

## Properties of $\alpha$ -Interior and $\alpha$ -Closure in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces

Gnanambal Ilango<sup>1</sup>, T.A.Albinaa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, India)

<sup>2</sup>(Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, India)

---

**Abstract:** The purpose of this research article is to study about intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets and discuss interior and closure properties of intuitionistic sets.

---

### I. Introduction

After the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh[11], there have been a number of generalizations of this fundamental concept. Using the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Coker[4] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. The concept of intuitionistic set in topological space was first introduced by Coker[3]. He has studied some fundamental topological properties on intuitionistic sets. Open sets play a vital role in general topology and they are now the research topics of many researchers worldwide. Njastad[9] studied semi open sets, pre open sets,  $\alpha$ -open sets and semipro open sets in general topological spaces. Maheswari[8] has studied the properties of  $\alpha$ -interior and  $\alpha$ -closure in topological spaces. In this paper, the properties of intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets are introduced and characterized.

### II. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1 [5] :** Let  $X$  be a non empty set. An intuitionistic set (IS for short)  $A$  is an object having the form  $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$ . The set  $A_1$  is called the set of members of  $A$ , while  $A_2$  is called the set of non-members of  $A$ .

**Definition 2.2 [5] :** Let  $X$  be a non empty set and let  $A, B$  are intuitionistic sets in the form  $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle, B = \langle X, B_1, B_2 \rangle$  respectively. Then

(a)  $A \subseteq B$  iff  $A_1 \subseteq B_1$  and  $B_2 \subseteq A_2$

(b)  $A = B$  iff  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$

(c)  $A^c = \langle X, A_2, A_1 \rangle$

(d)  $[ ] A = \langle X, A_1, (A_1)^c \rangle$

(e)  $A - B = A \cap B^c$ .

(f)  $\phi_+ = \langle X, \phi, X \rangle, X_- = \langle X, X, \phi \rangle$

(g)  $A \cup B = \langle X, A_1 \cup B_1, A_2 \cap B_2 \rangle$ .

(h)  $A \cap B = \langle X, A_1 \cap B_1, A_2 \cup B_2 \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.3 [5] :** An intuitionistic topology (for short IT) on a non empty set  $X$  is a family of IS's in  $X$  satisfying the following axioms.

(i)  $\phi_+, X_- \in \tau$

(ii)  $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$  for any  $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$ .

(iii)  $\bigcup G_\alpha \subseteq \tau$  for any arbitrary family  $\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in J\} \subseteq \tau$  where  $(X, \tau)$  is called an intuitionistic topological space (for short ITS(X)) and any intuitionistic set in  $\tau$  is called an intuitionistic open set (for short IOS) in  $X$ . The complement  $A^c$  of an IOS  $A$  is called an intuitionistic closed set (for short ICS) in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.4[5] :** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an intuitionistic topological space (for short ITS(X)) and  $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$  be an IS in  $X$ . Then the interior and closure of  $A$  are defined by

$\text{Icl}(A) = \bigcap \{K: K \text{ is an ICS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}$ ,

$\text{Iint}(A) = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is an IOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$ .

It can be shown that  $\text{Icl}(A)$  is an ICS and  $\text{Iint}(A)$  is an IOS in  $X$  and  $A$  is an ICS in  $X$  iff  $\text{Icl}(A) = A$  and is an IOS in  $X$  iff  $\text{Iint}(A) = A$ .

**Definition 2.5[5]:** Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $p \in X$ . Then the IS  $p$  defined by  $p = \langle X, \{p\}, \{p\}^c \rangle$  is called an intuitionistic point (IP for short) in  $X$ . The intuitionistic point  $p$  is said to be contained in  $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$  (i.e  $p \in A$ ) if and only if  $p \in A_1$ .

**Definition 2.6[10]**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an ITS(X). An intuitionistic set A of X is said to be

- (i) Intuitionistic semiopen if  $A \subseteq \text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(A))$ .
- (ii) Intuitionistic preopen if  $A \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(A))$ .
- (iii) Intuitionistic regular open if  $A = \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(A))$ .
- (iv) Intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open if  $A \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(A)))$ .

The family of all intuitionistic pre open, intuitionistic regular open and intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$  are denoted by IPOS, IROS and  $I\alpha$ OS respectively.

**Definition 2.7 [5]**

- (a) If  $B = \langle Y, B_1, B_2 \rangle$  is an IS in Y, then the preimage of B under f, denoted by  $f^{-1}(B)$ , is the IS in X defined by  $f^{-1}(B) = \langle X, f^{-1}(B_1), f^{-1}(B_2) \rangle$ .

If  $A = \langle X, f(A_1), f(A_2) \rangle$  is an IS in X, then the image of A under f, denoted by  $f(A)$  is the IS in Y defined by  $f(A) = \langle Y, f(A_1), f(A_2) \rangle$ , where  $f(A_2) = Y - (f(X - A_2))$ .

**III. Properties of Intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -Open Set**

**Definition 3.1:** An intuitionistic point x in an intuitionistic topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -interior point of A if and only if there exists an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set U in X such that  $U \subseteq A$ .

**Definition 3.2:** The set of all intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -interior points of  $A \subseteq X$  is said to be the intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -interior of A or equivalently the union of all intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets which are contained in A is called the intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -interior of A and is denoted by  $I\alpha\text{int}(A)$ .

**Note 3.3:** Since every open set is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open, it follows that every intuitionistic interior point of  $A \subseteq X$  is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -interior point of A. Hence  $\text{Iint}(A) \subseteq I\alpha\text{int}(A)$ . But converse is not true.

**Theorem 3.4:** If S is a nonempty intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set in an intuitionistic topological space  $(X, \tau)$  then  $\text{Iint}(S) \neq \phi$ .

**Proof:** Since S is a intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set,  $S \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(S)))$ . Let us suppose that  $\text{Iint}(S)$  is empty. Then we have  $S \subseteq \phi$  and hence  $S = \phi$ . It is contrary to the hypothesis that S is nonempty. Therefore,  $\text{Iint}(S)$  is not empty.

**Theorem 3.5:** If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(A)))$ , then B is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set.

**Proof:** Since  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $\text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(A))) \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(B)))$ . This inclusion along with the hypothesis implies  $B \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(B)))$ . That is B is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set.

**Theorem 3.6:** A set B is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open iff there exists an intuitionistic open set D such that  $D \subseteq B \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(D))$

**Proof:** Let us suppose that there exists an intuitionistic open set D such that  $D \subseteq B \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(D))$ . Since  $B \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(D)) = \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(D))) \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(B)))$ . This implies B is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open. Conversely, B is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open, then  $B \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(B)))$ . Let  $\text{Iint}B = D$ . Since  $\text{Iint}B \subseteq B$ ,  $D \subseteq B$  and also  $B \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(D))$ . Hence  $D \subseteq B \subseteq \text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(D))$ .

**Theorem 3.7:** A is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed iff there exists an intuitionistic closed set B such that  $\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(B)) \subseteq A \subseteq B$ .

**Proof:** Let A be intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed. Then  $\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(A))) \subseteq A$ . Let  $\text{Icl}(A) = B$ . Since  $A \subseteq \text{Icl}(A)$ ,  $A \subseteq B$  and by hypothesis,  $\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(B)) \subseteq A$ . Thus there exists B such that  $\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(B)) \subseteq A \subseteq B$ . Conversely, suppose that there exists B such that  $\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(B)) \subseteq A \subseteq B$ . Since B is intuitionistic closed  $\text{Icl}(B) = B$ . By hypothesis,  $\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(B)) \subseteq A$  this implies  $\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(B))) \subseteq A$ . As  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $\text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(A))) \subseteq \text{Icl}(\text{Iint}(\text{Icl}(B))) \subseteq A$ . Thus A is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Lemma 3.8:** Let A be an intuitionistic subset of X. Then  $x \in I\alpha\text{cl}(A)$  iff for any intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set U containing x,  $A \cap U \neq \phi$ .

**Proof: Necessity:** Let  $x \in I\alpha\text{cl}(A)$  and U be an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set containing x such that  $A \cap U = \phi$ . This implies,  $A \subseteq X - U$ . But,  $X - U$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed set. Since  $I\alpha\text{cl}(A)$  is the smallest intuitionistic

$\alpha$ -closed set containing A,  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \subseteq X-U$ . Since  $x \notin X-U \Rightarrow x \notin I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$  which is a contradiction. Hence for any intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set U containing x,  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$

**Sufficiency:** Let us suppose that every intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set of X containing x meets A. If  $x \notin I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$ , there exists intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed set F of X such that  $A \subseteq F$  and  $x \notin F$ . Therefore  $x \in X-F \in I\alpha \text{ OS}(X)$ . Hence X-F is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set in X containing x but  $(X-F) \cap A = \emptyset$  which is a contradiction to the hypothesis. Consequently  $x \in I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.9:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an intuitionistic topological space and  $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$  and  $B = \langle Y, B_1, B_2 \rangle$  be two intuitionistic sets over X. Then,

1. A is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed set iff  $A = I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$ .
2. A is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set iff  $A = I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$ .
3.  $(I\alpha \text{ cl}(A))^c = I\alpha \text{ int}(A^c)$
4.  $(I\alpha \text{ int}(A))^c = I\alpha \text{ cl}(A^c)$
5.  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ int}(B)$
6.  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$
7.  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(X) = X$
8.  $I\alpha \text{ int}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $I\alpha \text{ int}(X) = X$
9.  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cup B) = I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \cup I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$
10.  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cap B) = I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cap I\alpha \text{ int}(B)$
11.  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cap B) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \cap I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$
12.  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cup B) \supseteq I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cup I\alpha \text{ int}(B)$
13.  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)) = I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$
14.  $I\alpha \text{ int}(I\alpha \text{ int}(A)) = I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$

**Proof:**

1. Let A be an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed set. Then it is the smallest intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed set containing itself (Since arbitrary intersection of intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed set is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed). Hence  $A = I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$ . Conversely, let  $A = I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$ . Since  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$  being the intersection of intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed sets is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed, so  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$  is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed set. This implies A is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed set of an intuitionistic topological space.
2. Let A be intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open. Since arbitrary union of intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open, A is the largest intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set contained in A. Hence  $A = I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$ . Conversely, let  $A = I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$ . Since  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$  being the union of intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open, this implies A is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open in an intuitionistic topological space.

$$3. \quad (I\alpha \text{ cl}(A))^c = (\bigcap \{ K: K \text{ is an } I\alpha \text{ CS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \})^c \\ = (\bigcup \{ K^c: \{ K^c \} \text{ is an } I\alpha \text{ OS in } X \text{ and } \{ K^c \} \subseteq \{ A^c \} \}) \\ = I\alpha \text{ int} \{ A^c \}$$

$$4. \quad (I\alpha \text{ int}(A))^c = (\bigcup \{ G: G \text{ is an } I\alpha \text{ OS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \})^c \\ = (\bigcap \{ G^c: G^c \text{ is an } I\alpha \text{ CS in } X \text{ and } G^c \supseteq A^c \}) \\ = (\bigcap \{ G^c: G^c \text{ is an } I\alpha \text{ CS in } X \text{ and } A^c \subseteq G^c \}) \\ = I\alpha \text{ cl} \{ A^c \}$$

$$5. \quad I\alpha \text{ int}(A) = (\bigcup \{ G: G \text{ is an } I\alpha \text{ OS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \}) \\ I\alpha \text{ int}(B) = (\bigcup \{ G: G \text{ is an } I\alpha \text{ OS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq B \}) \\ \text{Now } I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq B. \text{ This implies } I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \subseteq B. \text{ Since } I\alpha \text{ int}(B) \text{ is the largest} \\ \text{intuitionistic } \alpha\text{-open set contained in } B. \text{ Hence } I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ int}(B).$$

$$6. \quad I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) = (\bigcap \{ K: K \text{ is an } I\alpha \text{ CS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \}) \\ I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) = (\bigcap \{ K: K \text{ is an } I\alpha \text{ CS in } X \text{ and } B \subseteq K \}) \\ \text{Since } A \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \text{ and } B \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) \Rightarrow A \subseteq B \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) \Rightarrow A \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(B). \\ \text{But } I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \text{ is smallest intuitionistic } \alpha\text{-closed containing } A. \\ \text{Therefore, } I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(B).$$

7. Since  $\phi$  and  $X$  are intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed sets, then by (1)  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(\phi) = \phi$  and  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(X) = X$ .
8. Since  $\phi$  and  $X$  are intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets, then by (2)  $I\alpha \text{ int}(\phi) = \phi$  and  $I\alpha \text{ int}(X) = X$ .
9. Since  $A \subset A \cup B$ ,  $B \subseteq A \cup B$  and  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$ .  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cup B)$ ,  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cup B) \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \cup I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cup B)$ . Now  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$ ,  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed. This implies  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \cup I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed. Then  $A \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$  and  $B \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) \Rightarrow A \cup B \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \cup I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$  that is  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \cup I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed containing  $A \cup B$ . But  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cup B)$  is smallest intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed containing  $A \cup B$ . Hence  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cup B) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \cup I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$ . Therefore  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cup B) = I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \cup I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$ .
10. Since  $A \cap B \subset A$ ,  $A \cap B \subset B$  and  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ int}(B)$ . Then,  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cap B) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$  and  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cap B) \subseteq I\alpha \text{ int}(B)$ . This implies  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cap B) \subset I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cap I\alpha \text{ int}(B)$ . Now  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$ ,  $I\alpha \text{ int}(B)$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . This implies  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cap B)$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . Then  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \subset A$  and  $I\alpha \text{ int}(B) \subset B \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cap I\alpha \text{ int}(B) \subset A \cap B$  that is  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cap I\alpha \text{ int}(B)$  is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set contained in  $A \cap B$ . Therefore  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cap I\alpha \text{ int}(B) \subset I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cap B)$ .  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cap B) = I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cap I\alpha \text{ int}(B)$ .
11.  $A \cap B \subset A$  and  $A \cap B \subset B \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cap B) \subset I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$  and  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cap B) \subset I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ cl}(A \cap B) \subset I\alpha \text{ cl}(A) \cap I\alpha \text{ cl}(B)$ .
12.  $A \subset A \cup B$  and  $B \subset A \cup B \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \subset I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cup B)$  and  $I\alpha \text{ int}(B) \subset I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cup B) \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cup B) \Rightarrow I\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cup I\alpha \text{ int}(B) \subset I\alpha \text{ int}(A \cup B)$ .
13.  $A$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed iff  $A = I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$ . Since  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed,  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)) = I\alpha \text{ cl}(A)$ .
14. Since  $I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open and  $A$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open iff  $A = I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$ , therefore  $I\alpha \text{ int}(I\alpha \text{ int}(A)) = I\alpha \text{ int}(A)$ .

**Example 3.10:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, X, A, B\}$  where  $A = \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle$ ,  $B = \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle$ ,  $C = \langle X, \{c\}, \{a\} \rangle$  then  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) = \langle X, \{a, b, c\}, \phi \rangle$ ,  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(C) = \langle X, \{c\}, \{a\} \rangle$ ,  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(B \cap C) = \langle X, \phi, \{a, c\} \rangle$ ,  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) \cap I\alpha \text{ cl}(C) = \langle X, \{c\}, \{a\} \rangle$ . From above we get that  $I\alpha \text{ cl}(B \cap C) \subset I\alpha \text{ cl}(B) \cap I\alpha \text{ cl}(C)$  but the converse is not true.

**Example 3.11:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, X, A, B\}$  where  $A = \langle X, \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle$ ,  $B = \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle$ ,  $C = \langle X, \{c\}, \{a\} \rangle$  then  $I\alpha \text{ int}(B) = \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle$ ,  $I\alpha \text{ int}(C) = \langle X, \phi, \{a, b, c\} \rangle$ ,  $I\alpha \text{ int}(B \cup C) = \langle X, \{a, b, c\}, \phi \rangle$ ,  $I\alpha \text{ int}(B) \cup I\alpha \text{ int}(C) = \langle X, \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle$ . From above we get that  $I\alpha \text{ int}(B) \cup I\alpha \text{ int}(C) \subset I\alpha \text{ int}(B \cup C)$  but the converse is not true.

**Definition 3.12:** In an intuitionistic topological space  $(X, \tau)$  a point  $p \in X$  is called intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -limit point of  $A$  if any intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set containing  $p$  contains a point of  $A$  disjoint from  $p$ . The set of all intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -limit points is denoted as  $I\alpha d(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.13:**  $A$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed iff  $I\alpha d(A) \subseteq A$

**Proof: Necessity:**

Let  $A$  be an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed set and  $p \in I\alpha d(A)$ . Assume  $p \notin A$  then  $p \notin X - A$ . As  $X - A$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open and disjoint from  $A$ ,  $p \notin I\alpha d(A)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $p \in A$ . Thus  $I\alpha d(A) \subseteq A$ .

**Sufficiency:**

Suppose  $I\alpha d(A) \subseteq A$ . Let  $p \in X - A$ , then  $p \notin A$  and so  $p \notin I\alpha d(A)$ . Hence there is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set  $B$  which contains  $p$  but contains no point of  $A$ . Since  $p \notin A \Rightarrow p \in B \subseteq X - A$ . As  $p$  is an arbitrary point of  $X - A$  and arbitrary union of intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open,  $X - A$  is the union of intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets and hence intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open. Hence  $A$  is intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.14:**  $I\alpha d(I\alpha d(A)) - A \subseteq I\alpha d(A)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $p \sim \in I\alpha d(I\alpha d(A)) - A$  and  $B$  be any intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set containing  $p \sim$ . Then  $B \cap (I\alpha d(A) - p \sim) \neq \phi$ . Let  $q \sim \in B \cap (I\alpha d(A) - p \sim)$ . Since  $q \sim \in I\alpha d(A)$  and  $q \sim \in B$ , so  $B \cap (A - q \sim) \neq \phi$ . Let  $r \sim \in B \cap (A - q \sim)$ . Then  $r \sim \neq p \sim$  for  $r \sim \in A$  but  $p \sim \notin A$ . Therefore  $B \cap (A - p \sim) \neq \phi$ . Hence  $p \sim \in I\alpha d(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.15:**  $I\alpha d(A \cup I\alpha d(A)) \subseteq A \cup I\alpha d(A)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $p \sim \in I\alpha d(A \cup I\alpha d(A))$  and  $p \sim \notin A$ . If  $B$  is an intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open set containing  $p \sim$  then  $B \cap [(A \cup I\alpha d(A)) - p \sim] \neq \emptyset$ . This implies  $B \cap (A - p \sim) \neq \emptyset$ . For, if  $B \cap [I\alpha d(A) - p \sim] \neq \emptyset$  then by proof in previous theorem,  $B \cap [A - p \sim] \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $p \sim \in I\alpha d(A)$ .

### References

- [1]. Andrijevic D, Some Properties of the Topology of  $\alpha$  Sets, Mat. Vesnik 36(1984),1-10.
- [2]. Andrijevic D, Semi Preopen Sets, Mat. Vesnik 38(1986), 24-32.
- [3]. Coker D, A note on intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points. Turkish J. Math. 1996; 20(3):343-351.
- [4]. Coker D, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems.1997; 88(1):81-89.
- [5]. Coker D, An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces. Busefal. 2000; 81:51-56.
- [6]. Gnanambal Ilango, S. Selvanayaki. Generalized preregular closed sets in intuitionistic topological spaces. Internat. J. Math. Archive. 2014; 5(4):1-7.
- [7]. Gnanambal Ilango, S.Girija. Some More Results on Intuitionistic Semi Open Sets. Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications. Vol 4, Issue 11, Nov 2014, pp.70-74
- [8]. Maheswari and Thakur, {Some Problems In Topology}, Ph.D Thesis.
- [9]. Olav Njastad, On Some Classes of Nearly Open Sets, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 15, No.3,(1965) 961 -970.
- [10]. Younis J. Yaseen, Asmaa G. Raouf. On generalization closed set and generalized continuity on intuitionistic topological spaces. J.of Al-Anbar University for Pure Science, 2009; 3(1).
- [11]. Zadeh L.A, Fuzzy Sets, Inform and Control,8,(1965),338-353.