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#### Abstract

: Background Hypertension is one of the most important modifiable risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD. Hypertension in early stages does not show any symptoms; hence many are unaware of its presence. The prevalence of hypertension is increasing and it correlates with the chronic kidney disease in the US. Early detection is feasible using a simple and accurate screening test and aggressive blood pressure management. Yet this has not received adequate attention or allocation of public health resources for planning effective preventive strategies. In India, as a developing country with a population estimated at 1.1 billion, the prevalence of hypertension has been estimated to be $3 \%$ to $34.5 \%$ in males and $5.8 \%$ to $33.5 \%$ of females.


## Materials and Methods:

The study was to assess the prevalence of hypertension and risk factors of hypertension in the selected rural area of Moradabad. A quantitative research approach was used to assess the prevalence of hypertension status among the adults and to find out the association with selected demographic variable. The research design for the present study was descriptive research design. The target population for the study was adults in selected rural area in Moradabad. Samples were adults of selected village, sample size was 500 and sampling technique used for this study was Non probability convenience sampling. The research instrument was developed in English after extensive review of literature and expert opinion. The structured questionnaire was prepared to assess the prevalence of hypertension and its risk factors. Data analysis was done by both descriptive and inferential statics on the basis of objectives and hypothesis of study and to compute data, master data sheet was prepared. Prevalence of hypertension was assessed according to BP measurements of the samples and association with selected demographic was determined by chi square test.

## Result

The level of hypertension among adults was $75.4 \%$ people have normal blood pressure, $22.4 \%$ have moderate hypertension and $2.2 \%$ have severe hypertension. The risk factors of hypertension identified from the study are smoking, alcoholism, increased salt intake, inadequate fruits and vegetable intake, lack of physical exercises and follow up.

## Conclusion

There was a statistical significant association between the age of the person and prevalence status of hypertension at 0.05 levels and no statistical association could be established with other remaining demographic variable. There was also a statistical association between smoking status, alcoholism and physical activities of the adults. Hence the present study suggests that primordial prevention should be used to prevent hypertension.
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## I. Introduction

Hypertension (HTN) or high blood pressure, sometimes called arterial hypertension, is a chronic medical condition in which the blood pressure in the arteries is elevated. Blood pressure is summarized by two measurements, systolic and diastolic, which depend on whether the heart muscle is contracting (systole) or relaxed between beats (diastole). This equals the maximum and minimum pressure, respectively. There are different definitions of the normal range of blood pressure. Normal blood pressure at rest is within the range of $100-140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ systolic (top reading) and $60-90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ diastolic (bottom reading). High blood pressure is said to be present if it is often at or above $140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

Hypertension is classified as either primary (essential) hypertension or secondary hypertension; about $90-95 \%$ of cases are categorized as "primary hypertension" which means high blood pressure with no obvious
underlying medical cause. The remaining $5-10 \%$ of cases (secondary hypertension) is caused by other conditions that affect the kidneys, arteries, heart or endocrine system.

## BACK GROUND OF THE STUDY

The burden of hypertension varies remarkably throughout the regions of the world and is a serious public health problem in both developed and developing countries. Both systolic and diastolic hypertensions are important predicting risk factors of cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease and stroke. World Health Organization (WHO) data indicate that by 2025 the global burden of hypertension will increase by $60 \%$ to be 1.56 billion individuals worldwide and higher in the developed nations. Lopez et al. have shown that 5.3 million deaths were attributable to cardiovascular disease in the western world as compared to 8 to 9 million in the developing world. According to a recent report, hypertension was the third major cause of disease burden, in both developed and developing regions worldwide, with 64 million disability adjusted life years (DALY).

Hypertension is one of the most important modifiable risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD. Hypertension in early stages does not show any symptoms; hence many are unaware of its presence. The prevalence of hypertension is increasing and it correlates with the chronic kidney disease in the US. Early detection is feasible using a simple and accurate screening test and aggressive blood pressure management. Yet this has not received adequate attention or allocation of public health resources for planning effective preventive strategies. In India, as a developing country with a population estimated at 1.1 billion, the prevalence of hypertension has been estimated to be $3 \%$ to $34.5 \%$ in males and $5.8 \%$ to $33.5 \%$ of females.

## NEED FOR THE STUDY

The World Health Organization (WHO) reports NCDs to be by far the leading cause of death in the world, representing over $60 \%$ of all deaths. Out of the 36 million people who died from NCDs in 2005, half were under age 70 and half were women. Of the 57 million global deaths in 2008,36 million were due to NCDs. That is approximately $63 \%$ of total deaths worldwide. Risk factors such as a person's background, lifestyle and environment are known to increase the likelihood of certain NCDs. Every year, at least 5 million people die because of tobacco use and about 2.8 million die from being overweight. High cholesterol accounts for roughly 2.6 million deaths and 7.5 million die because of high blood pressure.

## II. Material and Methods

A quantitative research approach is used to find the prevalence of hypertension, determinants of hypertension, to find the association between selected variables and hypertension status and association between severity of hypertension and its determinants.
Study Design- Descriptive research design is selected.
Study Setting -The setting for this study will be selected rural area of Moradabad.
Study Sample- Sample is subset of population selected to participate in a research study.
Sampling - Sample Population in the study consists adults of selected rural areas of Moradabad, UP.
Sample Size - Total sample of the study will consist of 500 adults.
Sampling Technique - Non probability, convenient sampling will be used for the study.

## Inclusion Criteria

1. Adults are including in the study.
2. People living in rural area.

Exclusion criteria

1. Below 18 years
2. Those who are not willing.

Procedure Methodology- Plan is to construct a tool having-demographic variables, tobacco use, alcoholic pattern, dietary pattern and questionnaire. The data will be organized, tabulated and analyzed by using descriptive and inferential statistics. The data will be planned to present in the form of tables and figures. Formal permission was taken from Pradhan. The structured questionnaire was administered to assess the prevalence of hypertension. The subject was assured for confidentiality of their responses. The non probability convenient sampling technique was applied in data collection.

Description Of The Data Collection Tool- The structured knowledge questionnaire comprised of 2 parts Part I: Demographic Performa: A demographic Performa (8 items) was developed to collect data on sample characteristics: It include mainly:

1. Gender
2. Age of respondents
3. Marital status
4. Educational status
5. Occupation
6. Monthly income
7. Religion
8. Source of information

## Part II: Structured questionnaire

A structured questionnaire was developed. All items have three options, and the scoring pattern adopted was zero, one and two. The score indicates the risk of hypertension among the adults. The structured questionnaire covers the following sections: behavioural pattern, life style of the sample and BP measurements.

## Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics: To describe demographic variable by percentage distribution.
Inferential statistics: Chi square Test to determine the association between severities of hypertension with selected demographic variables and to find association between severity of hypertension and determinants of hypertension.

## III. Result

1. Section-A Description of demographic variables of respondents.
2. Section -B Prevalence of Hypertension among Adults
3. Section-C Association between selected variables and hypertension status.
4. Section- D Association between severity of hypertension and determinants of hypertension.

## SECTION-A

Table- 1 Frequency and percentage distribution according to sex of adults. $\mathrm{N}=500$

| Demographic variables |  | Frequency (f) | Percentage (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sex | Male | 303 | $60.6 \%$ |
|  | Female | 197 | $39.4 \%$ |

Table 1 shows that $60.6 \%$ of adults were male and $39.4 \%$ were female.


Figure -1 Bar diagram showing sex of the adults
Table-2 frequency and percentage distribution of adults according to the age groups $\mathrm{N}=500$

| Demographic variables |  | Frequency (f) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $18-30$ | 152 | $30.4 \%$ |
|  | $31-40$ | 153 | $30.6 \%$ |
|  | $41-50$ | 128 | $25.6 \%$ |
|  | $51-60$ | 52 | $10.4 \%$ |
|  | $61-70+$ | 15 | $3 \%$ |

Table 2 shows that $30.4 \%$ adults were from age group of $18-30,30.6 \%$ adults were from age group of 31-40, $25.6 \%$ adults were from age group 41-50, $10.4 \%$ adults were from age group of $51-60,3 \%$ adults were from age group of 61-70+.


Figure -2 Pie diagram showing distribution of adults according to age
Table - $\mathbf{3}$ Frequency and percentage distribution of adults according to marital status $\mathrm{N}=500$

| Demographic variables |  | Frequency(f) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marital status | Single | 114 | $22.8 \%$ |
|  | Married | 347 | $69.4 \%$ |
|  | Divorced | 22 | $4.4 \%$ |
|  | Widow | 17 | $3.4 \%$ |

Table 3 shows that $22.8 \%$ adults are single, $69.4 \%$ adults are married, $4.4 \%$ adults are divorced and $3.4 \%$ adults are widow.


Figure -3 Bar diagram showing percentage distribution of sample according to marital status.
Table-4 frequency and percentage distribution of adults according to education status $\mathrm{N}=500$

| Demographic variables |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Never | Frequency $(\mathrm{f})$ | Percentage $(\%)$ |  |
| Education | Never | 90 | $18 \%$ |
|  | Primary school | 136 | $27.2 \%$ |
|  | High school | 90 | $18 \%$ |
|  | Intermediate | 88 | $17.6 \%$ |
|  | Graduate | 83 | $16.6 \%$ |
|  | PG | 13 | $2.6 \%$ |

Table-4 shows that $18 \%$ adults are illiterate, $27.2 \%$ adults having primary school education, $18 \%$ adults have high school education, and $17.6 \%$ adults have intermediate education, $16.6 \%$ adults are graduate and $2.6 \%$ adults are PG.


Figure -4 ; Bar diagram showing percentage distribution of adults according to educational status
Table-5 Frequency and percentage distribution of adults according to occupation $\mathrm{N}=500$

| Demographic variable |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation | Informal | Frequency (f) | Percentage (\%) |
|  | Formal | 82 | $16.4 \%$ |
|  | Housewife | 112 | $22.4 \%$ |
|  | Not employed | 149 | $29.8 \%$ |
|  | Students | 98 | $19.6 \%$ |
|  | Others | 49 | $9.8 \%$ |
|  |  | 10 | $2 \%$ |

Table- 5 shows that $16.4 \%$ adults are informal workers, $22.4 \%$ adults are formal workers, $29.8 \%$ adults are housewife, $19.6 \%$ adults are unemployed, $9.8 \%$ adults are students and $2 \%$ are others.


Figure -5 Bar diagram showing percentage distribution of adults according to occupation
Table-6 Frequency and percentage distribution of adults according to monthly income $\mathrm{N}=500$

| Demographic variable |  | Frequency (f) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly income | $5000-10,000$ | 310 | $62 \%$ |
|  | $10001-15,000$ | 151 | $30.2 \%$ |
|  | Above 15,000 | 39 | $7.8 \%$ |

Table-6 shows that $62 \%$ adults having monthly income 5000-10,000. $30.2 \%$ adults having 10001-15,000 monthly income and $7.8 \%$ adults having above 15,000 monthly incomes.


Figure 6; Pie diagram showing percentage distribution of adults according to monthly income.
Table-7 Frequency and percentage distribution of adults according to religion $\mathrm{N}=500$

| Demographic variables |  |  | Frequency (f) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Religion | Hindu | 341 | Percentage (\%) |
|  | Muslim | 141 | $68.2 \%$ |
|  | Christian | 12 | $28.2 \%$ |
|  | Sikh | 6 | $2.4 \%$ |

Table-7 shows that $68.2 \%$ adults are Hindu, $28.2 \%$ adults are Muslim, $2.4 \%$ adults are Christian and $1.2 \%$ adults is Sikh.


Figure -7; Bar diagram showing percentage distribution of adults according to Religion.
Table-8 Frequency and percentage distribution of adults according to source of information $\quad \mathrm{N}=500$

| Demographic variable |  |  | Frequency (F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of <br> information | Mass media | 243 | Percentage (\%) |
|  | Relatives | 148 | $48.6 \%$ |
|  | Health professional | 109 | $29.6 \%$ |

Table-8 shows that $48.6 \%$ adults got information from mass media, $29.6 \%$ adults got information from relatives and $21.8 \%$ adults got from health professional.


Figure -8 Bar diagram showing percentage distribution of adults according to source of information.

## SECTION B: Assessment of prevalence of hypertension among adults

Table-9: Frequency percentage distribution according prevalence of hypertension. N=500

| Sr. no. | Level of hypertension | Score range | Frequency (f) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Normal | $110 / 70-130 / 80 \mathrm{mmhg}$ | 377 | $75.4 \%$ |
| 2 | Moderate | $140 / 90-160 / 100 \mathrm{mmhg}$ | 112 | $22.4 \%$ |
| 3 | Severe | Above $160 / 100 \mathrm{mmhg}$ | 11 | $2.2 \%$ |

Table 9 shows that $75.4 \%$ adults have normal blood pressure, $22.4 \%$ adults have moderate hypertension and $2.2 \%$ adults have severe hypertension


Figure-9 Column diagram showing percentage distribution of prevalence of hypertension

## SECTION: C Association between prevalence with selected demographic variables.

This section represents the findings related to score range of blood pressure among adults of selected rural area.
Table-10: Association between prevalence with demographic variables. $\mathrm{N}=500$

| Demographic variables |  | Normal |  | Moderate |  | Severe |  | df | Chi square value | Level of significa nce |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | f | \% | f | \% | f | \% |  |  |  |
| Sex | Male | 220 | 44.4\% | 73 | 14.6\% | 10 | 2\% | 2 | 6.89 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{P}<0.05 \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Female | 157 | 31.4\% | 39 | 7.8\% | 1 | 0.2\% |  |  |  |
| Age | 18-30 | 121 | 24.2\% | 27 | 5.4\% | 4 | 0.8\% | 8 | 22.93 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}<0.05 \\ & \mathrm{~S}^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 31-40 | 121 | 24.2\% | 31 | 6.2\% | 1 | 0.2\% |  |  |  |
|  | 41-50 | 89 | 17.8\% | 37 | 7.4\% | 2 | 0.4\% |  |  |  |
|  | 51-60 | 40 | 8\% | 10 | 2\% | 2 | 0.4\% |  |  |  |
|  | 61-70+ | 6 | 1.2\% | 7 | 1.4\% | 2 | 0.4\% |  |  |  |
| Marital status | Single | 97 | 19.4\% | 16 | 3.2\% | 1 | 0.2\% | 6 | 8.47 | $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ |
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Key Notes: Normal $=(110 / 70-130 / 80 \mathrm{mmhg}) \quad$ Moderate $=(140 / 90-160 / 100 \mathrm{mmhg}) \quad$ Severe $=($ Above $160 / 100 \mathrm{mmhg}$ ).
Chi - square was computed to determine the significance of association between prevalence of hypertension with selected demographic variables at 0.05 level of significance.
The above table shows that the chi- square is computed between prevalence levels of hypertension with selected

| determinants |  | normal |  | moderate |  | severe |  | df | Ch square | Table value | significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | f | \% | f | \% | F | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Smoking | Current smokers | 72 | 14.4\% | 31 | 6.2\% | 3 | 0.6\% | 4 | 50.89 | 9.49 | S* |
|  | Ex smokers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Never smokers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alcohol | Current | 119 | 23.8\% | 38 | 7.6\% | 7 | 1.4\% | 4 | 15.9 | 9.49 | S* |
|  | Ex alcoholic | 22 | 4.4\% | 16 | 3.21\% | 1 | 0.2\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | nevr | 236 | 47.2\% | 58 | 11.6\% | 3 | 0.6\% |  |  |  |  |
| Physical activity | Never | 164 | 32.8\% | 66 | 13.2\% | 8 | 1.6\% | 4 | 13.73 | 9.49 | S* |
|  | Mld | 209 | 41.8\% | 43 | 8.6\% | 3 | 0.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | vigorous | 4 | 0.8\% | 3 | 0.6\% | 0 | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| salt intake | low <br> moderate high | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 61 \\ & 260 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.2 \% \\ & 52 \% \\ & 11.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 82 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \% \\ & 16.4 \% \\ & 3.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 10 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \% \\ & 2 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 6.25 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}>0.05 \\ & \text { NS } \end{aligned}$ |
| Fruit | Daily | 40 | 8\% | 13 | 2.6\% | 1 | 0.2\% | 4 | 0.77 | 9.49 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}>0.05 \\ & \mathrm{NS} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Thrice a week | 177 | 35.4\% | 52 | 10.4\% | 4 | 0.8\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Once a week | 160 | 32\% | 47 | 9.4\% | 6 | 1.2\% |  |  |  |  |
| Vegatable intake | Daily | 54 | 10.4\% | 14 | 2.8\% | 1 | 0.2\% | 4 | 4.38 | 9.49 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}>0.05 \\ & \text { NS } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Thrice a week | 162 | 32.4\% | 38 | 7.6\% | 5 | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Once a week | 161 | 32.2\% | 60 | 32.2\% | 5 | 1\% |  |  |  |  |

demographic variables. It shows that there is significant association between prevalence levels of hypertension with selected demographic variables like age of the adults and sex of the adults.
Section: D Table11. Association between severity of hypertension and determinants of hypertension.
$\mathrm{N}=500$

## IV. Discussion

Major findings of the study was made under the following section
Section A- Demographical variables of adults shows that $60.6 \%$ of adults were male and $39.4 \%$ were female. $30.4 \%$ adults were from age group of $18-30,30.6 \%$ adults were from age group of $31-40,25.6 \%$ adults were from age group $41-50,10.4 \%$ adults were from age group of $51-60,3 \%$ adults were from age group of 61$70+.22 .8 \%$ adults are single, $69.4 \%$ adults are married, $4.4 \%$ adults are divorced and $3.4 \%$ adults are widow. $18 \%$ adults are illiterate, $27.2 \%$ adults having primary school education, $18 \%$ adults have high school education, and $17.6 \%$ adults have intermediate education, $16.6 \%$ adults are graduate and $2.6 \%$ adults are PG. $16.4 \%$ adults are informal workers, $22.4 \%$ adults are formal workers, $29.8 \%$ adults are housewife, $19.6 \%$ adults are unemployed, $9.8 \%$ adults are students and $2 \%$ are others, $62 \%$ adults having monthly income $5000-10,000$. $30.2 \%$ adults having 10001-15,000 monthly income and $7.8 \%$ adults having above 15,000 monthly incomes. $68.2 \%$ adults are Hindu, $28.2 \%$ adults are Muslim, $2.4 \%$ adults are Christian and $1.2 \%$ adults is Sikh. $48.6 \%$ adults got information from mass media, $29.6 \%$ adults got information from relatives and $21.8 \%$ adults got from health professional.
Section B: shows that $75.4 \%$ adults have normal blood pressure, $22.4 \%$ adults have moderate hypertension and $2.2 \%$ adults have severe hypertension.
Section C: - Chi - square was computed to determine the significance of association between prevalence of hypertension with selected demographic variables at 0.05 level of significance.
Section: D - Chi - square was computed to determine the significance of association between severity of hypertension and determinants of hypertension at 0.05 level of significance.

## V. Conclusion

Community nurse should make awareness about healthy lifestyle among the community people should involve them in regular health education session should conduct special lectures on prevention of NCDs (non communicable diseases) in CHC, PHC and community to increase the public awareness. The main focus of nursing administration was to organize seminars, workshops and other educational programs for staff nurses as a part of in- service education program by which knowledge towards hypertension and its prevention would be enhanced.
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