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## Abstract: In this work we study how to apply PALEY WIENER theorem to the Fourier transforms of functions with compact support.

## I. Introduction

One of the classical theorems of Paley and wiener characterizes the entire functions of exponential type, whose restriction to the real axis is in $L^{2}$ as being exactly the Fourier transformation of $L^{2}$-functions with compact support. We shall give two analogues of this (in several variables), one for
$C^{\infty}(R)=\{f / f$ is differenti al at everywhere $\}$ with compact support, one for distributions with compact support
Note:
In the following two theorems,Support of $\mathrm{rB}=\left\{x \in R^{n} /|x| \leq r\right\}$

## Theorem:


entire, and there are constants $\gamma_{N}<\infty$ such that $|f(z)| \leq \gamma_{N}(1+|z|)^{-N} e^{r|i m z|}$
$\left(z \in C^{n}, N=0,1,2 \ldots\right)$. Conversely, if $f$ is an entire function in $C^{n}$ which satisfies(2) for some N then there exists $u \in D^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right)$, with support in rB , such that (1) holds.

## Proof

$\mathrm{rB}=\left\{x \in R^{n} /|x| \leq r\right\}$
If $t \in r B$ then $|t| \leq r$, consider $\left|e^{-i z t}\right|=e^{y . t} \leq e^{|y||t|} \leq e^{|i m z| r}$
let $\mathrm{K}=$ support of $\Phi \in r B$
Claim: $\Phi(t) e^{-i t z} \in L^{\prime}(R)$
Since $\Phi \in D\left(R^{n}\right), \Phi$ is differentiable $\Rightarrow \Phi$ is continuous complex function

$$
\Rightarrow \Phi \text { is measurable }
$$

Also $e^{-i t z}$ continuous complex function
Hence $\Phi(t) e^{-i t z}$ is complex measurable function

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { consider } \int_{R}\left|\Phi(t) e^{-i z} d m(t)\right| & \leq \int_{R}|\Phi(t)| e^{r|i m z|} d m(t) \\
& =e^{r|i m z|} \int_{R} \Phi(t) d m(t) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\Phi$ is continuous and support of $\Phi$ is compact, $\Phi$ is continuous function defined on a compact set Hence $\Phi$ is bounded, there exists a real number $M$ such that $|\Phi(t)| \leq M \quad \forall t$
(3) becomes $\int_{R}|\Phi(t) e-i t z| d m t \leq e^{r|i m z|} \int_{R} M d m(t)$

$$
\leq M e^{r|i m z|} m(k)
$$

$$
\leq \infty
$$

Hence $\Phi(t) e^{-i t z} \in L^{\prime}(R)$, therefore for every $z \in C, f(z)=\int \Phi(t) e^{-i t z} d m(t)$ exists on $C$.
Now to prove $f$ is an entire function, for that it is enough to prove that $f$ is analytic, for proving $f$ is analytic, we have to use morera's theorem(statement: If $f$ is continuous and $\int_{\Gamma} f(z) d z=0$, then $f$ is analytic.)
so first we have to prove that $f$ is continuous

$$
\text { if } \begin{align*}
z_{n} \rightarrow z \text {, then }\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)-f(z)\right| & =\left|\int_{R} \Phi(t) e^{-i z_{n} t} d m(t)-\int \Phi(t) e^{-i z t} d m(t)\right| \\
& =\int_{k} \Phi(t)\left(e^{-i z_{n} t}-e^{-i z t}\right) d m(t) \mid \text { since,outsideK, } \Phi(t)=0 \\
& \leq \int_{k}|\Phi(t)|\left|e^{-i z_{n} t}-e^{-i z t}\right| d m(t) \\
& =\int_{k} M\left|e^{-i z_{n} t}-e^{-i z t}\right| d m(t) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

consider $\left|e^{-i z_{n} t}-e^{-i z t}\right| \leq\left|e^{-i z_{n} t}\right|+\left|e^{-i z t}\right|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq e^{t y_{n}}+e^{t y} \\
& \leq e^{|t|\left|y_{n}\right|}+e^{|t| y \mid} \\
& \leq e^{|t|(1+|y|)}+e^{|t||y|} \quad\left[\text { since } y_{n} \rightarrow y,\left|y_{n}-y\right| \leq 1,\left|y_{n}\right| \leq 1+|y|\right] \\
& =e^{|t||y|}\left(e^{|t|}+1\right) \\
& \leq e^{r|i m z|}\left(1+e^{r}\right)=g(z) \text { (say) }
\end{aligned}
$$

now consider $\int_{R}|g(x)| d m(x)=\int_{k}\left|e^{r|y|}\left(1+e^{r}\right)\right| d m(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|e^{r|y|}\left(1+e^{r}\right)\right| \int_{k} d m(x) \\
& =\left|e^{r|y|}\left(1+e^{r}\right)\right| m(k) \text { which is finite }
\end{aligned}
$$

also $e^{r|i m z|}\left(1+e^{r}\right)$ is continuous, therefore measurable
hence $g(z) \in L^{\prime}(R)$
also since $z_{n} \rightarrow z \Rightarrow e^{-i z_{n} t} \rightarrow e^{-i z t}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\Rightarrow\left(e^{-i z_{n} t}-e^{-i z t}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

hence by dominated convergent theorem, $\int_{k}\left|e^{-i z_{n} t}-e^{-i z t}\right| d m(t) \rightarrow 0$
therefore (4) becomes $\left|f\left(z_{n}\right)-f(z)\right| \leq \int_{k} M\left|e^{-i z_{n} t}-e^{-i z t}\right| d m(t)$

$$
\rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

$f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow f(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
hence $f$ is continuous
Claim: $\int_{\Gamma_{\alpha}} f(z) d z=0$
let $z=\omega(s) a \leq s \leq b, d z=\omega^{\prime}(s) d \omega$
consider $\int_{a}^{b} f(\omega) \omega^{\prime}(s) d \omega=\int_{a}^{b} \int_{R} \Phi(t) e^{-i t \omega} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \omega^{\prime}(s) d \omega$
since $\Phi(t) \in D\left(R^{n}\right) \Rightarrow \Phi(t)$ is differentiable

$$
\Rightarrow \Phi \text { is continuous }
$$

$\Rightarrow \Phi$ is measurable
$e^{-i t \omega}$ is continuous, therefore measurable
hence $\Phi(t) e^{-i t \omega}$ is measurable
consider $\int_{a}^{b} \omega^{\prime}(s) \int_{k}\left|\Phi(t) e^{-i t \omega}\right| \frac{d t}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d \omega \leq \int_{a}^{b} \omega^{\prime}(s) \int_{k} M e^{r|i m \omega|} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d \omega$

$$
\leq \int_{a}^{b} \omega^{\prime}(s) M e^{r|i m z|} \frac{m(k)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d \omega \text { which is finite }
$$

Conversely assume that $f$ is an entire function and $|f(z)| \leq \gamma_{N}(1+|z|)^{-N} e^{r|i m g z|}$.
Define $\Phi(t)=\int f(x) e^{i t x} d m(x) \quad(t \in R)$
Claim: $(1+|x|)^{-N} f(x) \in L^{\prime}(R)$
Since $f(x)$ is analytic, $f$ is continuous.
Therefore $f$ is measurable.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { consider } \int_{R}\left|1+|x|^{-N}\right| f(x) \mid d m(x) & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|1+|x|^{-N} \gamma_{N}\right| 1+|x|^{N-2} e^{r|i m g x|} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|1+|x|^{-2} \gamma_{N} e^{r(0)} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right. \\
& =\frac{\gamma_{N}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|1+|x|^{-2} d x\right. \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma_{N}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|1+|x||^{-2} d x \quad\left[\text { since } \frac{1}{(1+x)^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$|1+|x||^{-N} f(x) \in L^{\prime}(R)$.
Claim: $\Phi \in C^{\infty}(R)$
Let $f_{n}(x)=\frac{f(x)\left(e^{i t_{n} x}-e^{i s x}\right)}{t_{n}-s}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(x) & =\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)\left(e^{i t_{n} x}-e^{i s x}\right)}{t_{n}-s} \\
& =f(x) \operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(e^{i t_{n} x}-e^{i s x}\right)}{t_{n}-s} \\
& =f(x) e^{i s x} \operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(e^{i\left(t_{n}-s\right) x}-1\right)}{t_{n}-s}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=f(x) e^{i s x} \operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(e^{i h_{n} x}-1\right)}{h_{n}} \quad \text { where } h_{n}=t_{n}-s
$$

$\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(x)=f(x) e^{i s x} \quad i x\left(\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+i h_{n} x+\frac{\left(i h_{n} x\right)^{2}}{2!}+\ldots}{h_{n}}\right)$
$\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(x)=f(x) e^{i s x} i x$
$f_{n}(x)=f(x) \frac{\left(e^{i_{n} x}-e^{i s x}\right)}{t_{n}-s}$ is measurable.
Consider $\left|f_{n}(x)\right|=\left|f(x) \frac{\left(e^{i t_{n} x}-e^{i s x}\right)}{t_{n}-s}\right|$
$=\left|f(x) e^{i s x} \frac{\left(e^{i\left(t_{n}-s\right) x}-1\right)}{t_{n}-s}\right|$
$=\left|f(x) e^{i s x} \frac{\left(e^{i h_{n} x}-1\right)}{h_{n}}\right|$
$=\left|f(x) e^{i s x} e^{i \frac{h_{n}}{2} x} \frac{\left(e^{i \frac{h_{n}}{2} x}-e^{-i \frac{h_{n}}{2} x}\right)}{h_{n}}\right|$
$=|f(x)|\left|\frac{\sin \frac{h_{n}}{2} x}{h_{n}}\right|$
$=|f(x)|\left|\frac{\sin \frac{h_{n}}{2} x}{h_{n} \cdot \frac{x}{2}} \frac{x}{2}\right|$
$=|f(x)|\left|\frac{x}{2}\right|\left|\frac{\sin \frac{h_{n}}{2} x}{\frac{x h_{n}}{2}}\right|$
$\leq|f(x)|\left|\frac{x}{2}\right|$
$\left[\sin c e\left|\frac{\sin \frac{h_{n}}{2} x}{h_{n}}\right| \leq 1\right]$
let $\left.g(x)=|f(x)| \frac{x}{2} \right\rvert\,$
Claim: $g \in L^{\prime}(R)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R}|g(x)| d m(x) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f(x)|\left|\frac{x}{2}\right| d m(x) \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{N}(1+|x|)^{-N} e^{r \mid i m g} x\left|\frac{x}{2}\right| d m(x) \\
& \leq \gamma_{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|x|)^{-N}\left|\frac{x}{2}\right| d m(x) \\
\text { Choose } \mathrm{N}=2 & =\gamma_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|x|)^{-2}\left|\frac{x}{2}\right| d m(x) \\
& \leq \gamma_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)}\left|\frac{x}{2}\right| \frac{d x}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore $f(x)\left|\frac{x}{2}\right| \in L^{\prime}(R)$.
by dominated convergent theorem,
$\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R} \frac{f(x)\left(e^{i t_{n} x}-e^{i s x}\right)}{t_{n}-s} d m(x)=\int_{R} f(x) e^{i s x} i x d m(x)$
$\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi\left(t_{n}\right)-\Phi(s)}{t_{n}-s}=\int_{R} f(x) e^{i s x} i x d m(x)$
$\Phi^{\prime}(t)=\int_{R} f(x) e^{i s x} i x d m(x)$
$\Phi^{\prime}(t)$ exists
$\Phi$ is differential at everywhere
$\Phi \in C^{\infty}(R)$
Claim: $\int f(\xi+i \eta) e^{i t(\xi+i \eta)} d \xi$ is independent of $\eta$ for arbitrary t.
Let $\Gamma$ be a rectangular path in $(\xi+i \eta)$ plane with one edge on the real axis, one on the line $\eta=\eta_{1}$ whose vertical edges move off to infinity
Since $f$ and $e^{i t(\xi+i \eta)}$ are analytic
therefore $f e^{i t(\xi+i \eta)}$ is analytic and $\Gamma$ be the closed path,
therefore by cauchy's theorem $\int_{\Gamma} f(\xi+i \eta) e^{i t(\xi+i \eta)} d \xi=0$
$\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} f(\xi) e^{i t \xi} d \xi+\int_{0}^{\eta_{1}} f\left(\xi_{2}+i \eta\right) e^{i t\left(\xi_{2}+i \eta\right)} i d \eta+\int_{\xi_{2}}^{\xi_{1}} f\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right) e^{i t\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right)} d \xi+\int_{\eta_{1}}^{0} f\left(\xi_{1}+i \eta\right) e^{i t\left(\xi_{1}+i \eta\right)} i d \eta=0$
(7)

Consider $\left|\int_{0}^{\eta_{1}} f\left(\xi_{2}+i \eta\right) e^{i t\left(\xi_{2}+i \eta\right)} i d \eta\right| \leq \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}}\left|f\left(\xi_{2}+i \eta\right)\right| e^{i t\left(\xi_{2}+i \eta\right)} \mid d \eta$

$$
=\int_{0}^{\eta_{1}}\left|f\left(\xi_{2}+i \eta\right)\right| e^{-t \eta} d \eta
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}}\left(1+\left|\xi_{2}+i \eta\right|\right)^{-N} \gamma_{N} e^{r \eta} e^{-t \eta} d \eta \\
& =\gamma_{N} \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}} \frac{e^{(r-t) \eta}}{\left(1+\left|\xi_{2}+i \eta\right|\right)^{N}} d \eta \\
& \leq \gamma_{N} \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}} \frac{e^{(r-t) \eta}}{1+\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{N}} d \eta \text { since }\left|\xi_{2}+i \eta\right|^{N} \geq\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{N} \\
& \leq \gamma_{N} \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}} \frac{e^{(r-t) \eta}}{\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{N}} d \eta \\
& =\frac{\gamma_{N}}{\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{N}} \frac{e^{(r-t) \eta_{1}}-1}{r-t} \\
& =\frac{\gamma_{N}}{\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{N}} \frac{1-e^{(r-t) \eta_{1}}}{t-r} \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma_{N}}{\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{N}} \\
& \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $\int_{\eta_{1}}^{0} f\left(\xi_{1}+i \eta\right) e^{i t\left(\xi_{1}+i \eta\right)} i d \eta=0 \quad$ as $\xi_{1} \rightarrow \infty$
Taking $\xi_{1} \rightarrow-\infty$ in (7)
$\operatorname{Lim}_{\xi_{1} \rightarrow-\infty} \int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} f(\xi) e^{i t \xi} d \xi+\operatorname{Lim}_{\xi_{1} \rightarrow-\infty} \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}} f\left(\xi_{2}+i \eta\right) e^{i t\left(\xi_{2}+i \eta\right)} i d \eta+\operatorname{Lim}_{\xi_{1} \rightarrow-\infty} \int_{\xi_{2}}^{\xi_{1}} f\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right) e^{i t\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right)} d \xi+\operatorname{Lim}_{\xi_{1} \rightarrow-\infty} \int_{\eta_{1}}^{0} f\left(\xi_{1}+i \eta\right) e^{i t\left(\xi_{1}+i \eta\right)} i d \eta=0$
$\operatorname{Lim}_{\xi_{1} \rightarrow-\infty} \int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} f(\xi) e^{i t \xi} d \xi+\operatorname{Lim}_{\xi_{1} \rightarrow-\infty} \int_{\xi_{2}}^{\xi_{1}} f\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right) e^{i t\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right)} d \xi+\operatorname{Lim}_{\xi_{1} \rightarrow-\infty} \int_{\eta_{1}}^{0} f\left(\xi_{1}+i \eta\right) e^{i t\left(\xi_{1}+i \eta\right)} i d \eta=0 \mathrm{by}(8)$

Taking $\xi_{2} \rightarrow \infty$
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) e^{i t \xi} d \xi+\int_{\infty}^{-\infty} f\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right) e^{i t\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right)} d \xi=0$
$\int_{R} f(\xi) e^{i t \xi} d \xi-\int_{R} f\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right) e^{i t\left(\xi+i \eta_{1}\right)} d \xi=0(9)$
From (9), (5) becomes $\Phi(t)=\int f(x+i y) e^{i t(+i y x)} d x \quad(t \in R)$
Given $t \in R^{n}, \quad t \neq 0$
Choose $y=\frac{\lambda t}{|t|} \quad$ where $\quad \lambda>0$
Then $t \cdot y=t \cdot \frac{\lambda t}{|t|}$
If $t<0$ then $t \cdot y=t \cdot \frac{\lambda t}{-t}=-t \lambda$

If $t>0$ then $t \cdot y=t \cdot \frac{\lambda t}{t}=t \lambda$
Therefore $t \cdot y=\lambda|t|$
$|y|=|\lambda|=\lambda \quad[\sin c e \lambda>0]$
Now consider $\left|f(x+i y) e^{i t(x+i y)}\right|=|f(x+i y)|\left|e^{i t(x+i y)}\right|$

$$
\leq \gamma_{N}(1+|x+i y|)^{-N} e^{r|i m g(x+i y)|}\left|e^{-t y}\right|
$$

$$
=\gamma_{N}(1+|x+i y|)^{-N} e^{r|y|}\left|e^{-t y}\right| \quad[\text { since }|x+i y|>|x|]
$$

$$
\leq \gamma_{N}(1+|x|)^{-N} e^{r|y|} e^{-|t||y|}
$$

$$
\leq \gamma_{N}(1+|x|)^{-N} e^{r|y|} e^{-|t||y|}
$$

$$
=\gamma_{N}(1+|x|)^{-N} e^{(r-|t|)|y|}
$$

$$
=\gamma_{N}(1+|x|)^{-N} e^{(r-|t|) \lambda}
$$

Now consider $\Phi(t)=\int_{R} f(x+i y) e^{i t(+i y x)} d m x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Phi(t)| & \leq \int_{R}\left|f(x+i y) e^{i t(+i y x)}\right| d m x \\
& \leq \int_{R} \gamma_{N} e^{|r-|t|| \lambda}(1+|x|)^{-N} d m x \\
& =\gamma_{N} e^{|r-|t|| \lambda} \int_{R}(1+|x|)^{-N} d m x
\end{aligned}
$$

Where N is chosen so large, choose $\mathrm{N}=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Phi(t)| & =\gamma_{2} e^{|r-|t|| \lambda} \int_{R}(1+|x|)^{-2} d m x \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma_{2} e^{|r-|t| \lambda}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{R}\left(1+|x|^{-2}\right) d x \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Now to prove support of $\Phi$ in rB
If $|t|>r$
Then $\Phi(t) \leq \gamma_{N} e^{-(|t|-r) \lambda} \int_{R}(!+|x|)^{-N} d m x$
As $\lambda \rightarrow \infty|\Phi(t)|=0$
$\Phi(t)=0$ if $|t|>r$
$\Phi(t) \neq 0$ if $|t| \leq r$
Therefore support of $\Phi$ in rB
Apply inversion theorem to (A) we get, $f(x)=\int_{R} \Phi(t) e^{-i t x} d m(t)$ for real $z$
This completes the proof.

## II. Conclusion:

I have tried a brief note on PaleyWiener in C. This is a very useful result as it enables one pass to the Fourier transform of a function in the Hardy space and perform calculations in the easily understood space.
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