlett



We here again have a satanic transgender experience: nose (3 different persons), jaws (males) then fold of the face/thickness of skin for two of them (extremities) and size plus shape of skull (middle one). We have insufficient evidence to determine when the righteous owner of the ID got murdered.

I love him/I love her/I love you/I love/I have faith/'faith moves mountains'

The marginal likes emptying Christian words, so that they succeeded in emptying this one too, 'love', since people use it all the time yet don't really mean it ever. With 'Rogerio', I felt that the more he told me that, the less I believed such a thing existed. That is the devil fixing us: first of all, there are different types of love, so Christian love (for the community, neighbors, fellows, relatives and so on), love for the Country (civism), love for animals (care) and marital love (loyalty, exclusive level of trust, maximum, care, respect that is maximum, etc.), to mention a few. Second, using the word in situations that be not those of Christian love is inadequate, since it may imply other things, like the satanist would say love for his kids and that would imply pedophilia. It is also important saying Christian love all the time instead of just love to avoid double meaning, so signing cards saying, 'Christ's love', for instance, instead of 'love'. That is a very important point because one of the things that the satanic most enjoy is double meaning, as seen with 'bicho'. That is the devil fixing us, so fixing the Christians/those who comply, so that we don't support evil in society in any shape or form. To desatanize, instead of saying 'I love my pet' (and is used for bestial acts by them as well), say 'I care a lot about my pet' or 'I take good care of my pet', perhaps 'as if it were human'. Instead of saying 'I love my wife or my husband' (even because that instigates revolt or incites crime in the marginal, also because it is all coming from the devil, all evil, to fix us), you say 'I do my share in all in terms of my wife or my husband' and 'I really really respect and trust my wife or husband'. Instead of saying 'I love my car', say 'I take good care of my car' or 'I spend a lot of time giving attention to my car', so something that tells, with no mistake, what you mean for that specific assertion. Our God does not care about what we think, only the devil does, but He does care about our acts, in those included speech.

'Faith' is no different: it is all voided now. Our God never wanted you to have faith, anyway; that was invented by the satanic, whose relationships are based on highest levels of oppression/violence, when they then make use of the rhetoric they passed via brainwashing to society and expand: 'have faith in me, will you?', 'have a bit of faith', etc.

Our God believes in proof, proving things all the way through, logically and scientifically or via personal experience, at most via experience of someone personally connected to you. Instead of faith, it is the 'know' of 'Jung' [5]: when asked if he believed in Our God, he replied that he didn't have to believe, he knew.

'Faith moves mountains', said the satanic, and they also spread it everywhere. Negative: what moves mountains is science, technology and our efforts. You cannot sit there and say, 'because I have faith, this building will be built out of these bricks, without me touching them'. It won't happen. We do have to trust Our God and what He personally tells us or what His messengers, proven to be so somehow, tell us, yet, as Descartes is told to have said, whenever there is a higher truth, we go with that one with no hesitation, so that all knees should bend upon seeing Our Lord, who will perhaps show for us once more, if we all convert still this year.

The satanic want you to believe that and use that as rhetoric because people usually have to wait for a long time to get something from them, anything at all, and they prefer 'fixing' things to dealing with a discontent person, so that they might actually kill you if you have faith and patiently wait instead of doing your share and trying to get whatever it is at your own expense. Since faith implies inactivity, it is pretty reasonable to drop it completely. Our God may tell us how to build, since He is the God of Wisdom, but He won't build it for us. For Him to tell us how to build or to inspire us, He speaks through Jesus, like Jesus is Our Helper and the communication comes through the Holy Ghost. If we do our share, sacrifice enough, which means also investing in finding things by ourselves with maximum dedication to the task, Jesus helps, as he helps us, the Twins of God, and those 'within' have seen/are our witnesses.

Holy Trinity: the marginal likes confusion, especially to the side of the Christians. Jesus is a semigod, not Our God, since he had all his genes coming from humans and Our God just organized the DNA combination in a way that His share of the story contained the combination reserved just for the Elohim, which no other human being, apart from the semigods, their human kids, or their chosen ones, could possibly have. He did that through handling her Fallopian Tubes: He has power over us all and the world, so that, once she authorized it, He did it, and only after she authorized it, since Our God and Jesus respect our individuality to maximum degree. A fertilized egg is formed of a DNA combination, where one chromosome comes from the female and another from the male (Co-pilot). It is just that both types of chromosome (female and male) are formed by the same bases, namely Adenine (A), Thymine (T), Cytosine (C) and Guanine (G) (Co-pilot), so that Our God just had to handle those bases and make the semigod DNA combination appear in the Fallopian Tube of Mary. Contrary to what the satanic said in their bible, Jesus did not resurrect: Jesus appeared to people after his death to prove he was in the kingdom of Our God but also to prove that there is life after death. He was then stating he became an Elohim, that is, the same as Our God, and he was also stating that he is in charge of our souls (spirit is something we only have while alive and it is distinct from the soul because it is what we choose or are stuck with, when possession of the devil occurs, so perhaps through 666s) after death, as he said he would be. In that sense, it might be that the word used was the same: Elohim. Now both he and Our God were Elohim. Before him, souls used to go to either hell or heavens after death, no middle term, and those that went to hell would be eventually destroyed (so after harsh punishment for mistakes committed here). Those that went to heavens would automatically become Elohim, like Jesus or Elijah or at least a few of the Catholic saints.

Since Jesus, we have life in between, so that we may be on the way to the Elohim Planet/heavens and then reincarnate several times, so same soul, or we may fall into hell in one of those reincarnations, when our soul still gets destroyed after due punishment/suffering.

Even though the other Elohim may ask Our God for permission to intervene with our world and our lives, and then be allowed to come in a temporary manner or intervene on a certain occasion, when we request that they do, Our God is still the one in charge at all times. Our vehicle of enlightenment should be Jesus, the official helper of human kind, at all times as well.

As for the genes of Jesus, the proof that the semigod genes have power is that Jesus could spend 40 days and 40 nights in the desert without food or drink (Matthew 4 and Mark 1, KJV).

All spiritual possession comes from hell, from the cursed or lowest souls, which are on the way to destruction, or from the demons, which are as eternal as hell and the devil. When we summon them, we invite them to take over our human body and they will never have one anymore, if it depends on Our God, but the devil enters us through them if we summon them (Our God and Jesus are for maximum freedom for humans, so that they respect if we don't want them anymore, even though they can still be actioned while we are alive) and they are interested in that because that stops their pain and suffering, which they endure during all the time of their penalty, 24/7, plus they are interested in experiencing a bit of human life again. Since they cannot progress from our body to heavens, the best they can do is destroying the human body or committing more crime, spreading more hate, like the same hope as the devil: if we all go to hell, Our God will perhaps have no choice but accepting all those in hell into heavens. By destroying our bodies, the demon also hopes that our soul goes to hell, like it all depends on Jesus and there might be a chance, so that they are taking best chances by doing that. They could also choose to use the body of the person to spread evil and make them sin literally a hell lot.

Jesus created the Holy Ghost for us to be able to communicate with other souls and him but also for us to be able to extract wisdom, insight and inspiration from it after we learn how to synchronize with it, so how to tune the vibes of our spirit to the spiritual waves of Jesus.

In this way, we have three very different entities: Jesus, Our God and the Holy Ghost. There is no Holy Trinity and the sign of the cross is just a satanic way to ask for our death, for us to suffer and be martyred like Jesus Christ.

'Porra' and k..t

'Porra', in Portuguese, means the jet of sperm the husband sends to his wife's womb during the deepest biological contact known by humans, a demonstration that the husband and his wife had a wonderful mix of biological and spiritual energies and perhaps even synchronized with heavens during that moment of emission or during all the acts, which is a wonderful and blessed thing for us, humans, like it is a holy thing even: without it, we are not in a Our God's coupleship and therefore may fail in achieving heavens in that particular life/incarnation Men in Brazil, especially in Rio, say 'porra, what a difficult day, isn't it?' It is then equivalent to the English 'sh.t'. It is just that 'sh.t' is what our organism puts out during defecation, which is cleansing of our intestines, and therefore a good thing for us, humans, like it is a holy thing, even: without it, we may die from constipation. K..t means vagina and it is an Our God's instrument that allows wives to receive the penis of their husbands when they are trying to reach heavens through biological conjunction. It is a holy thing even: a way our husband has to not only enter the core of generation of human life but also reach heavens through it. The satanic decided that these words would designate deepest state of horror or deepest offence, like they taught men to call others k..t to mean despicable, unwanted, so already to brainwash them with the idea that they should go for the own men when it comes to intimacy/marriage (Our God thinks they are both the same, like if we have sex with someone, then we are married to that someone, when we should live in the same household with them, like already the first time). They also taught men to hate their own emission of semen, maybe because they want some to become women, be part of the sisterhood, perhaps precisely the cariocas, since it is only there that they use such a term during my time in Brazil. Desatanizing is then saying something nice about their own 'porra' and their wive's k..t when they hear such a thing, so perhaps repeat that a few times to destroy the intention, like 'my porra makes my wife happy. I don't like seeing it in this dark context and meaning', 'if I could give you a wife like mine, then you'd see that k..ts are a really good thing. I don't like seeing it in this dark context and meaning'.

Gestures

The satanic invented that **lifting one finger** means 'vai tomar no 'c.' (go and drink from the ass) or go f..k yourself, if the language is English. From that moment onward, anyone with a finger up would mean that. That basically means we are obeying satan, not Our God or Jesus, so that that has to stop: lifting a finger is just

lifting a finger and means nothing else. You cannot offend a person from touching your own body: you can only make yourself ridiculous by doing that. A personal offence would have to be oral or written or violating rights or committing crime: gestures cannot mean a thing. We must also remember deaf people rely on gestures of the hands, so that we must pay respect to those, an Our God's thing.

'Go and drink from the ass' or 'go f..k yourself' or similar stuff ('stick to your ass' and others): they both sound like sexual commands to us, yet nobody is looking for occupation to be given by someone else unless they invited that sort of contribution. That is however all that it is: it is not an offence either. You may as well say, 'OK, I will think about it', like why does it matter to you or something. To desatanize, we just put things in the right place. You can also reply with, 'no, I prefer making love with my wife, we don't say sex, we say make love. Do you have a wife?' 'Go and drink from the ass' is a hard one, like we can't have a clue as to what they mean: from the ass comes poo, not urine or anything you can drink, in principle. Perhaps you could ask them to explain by means of a drawing what they mean so that you can keep on conversing, like you both have common grounds or something. Then if they draw an ass and a straw inside, you can simply say, 'I prefer drinking from a cup, juice or milk or something like that, actually, but I will leave you to your preferences and own suggestions, thanks'.

Now, there is another meaning to 'tomar no c.', I just realized: that is said by a man who wants the other to have anal sex with another man, like originally, even though it could be expanded to women, so that they would say that to a woman to suggest that they have anal sex with a man. Again, it looks like a problem with occupation, as if we have asked for their suggestions about what to do with our bodies or times. Then something like, 'I appreciate your suggestion, I am sure that is pleasant for you, but I will reject it because I feel much better with the normal thing, I am really happy with my wife, thank you. Can I suggest that you have one too?' The woman, on her turn, could say something like, 'I actually don't like that situation very much and don't think the opportunity is appropriate for that sort of thing, I have a husband and we are doing fine, we think normal biological contact is pretty awesome. I wish could have a husband as well (or a wife)'. You could also reply: 'Each one of us gives their best to the other, so that I assume this is the best you have done so far in life, I will also suggest something: Work!' (you can also say try the normal position next time, then with a wife or a husband, that is the best I have experienced so far, so that I truly recommend, from the bottom of my heart). I think it is all the same with the other one, the 'go fuc.... yourself' one.

The satanic also invented the V with tongue in the middle for lesbians: if I understood well, that means they will lick our vagina. They invented playing with the tongue in a way to simulate a penis inside of the mouth for gay or bisexual man: that means I will have oral sex with you, if you so wish. This sort of thing obviously comes from the social allowance for the one-off contact: people are playing with sex instead of using it for holy purposes, so to pursue enlightenment or closeness to heavens. When I was satanized to an extreme, I believed sex was all and, even though I didn't want to engage in the activity without having a fixed partner, so a romantic one, I believed that what really mattered in a relationship was sex. The 'making love' of Our Adored America sounds awesome but may also imply one can get to heavens' connection by means of repeated sex, like we will make love appear that way. It is not that sex is not important, fundamental, but we should first match spirit, more than anything else, preferably soul as well. The satanic make us concentrate on techniques, that the prostitutes would have something to teach the Christian women in those regards. I believe impotent men are the ones who go for one-offs or prostitutes, so those who, if willing to have a normal, therefore Christian, sexual life with their wives wouldn't be able to do it, like they would fail all the time or once in a while. Men who demand oral sex seem to be of that type. When they say that this or that woman gave them more sexual pleasure, it is time to split, to look for someone who has true Christian love for us, so with respect for us. We should then say they are not with Our God anymore and should fix themselves before we can come back to them, if that is possible at all. We should say we are after Our God's coupleship and we just got a proof they are not such but we don't have time to waste on earth, each incarnation is a unique and priceless opportunity to get it right, so 'xo, satanas' [41]. I would also like to state that it seems that we will be able to heal impotence/problems with erections through the Mechanical Angel, so the current 666, which will get desatanized during the execution of Our Plan. We should also be able to heal frigidity in women in the same way and even give them a mechanical orgasm, if they so wish, the so called Multiple Orgasm.

Statues/Images

The satanic have put statues everywhere but their own bible says Our God (indeed, like we believe that one) told us not to have those. It is definitely the case that we cannot have statues of anything that represents a deity or that is going to be treated as if it were one (so gnomes, for instance: some people put fruit next to those and that is a form of adoration to/of the statues). Statues of people also incite adoration, so that those should not exist either. Statues of Jesus Christ shouldn't exist, for who is to be adored is Our God, not Jesus: Jesus is our helper, Our Lord, but who commands heavens (for us) is Our God instead and it is only because of the father that we have the son. Rio de Janeiro has an enormous statue of what is supposed to be Jesus Christ on the top of

a mountain: that is sin per se. Yet that statue was put there by the satanic brotherhood while they usurped as government and it is for top blasphemy: it is hollow and hides crime inside of it. It seems that they distribute drugs from there and maybe have some rites or ceremonies, perhaps parties and so on inside of it. It is also known that climbers like 'Rogerio de Oliveira' (Marques de Valenca, Tijuca, Rio) go there with a person whose life will be usurped at the top (the usurper is then hiding inside of the statue, waiting for them to come: the other then gets killed and replaced), that being the actual reason as to why, if you climb the mountain, you can go down for free via cable car.

There should be no images of naked people anywhere: that incites one-offs and drives the attention of men and women to people who are not their husbands or wives in terms of intimacy. It also satanizes the spirit: makes us direct our attention to the body with exclusivity when there should be always consideration for the spirit of the person in first place, like the devil taught us well, since he made us see absolutely flawless bodies appearing in association with some satanized transgenders. That was done so that we would know that even those can have perfect female bodies, like Our God wants us to praise Him through studying the details of the things and people He so carefully put around us to maximum, so that, if we are with Him and Jesus, if we have no sin, so have already been forgiven by Our God and committed to not sinning anymore on top, if we have a pure heart and a clean soul, if we have already synchronized with the Holy Ghost (it might be that we need to be in an Our God's coupleship for this step though, not sure yet), we will be able to tell satan from Jesus, Our God from the devil, and also good from evil, which means we will be able to notice in the ways they behave, the things they think/do, their qualities, skills, investments, altruism levels and so on, that they are, for instance, transgenders or not our chance of an Our God's coupleship.

Spiritual feelings

The satanic will make you say, 'I hate this', 'I hate him', so 'I hate'. That is an attempt to make you become that force, of hate, through repeating what seems to be the expression of such a feeling. Hate is their name. This is a word that should be avoided at all costs: it is usually not true but your spirit may end up believing it is true also for you if you keep on saying or say it. Desatanizing is swapping the 'I hate' for something objective, with which other people can deal, so something like 'the fact that he is always sounding as if he is giving us orders hurts me', 'his attitude is not that of a Christian', 'when he appears with loud voice next to me, my heart accelerates', etc.

A person in hell feels hate all the time: they hate everyone and everything for obvious reasons. It is not a feeling one may have in normal conditions. It is not that hate is a feeling from hell and love is a feeling from heavens, but if we have to choose a single word to express the energy coming from those places, those are probably right.

Life choices

Some people will appear to you as people who are always playing snob, so say they will arrive at work and tell you about the luxury they experienced while having dinner last night. It is surely the case they are then tempting you: follow my lead and you will have the same. Anyone with such discourse, attached to things, luxury, money, amount of people and so on, is with the devil and therefore you have to say once more, 'xo satanas'. To desatanize yourself, you should always look at their personal life, so if they are happy with their marriage, if their spouse is happy with them, their children and so on. Nothing is more priceless or important than being well at home, where you sleep. If they don't have that, and all of those type won't, you keep that secret to yourself: you know better. Ask Jesus to help you whenever things get tough.

Leinha used to play snob with me in what regards her personal life: at a certain stage, she got a live-in husband, 'Mario', and she used to come with mouth full to me to say 'Mario this', 'Mario that', etc. I had nobody living with me, so that it is something that indeed hurts a little, especially because, in my case, my husband was put away by her and her bunch, the satanic sisterhood, just that, back then, when she is doing that, I have no memory (they kept me without my memories until 2020), so that I am thinking: yes, she is right, she is in advantage, despite being that evil. I then was feeling inclined to get to know about the satanic universe somehow, spiritually, which is obviously their intention, the temptation. I then found out 'Mario' was bugged since early in life, was her slave, so that she actually could never find someone to live with her at their own will, as logically expected. She still used to turn him off each time she left home and turn him on again as she came back, just like black 'Nelson' did with Andreia, his first wife, like, as for all I know. In this case, it is obviously expected that the so little she or 'Nelson' claimed those spouses did for them was a consequence of the spouse being entirely moved by them via their 666 or something. Any person playing snob is just that, someone playing with your mind, with your spirit, so say again, 'xo satanas'.

Some were always smoking in front of me and used to offer me cigarettes, like that is the only way we can converse with them. I ended up taking a few puffs a few times. Yet that is wrong. These days there is vaping for those occasions but even smoking in a passive manner may kill us, in case you don't know. A

woman once sued her bridge partners because she developed cancer in the lungs from second-hand smoking. Similar token of news, since I could not locate that one online today, is [42].

II. Conclusions

Several expressions became popular and belong to satan/satanic brotherhood/satanic sisterhood. There are also a few words. The way to desatanize is, first of all, informing yourself about all involved, understanding what is behind each one of them, also so that you can reason on your own, get spiritually trained to identify such expressions and words. In second place, comes stop using those. In third, always react each time you hear those and find ways of reversing the effect of those in your spirit and also in the spirit of those around you. If someone uses 'love' in a sentence such as 'I love my kids', you make sure you help them extract the actual meaning of the assertion, so tell them, 'I am sure you don't mean that, since that can also be sexual, so tell me what are the things you do for your kids or to them that make you think you love them, please. Don't repeat that to anyone else, we will find a way of you expressing what you actually feel for them, please'.

Crosses are satanic symbols and who likes symbols and statues is the devil, not Our God. Crosses, for the satanic, mean you want to die like Jesus instead of living like him. For Our God it means a serious offence, a blasphemy: you are praising the martyrdom of Christ, the so much human kind badly treated him and made him suffer. For Jesus Christ, it means you don't care about him at all, since he said he is the life, not his death and the cross is his death.

No gesture can offend anyone: that is done by the individual with their own body. The most it can do is making them look aggressive, weird or insane. Ignore or make a remark that makes sense, like that agrees with what it is: 'you are looking a bit weird, are you all right?'

Don't express feelings you don't actually have, especially out of copying a satanic person: hate, in particular, doesn't seem to be a natural feeling in humans. That appears when the soul goes to hell, for instance: there the individual hates everything and everyone.

There should not be naked bodies anywhere in the media: that injures our spirit and gets on the way to our progress. Our God needs us to think deeply about things instead: we praise Him by deepening thinking on every single detail of everything that there is on earth and everyone that you see belongs to Him, so not crocodiles or the other beasts, since those belong to the devil, but the friendly birds, the nice trees, the beautiful mountains, your husband, your wife, your kids and so on.

We should have no statues anywhere on earth, but, if that is not possible, then at least not those that will allow for adoration, so statues of people or deities, including those of gnomes (in Rio, some people put an apple or another piece of fruit next to those and that is also adoration).

The satanic try to make us hate parts of our biology that gives us largest amount of joy or relief: the way to desatanize is never repeating their tokens and saying something that goes in the opposite direction about the same biological part they are trying to put to the side of demoniac.

There is no Holy Trinity: Jesus, Our God and the Holy Ghost are totally separate entities. Our God manages heavens in what regards us, Jesus is our helper, especially when we are still paving our way to heavens, and the Holy Ghost is how Jesus communicates with us/we communicate with him plus how we receive insights, wisdom and inspiration.

Our God used to send our souls either to heavens or hell after a single human life of ours on earth. After Jesus came, Jesus created a system of incarnations, which allows us to progress at our own pace and finally become an Elohim, like him and Our God.

We have now acknowledged the passing of Daniela Perez, Raul Gazolla, Paula Thomaz, Guilherme de Padua, Suzanne Armstrong, Gregory Armstrong, Susan Barlett and the neighbor of 'Susan Barlett' as having happened before the deaths of the respective IDs, with at least a couple of those still being illegally displayed as if they belong to people who are alive (that of Gregory Armstrong and Paula Thomaz).

We believe Louis Armstrong was white and therefore is not found anywhere in the dominant media as righteous owner of his own ID: we are sure he composed What a Wonderful World. It is possible that he got usurped just before his ID was about to be publicly acknowledged in the media for the first time as belonging to his own body image.

We have now acknowledged the use of the IDs of Daniela Perez, Paula Thomaz, Suzanne Armstrong and Susan Barlett for the operations of the satanic sisterhood, therefore for the experiences of the type satanic transgender, or those where a black male is changed into a woman who resembles the righteous owner of the ID for the purposes of perpetrating a terrorist attack on society, aiming at, in the end, exterminating anything feminine in full.

References

- [1] Pinheiro, M.R., 2025. Devil X God: 3,200 Plus Years Of Huge Losses. IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), Volume 30, Issue 3, Series 2, March, Pp. 18–24. Available At: http://www.losrjournals.org [Accessed 19 March 2025].
- [2] Adam, H. And Galinsky, A.D., 2012. Enclothed Cognition. Journal Of Experimental Social Psychology, 48(4), Pp.918-925. Available At: https://www.Sciencedirect.Com/Science/Article/Pii/S0022103112000200> [Accessed 19 March 2025].
- [3] Eldridge, A. 2025. Vampire. Encyclopaedia Britannica. Available At: https://www.Britannica.Com/Topic/Vampire [Accessed 19 March 2025].
- [4] Pinheiro, M.R. 2025, Usurpation: A New Type Of Crime For Criminology, IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science, Vol. 30, No. 2, Pp. 30–35, Viewed 17 March 2025, https://www.losrjournals.org/losr-Jhss/Papers/Vol.30-Issue2/Ser-3/E3002033035.Pdf.
- [5] Pinheiro, M.R., 2025. The Bible Is A Satanic Book. IOSR Humanities Journal. Available At: https://Www.Academia.Edu/128213190/The_Bible_Is_A_Satanic_Book [Accessed 19 March 2025].
- [6] Ryan, M., 2022. Living With The Enemy: Why High Priest Joseph Caiaphas Was Not A Roman Collaborator. Studies In Christian-Jewish Relations, 17(1), Pp.1-14. Available At: https://Doi.Org/10.6017/Scjr.V17i1.15949 [Accessed 19 March 2025].
- [7] Walter, C., 2003. The Warrior Saints In Byzantine Art And Tradition. 1st Ed. London: Routledge. [Ebook] Available At: https://Doi.Org/10.4324/9781315236599 [Accessed 19 March 2025].
- [8] United States Conference Of Catholic Bishops (USCCB), 2025 Saints. [Online] Available At: https://www.Usccb.Org/Offices/Public-Affairs/Saints [Accessed 19 March 2025].
- [9] Pinheiro, M.R., 2025. Basic Elements Of Effective Brainwashing. IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), 30(3), Series 3, Pp.60-69.
- [10] Georgia Humanities, 2004. Tiberius Julius Caesar Augustus. [Online] Available At:

 (Https://Www.Georgiaencyclopedia.Org/Articles/Arts-Culture/Michael-C-Carlos-Museum-Of-Art/M-8035/> [Accessed 19 Mar. 2025].
- [11] Pohl, F. 2025. Tiberius. [Online] Available At: Https://www.Britannica.Com/Biography/Tiberius [Accessed 19 Mar. 2025].
- [12] Anticstore.Com, 2025. Bust Of The Emperor Tiberius. [Online] Available At: https://www.Anticstore.Art/103012P [Accessed 19 Mar. 2025].
- [13] Pinheiro, M.R., 2025. Change Of Skin Color And Gender: Another Main Satanic Attack Weapon. IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), 30(3), Series 4, Pp.01-24. Available At: https://Www.Iosrjournals.Org [Accessed 19 Mar. 2025]. DOI: 10.9790/0837-3003040124.
- Pinheiro, M.R., 2025. Karl Marx, John Dewey, Eleanor Roosevelt, Marta Suplicy And A Few Others: All Victims Of The Satanic Brotherhood/Sisterhood. IOSR Humanities, [Online] Available At:

 https://www.Academia.Edu/128260165/Karl_Marx_John_Dewey_Eleanor_Roosevelt_And_Marta_Suplicy [Accessed 19 Mar. 2025]
- [15] Davies, M. J. 2025. Saint Longinus At The Cross: A Veteran's Story. Journal Of Military And Veterans' Health, Volume 32, Number 1. DOI: https://Doi-Ds.Org/Doilink/11.2023-47386172/JMVH. [Online] Available At: https://Jmvh.Org/Article/Saint-Longinus-At-The-Cross-A-Veterans-Story/ [Accessed 19 Mar. 2025]
- [16] Dyrenfurth, N. (2025) Mateship. Available At:
 Https://Scribepublications.Com.Au/Books-Authors/Books/Mateship9781925106350/Extract#:~:Text=Thus%2C%20by%201826%2C%20The%20Sydney,As%20a%20greeting%20to%20strangers
 (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [17] Hogg, H.W. (1896) "Amen." Notes On Its Significance And Use In Biblical And Post-Biblical Times', The Jewish Quarterly Review, 9(1), Pp. 1–23. Available At: https://Doi.Org/10.2307/1449949 (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [18] Folger Shakespeare Library (2025) Romeo And Juliet. Available At: Https://Www.Folger.Edu/Explore/Shakespeares-Works/Romeo-And-Juliet/Read/ (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [19] Wikipedia (2025) Daniella Perez. Available At: Https://En.Wikipedia.Org/Wiki/Daniella_Perez (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [20] Pinheiro, M. R. (2025) Suzanne Armstrong And Susan Barlett. Available At: Https://Crimeinthe21stcentury.Blogspot.Com/2025/02/Suzanne-Armstrong-And-Susan-Barlett.Html (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [21] Pinheiro, M.R. (2025) 'Change Of Skin Color And Gender: Another Main Satanic Attack Weapon', IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), 30(3, Series 4), Pp. 1–24. DOI: 10.9790/0837-3003040124.
- [22] Leite, C.T.V.A. And Magalhães, L.D.R. (2013) 'Mídia E Memória: Do Caso Daniella Perez À Previsão Do Homicídio Qualificado Na Lei De Crimes Hediondos', Revista Eletrônica Direito E Política, 8(3), 3º Quadrimestre. Available At: Www.Univali.Br/Direitoepolitica (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [23] Lima, D. (2023) Como Foi A Morte De Daniella Perez: Crime Completa 31 Anos. Available At: Https://Www.Uai.Com.Br/App/Entretenimento/Trends/2023/12/28/Not-Trends,334713/Como-Foi-A-Morte-De-Daniella-Perez-Crime-Completa-31-Anos.Shtml (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [24] Folha De S.Paulo (2017) Imagens Da Atriz Daniela Perez. Available At: Https://Fotografia.Folha.Uol.Com.Br/Galerias/1587852732575531-Daniela-Perez (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [25] [25]Cinebuzz (2022) Sabia Que "De Corpo E Alma", Última Novela De Daniella Perez, É Proibida De Ser Reprisada?. Available At: https://Cinebuzz.Com.Br/Noticias/Curiosidades/Sabia-Que-De-Corpo-E-Alma-Ultima-Novela-De-Daniella-Perez-E-Proibida-De-Ser-Reprisada.Phtml (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [26] Estadão (N.D.) Corpo Do Ex-Ator Guilherme De Pádua É Sepultado Em Belo Horizonte. Available At:
 Https://Www.Estadao.Com.Br/Brasil/Corpo-Do-Ex-Ator-Guilherme-De-Padua-E-Sepultado-Em-Belo-Horizonte/ (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [27] The Movie Database (2025) Guilherme De Pádua. Available At: Https://Www.Themoviedb.Org/Person/1276143-Guilherme-De-Padua (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [28] Gazeta Do Povo (2022) Morre Guilherme De Pádua, Assassino De Daniela Perez. Available At:
 Https://Www.Gazetadopovo.Com.Br/Cultura/Morre-Guilherme-De-Padua-Assassino-De-Daniela-Perez/ (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [29] Observador (2022) Morreu Guilherme De Pádua, Antigo Ator Que Assassinou A Atriz Daniella Perez. Available At: Https://Observador.Pt/2022/11/07/Morreu-Guilherme-De-Padua-Antigo-Ator-Que-Assassinou-A-Atriz-Daniella-Perez/ (Accessed: 20 March 2025).
- [30] Perez, G. (2015) Paula Thomaz. Available At: Http://Gloriaperez.Com.Br/Tag/Paula-Thomaz/ (Accessed: 20 March 2025).