# Some Relations Connected To Order of Composite Functions and **Relative Order of Entire and Meromorphic Functions**

**DR.** Chinmay Biswas

Department of Mathematics Nabadwip Vidyasagar College, Nabadwip, Dist.- Nadia, PIN-741302, West Bengal, India

Abstract: In this paper, some relations of order, L-order, L\*-order of composite entire and meromorphic functions with relative lower order, relative L-lower order, relative L\*-lower order of a meromorphic function with respect to an entire function are established.

AMS Subject Classification (2010) : 30D20,30D30,30D35.

\_\_\_\_\_

Keywords and Phrases : Entire function, Meromorphic function, Slowly changing function, order, L-order, L\*order, relative lower order, relative L-lower order, relative L\*-lower order.

\_\_\_\_\_ Date of Submission: 08-01-2020 Date of Acceptance: 23-01-2020 \_\_\_\_\_

#### I. Introduction

The maximum modulus  $M_{g}(r)$  of the entire function g defined in the open complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  is defined as  $M_{g}(r) = \max \{ |g(z)|: |z|=r \}$ . For meromorphic function f defined in the open complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $M_{f}(r)$  can not be defined as f is not analytic. In this case one may define another function  $T_{\ell}(r)$ , which is known as Nevanlinna's Characteristic function of f, playing the same role as maximum modulus.

All the standard notations and definitions in the theory of entire and meromorphic functions are available in the books of Hayman (1964) and Valiron (1949).

In this connection we just recall the following definitions which are relevant:

#### II. Definitions

**Definition 1** The order  $\rho_f$  and lower order  $\lambda_f$  of an entire function f are defined as

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_f(r)}{\log r} \text{ and } \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_f(r)}{\log r}$$

When f is meromorphic, then

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(r)}{\log r} \text{ and } \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(r)}{\log r}$$

Bernal (1984, 1988) introduced the definition of relative order of an entire function f with respect to another entire function g, denoted by  $\rho_g(f)$  to avoid comparing growth just with exp z as follows:

$$\rho_g(f) = \inf\{\mu > 0: M_f(r) < M_g(r^{\mu}) \text{ for all } r > r_0(\mu) > 0.\}$$
$$= \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1} M_f(r)}{\log r}$$

Similarly, one can define the relative lower order of an entire function f with respect to another entire function g denoted by  $\lambda_{g}(f)$  as follows :

$$\lambda_{g}(f) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M_{g}^{-1} M_{f}(r)}{\log r}$$

Extending this notion, Lahiri et.al.(1999) introduced the definition of relative order of a meromorphic function with respect to an entire function in the following way :

**Definition 2** Let f be any meromorphic function and g be any entire function. The relative order of f with respect to g is defined as

$$\rho_g(f) = \inf\{\mu > 0: T_f(r) < T_g(r^{\mu}) \text{ for all sufficiently large r } \}$$
$$= \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g^{-1} T_f(r)}{\log r}$$

Likewise, one can define the relative lower order of a meromorphic function f with respect to an entire function g denoted by  $\lambda_{p}(f)$  as follows :

$$\lambda_g(f) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g^{-1} T_f(r)}{\log r}$$

It is known that if  $g(z)=\exp z$  then **Definition 2** coincides with the classical definition of the order of a meromorphic function f.

Let  $L \equiv L(r)$  be a positive continuous function increasing slowly i.e.,  $L(ar) \sim L(r)$  as  $r \to \infty$  for every positive constant a. Singh et. al. (1977) defined it in the following way:

**Definition 3** A positive continuous function L(r) is called a slowly changing function if for  $\varepsilon$ (>0),

$$\frac{1}{k^{\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{L(kr)}{L(r)} \leq k^{\varepsilon} \text{ for } r \geq r(\varepsilon) \text{ and uniformly for } k(\geq 1).$$

If further, L(r) is differentiable, the above condition is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{rL'(r)}{L(r)} = 0$$

Somasundaram et.al. (1988) introduced the notions of L-order and L-lower order for entire functions. **Definition 4** The L-order  $\rho_f^{\ L}$  and the L-lower order  $\lambda_f^{\ L}$  of a meromorphic function f are defined as follows:

$$\rho_{f}^{L} = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]} \text{ and } \lambda_{f}^{L} = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]}$$

The more generalised concept of L-order for a functions is  $L^*$  -order.

**Definition 5** [Somasundaram et.al. (1988)]The  $L^*$  -order  $\rho_f^{L^*}$  and the  $L^*$  -lower order  $\lambda_f^{L^*}$  of a meromorphic function f are defined as

$$\rho_{f}^{L^{*}} = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log \left[ re^{L(r)} \right]} \text{ and } \lambda_{f}^{L^{*}} = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log \left[ re^{L(r)} \right]}$$

In the line of Somasundaram et.al. (1988) and Bernal (1984, 1988), one may define the relative L-order and the relative  $L^*$ -order of a meromorphic function in the following manner :

**Definition 5** The relative L-order  $\rho_g^{L}(f)$  and the relative L-lower order  $\lambda_g^{L}(f)$  of a meromorphic function f with respect to an entire function g are defined as follows:

$$\rho_g^{L}(f) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g^{-1} T_f(r)}{\log [rL(r)]} \text{ and } \lambda_g^{L}(f) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g^{-1} T_f(r)}{\log [rL(r)]}$$

**Definition 6** The relative  $L^*$ -order  $\rho_g^{L^*}(f)$  and relative  $L^*$ -lower  $\lambda_g^{L^*}(f)$  of a meromorphic function f with respect to an entire function g are defined as

$$\rho_g^{L^*}(f) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g^{-1} T_f(r)}{\log \left[ re^{L(r)} \right]} \text{ and } \lambda_g^{L^*}(f) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g^{-1} T_f(r)}{\log \left[ re^{L(r)} \right]}$$

## III. Preliminaries

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 1** [Bergweiler (1990)] Let f be meromorphic and g be entire and suppose that  $0 < \mu < \rho_g \le \infty$ . Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) \ge T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))$$

**Lemma 2** [Lahiri et.al. (1995)] Let f be meromorphic and g be entire such that  $0 < \rho_g < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_f$ . Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$T_{f \circ g}(r) > T_g(\exp(r^{\mu}))$$
 where  $0 < \mu < \rho_g$ .

### **IV.** Main Results

In this section we present the main results of the paper.

**Theorem 1** If f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function such that  $0 < \mu < \rho_g \le \infty$ ,

 $\lambda_{g}(f) < \infty$ . Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1} T_f(r)} \le \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}}{\lambda_g(f)}$$

**Proof** In view of Lemma 1, for  $0 < \mu < \rho_g \le \infty$  and for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\begin{split} \log T_{f \circ g}(r) \geq \log T_{f}(\exp(r^{\mu})) \\ \text{i.e.,} & \frac{\log T_{f}(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_{g}^{-1}T_{f}(r)} \leq \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log T_{g}^{-1}T_{f}(r)} \\ &= \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log r} \cdot \frac{\log r}{\log T_{g}^{-1}T_{f}(r)} \\ \text{.,} & \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_{f}(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_{g}^{-1}T_{f}(r)} \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \left( \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log r} \cdot \frac{\log r}{\log T_{g}^{-1}T_{f}(r)} \right) \\ \text{i.e.,} & \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_{f}(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_{g}^{-1}T_{f}(r)} \leq \frac{\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log r}}{\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log r}} = \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}}{\lambda_{g}(f)} \end{split}$$

In the line of Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, the following theorem can be stated without its proof: **Theorem 2** Let f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function such that  $0 < \mu < \rho_g < \infty$ ,  $\lambda_g(f) < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_f$ . Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \le \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}}{\lambda_g(f)}$$

**Theorem 3** If f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function such that  $0 < \mu < \rho_g \le \infty$ ,  $\lambda_g^L(f) < \infty$ . Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \le \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L}{\lambda_g^L(f)}$$

i.e.,

**Proof** In view of Lemma 1, for  $0 < \mu < \rho_g \le \infty$ ,  $\rho_g^L(f) < \infty$  and for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log T_{f \circ g}(r) \ge \log T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))$$

$$\begin{split} \text{i.e.,} & \frac{\log T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \leq \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \\ & = \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [rL(r)]} \cdot \frac{\log [rL(r)]}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \\ \text{i.e.,} & \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \left( \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [rL(r)]} \cdot \frac{\log [rL(r)]}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \right) \\ \text{i.e.,} & \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \leq \frac{\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [rL(r)]}}{\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [rL(r)]}} = \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L}{\lambda_g^L(f)} \end{split}$$

In the line of Theorem 3 and Lemma 2, the following theorem can be stated without its proof: **Theorem 4** Let f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function such that  $0 < \mu < \rho_g < \infty$ ,  $0 < \lambda_f$ and  $\lambda_g^L(f) < \infty$ . Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \le \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L}{\lambda_g^L(f)}$$

**Theorem 5** If f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function such that  $0 < \mu < \rho_g \le \infty$  and  $\lambda_g^{L^*}(f) < \infty$ . Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \le \frac{\rho^{\mathcal{L}}_{f \circ g}}{\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}_g(f)}$$

**Proof** In view of Lemma 1, for  $0 < \mu < \rho_g \le \infty$ ,  $\rho_g^{L^*}(f) < \infty$  and for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log T_{f \circ g}(r) \ge \log T_{f}(\exp(r^{\mu}))$$
  
i.e., 
$$\frac{\log T_{f}(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_{g}^{-1}T_{f}(r)} \le \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log T_{g}^{-1}T_{f}(r)} = \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \cdot \frac{\log [re^{L(r)}]}{\log T_{g}^{-1}T_{f}(r)}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \left( \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log \left\lceil re^{L(r)} \right\rceil}, \frac{\log \left\lceil re^{L(r)} \right\rceil}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \right)$$

$$\text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_f(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \leq \frac{\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log \left\lceil re^{L(r)} \right\rceil}}{\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)}{\log \left\lceil re^{L(r)} \right\rceil}} = \frac{\rho^{L^*_{f \circ g}}}{\lambda^{L^*_{g}}(f)}$$

In the line of Theorem 5 and Lemma 2, the following theorem can be stated without its proof: **Theorem 6** Let f be a meromorphic function and g be an entire function such that  $0 < \mu < \rho_g < \infty$ ,  $0 < \lambda_f$ and  $\lambda_g^{L}(f) < \infty$ . Then for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity, Some Relations Connected To Order of Composite Functions and Relative Order of Entire and ..

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T_g(\exp(r^{\mu}))}{\log T_g^{-1}T_f(r)} \le \frac{\rho^{L^*}_{f \circ g}}{\lambda^{L^*}_{g}(f)}$$

### References

- [1]. L. Bernal : Crecimiento relativo de funciones enteras. Contribuci´on al estudio de lasfunciones enteras con ´ındice exponencial finito, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Seville, Spain, 1984.
- [2]. L. Bernal : Orden relative de crecimiento de funciones enteras , Collect. Math., Vol. 39 (1988), pp.209-229.
- [3]. W. Bergweiler : On the Nevanlinna characteristic of a composite function, Complex Variables, Vol. 10 (1988), pp. 225-236.
- [4]. W. Bergweiler : On the growth rate of composite meromorphic functions, Complex Variables, Vol. 14 (1990), pp. 187-196.
- [5]. S. K. Datta and T. Biswas : On a Result of Bergweiler, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 51 No. 1 (2009), pp. 33-37.
- [6]. W.K. Hayman : Meromorphic Functions, The Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).
- [7]. I. Lahiri and D.K. Sharma : Growth of composite entire and meromorphic functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 26, No. 5, (1995), pp. 451-458.
- [8]. B. K. Lahiri and D. Banerjee : Relative order of entire and meromorphic functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Ser. A., Vol. 69(A), No. 3, (1999), pp.339-354.
- [9]. S. K. Singh and G. P. Barker : Slowly changing functions and their applications, Indian J. Math., Vol. 19 (1977), No. 1, pp 1-6.
- [10]. D. Somasundaram and R. Thamizharasi : A note on the entire functions of L-bounded index and L-type, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. , Vol. 19, No. 3 (March 1988), pp. 284-293.
- [11]. G. Valiron : Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1949.

DR. Chinmay Biswas. "Some Relations Connected To Order of Composite Functions and Relative Order of Entire and Meromorphic Functions." *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)*, 16(1), (2020): pp. 01-05.

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ .