Spatial distribution and Seasonal Diversity of Phytoplankton from Asolamendha Lake of Chandrapur District, Maharashtra (India).

Rajendra V. Tijare¹ and Avinash J. Shastrakar²

1. Department of Zoology, Institute of science, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India 2. Department of Zoology, Vidarbh Institute of science and Humanities, Amravati.

Abstract: Phytoplankton is an important component of aquatic flora and have key role to maintain productivity of any aquatic ecosystems. It also maintains equilibrium between biotic and abiotic component of the aquatic ecosystem. Asolamendha Lake is one of the major lake present in Chandrapur district in the eastern part of Maharashtra state of India. The present study was undertaken to study the seasonal population and diversity of phytoplankton from Asolamendha lake during June 2010 to May 2012. Total 28 species of phytoplankton belongs to Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Myxophyceae and Euglenophyceae were identified from this lentic ecosystem among which Chlorophyceae is the dominant species in all.

Keywords :- Diversity, Phytoplankton, Asolamendha, Chandrapur.

Date of Submission: 21-08-2019

Date of acceptance: 05-09-2019

I. Introduction

Phytoplankton is the base of the reservoir food webs and fish production is linked to phytoplankton production. It serves as food for the fishes directly or indirectly. Some species have been associated with noxious bloom, sometime creating of offensive taste and odour or toxic condition.

Phytoplankton is representing the microscopic algal communities of open water as a major element (at primary level) in aquatic biota consisting of four major groups Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Myxophyceae and Euglenophyceae.

Asolamendha lake (20°15'16" N and 79°49'18" E) is perennial lake and situated near Pathari village of District Chandrapur. This lake is useful for the fisheries and irrigation purpose. The present study was undertaken to investigate the phytoplankton diversity from the lake in different months and seasons during the period from June 2010 to May 2012 in order to assess the species composition and their distribution.

II. Material And Method

The phytoplnkon samples were collected once in a month from lake for period of two years during June 2010 to May 2012 in morning time. For obtaining phytoplankton 50 litre of water filtered through plankton net made by silk bloating cloth (200 meshes/cm). The filtered samples from different sites transfer and stored in glass stopper bottle. 10 ml Lugol's Iodine solution added to the each every sample. After centrifuging, samples were preserved in 4% formalin solution. Preserved samples were examined under binocular microscope and after proper identification, counted by using Sedge Wick- Rafter cell and Lacky's drop method (Adoni 1985, Philipose 1959).

III. Result and Discussion

During the study period of two years i.e. from June 2010 to May 2012, 28 species of Phytoplankton were indentified from the Asolamendha lake, among that 12 species of Chlorophyceae, 7 species of Myxophyceae, 5 species of Bacilariophyceae and 1 species of Euglenophyceae were reported.

In the present study, Chlorophyceae were dominant at all the three sites over all others groups which followed by members of Myxophyceae and Bacillariophyceae. Very least populationis of Euglenophyceae is observed during the study period. (Bhoyar V.V. and Tamloorkar H.L.2012, Chunne S.C. and Nasare P. N., 2018).

In the present investigation, Chlorophyceae acquire first position and to be dominating group in the phytoplankton population as 12 species have been reported. (Khanna and Bhutiani 2004, Bhoyar V.V. and Tamloorkar H.L., 2012)).

The Chorophyceae population was maximum in winter followed by summer and minimum in monsoon season (Shaikh and Bhosale, 2012). The most dominant species of Chorophyceae are *Spirogyra sp., Chara sp.,*

Oedogonium sp., Zygnema sp., and least count shown by *Ulothrix sp., Scenedesmus sp., Pediastrum sp., Volvox sp.* (Sumaiya K. and Singh N., 2017). *Spirogyra sp., Oedogonium sp.* and *Volvox sp.* are dominant throughout the study period in winter season (Summarwar S., 2012). Dominancy of Chorophyceae at all three stations might be due to high dissolved oxygen and fair amount of pH, alkalinity and total hardness (Bajpai and Agarkar, 1997)

The Myxophyceae occupy second position reporting 7 species and population were maximum in summer season which followed by winter season and monsoon. It probably due to bright sunlight, high temperature and high transparency as these parameters enhances productivity of phytoplankton (Sumaiya K. and Singh N., 2017). The minimum population in monsoon season might be due to high turbidity and low transparency of water due to raining (Waghmare and Mali, 2007).

Anabaena sp. and Mycrocystis sp. are dominant species among the Myxophyceae group. Higher population of Myxophyceae in summer season is due to moderate temperature, alkaline pH, low water volume and bright sunlight which created favourable condition for better propagation of this group of phytoplankton. (Sree Latha and Rajlakshmi 2006, Altaf and Parveen 2013). Abundance of blue green algae is associated with high temperature, pH and low D.O. in the present observation. (Kadam *et al.*, 2014, Dhimdhime *et al.*2012).

Bacillariophyceae occupy third position reporting 5 species and population was very high in summer followed by winter season and minimum in monsoon (Summarwar 2012). Bacillariophyceae were present in maximum number especially during summer month, resulting in bloom formation. Climatic condition as maximum light and temperature is responsible for their high growth (Dhimdhime *et. al.* 2012, Telkhade *et. al.* 2013). The presence of high density of Bacilariophyceae in summer months i.e. February to May in the lake is not only due to increased temperature and light but other factors like stagnation of water, high percentage of phosphate and nitrates are also responsible (Pailwan 2005, Pandey *et. al.* 1993). Minimum population might be due to cloudy weather, low transparency & high turbidity due to heavy flood. (Rajgopal *et al.*, 2010). Among Bacillariophyceae *Navicula sp.* and *Diatom sp.* were found dominant (Nasare P.N 2018, Gupte Archana 2017 and Altaf and Parveen 2013).

In the present study, Euglenophyceae formed the least represented group of phytoplankton as compare to the entire phytoplanktonic group, less appearance of Euglenophyceae group indicating the fair quality of water of Asolamendha Lake. Euglenophyceae population was maximum in winter and minimum in summer season, it may be due low dissolve oxygen (Kumar, 1990). Euglenophyceae are found commonly in small water bodies having rich organic matter and high water temperature, phosphate, nitrate, low dissolved oxygen and carbon dioxide as it supports the growth of euglenoid (Hedge and Sujatha 1997, Tijare 2013). Only *Euglena sp.* was reported from this group. (Bhoyar and Tamloorkar 2012, Fule *et al.*, 2012).

IV. Conclusion

Seasonal abundance and diversity of phytoplankton groups at all sites in Asolamendha lake observed in the sequence of Chlorophyceae > Myxophyceae > Bacillariophyceae > Euglenophyceae. Highest density and diversity of Chlorophyceae and low numbers of Myxophyceae and Bacilariophyceae members and very least appearance of Euglenophyceae indicates oligotrophic condition of lake and pollution free water.

Acknowledgement

Authors are very much thankful to Director, Institute of Science, Nagpur, Maharashtra (india) for availing the necessary requirements and laboratory facilities.



"Photographs of Phytoplankton"



Table 1: Seasonal diversity of Phytoplankton from Asolamendha Lake during year 2010-11.

		Site 1			Site 2			Site 3			
S.N.	Name of species	Mon	Win	Sum	Mon	Win	Sum	Mon	Win	Sum	
A)	Myxophyceae										
1	Anabaena sp.	26	19	57	35	34	65	20	18	47	
2	Chlorocouls sp.	30	28	36	35	30	46	22	23	25	
3	Microscystis sp.	23	28	46	23	29	56	19	30	42	
4	Nostoc sp.	10	4	28	18	10	28	12	13	25	
5	Oscillatoria sp.	26	19	31	24	23	33	21	16	27	
6	Scytonema sp.	16	16	30	26	25	31	17	11	29	
7	Stigonema sp.	10	14	23	16	20	28	8	12	16	
В	Bacillariophyceae										
1	Diatoma sp.	32	44	48	35	46	56	27	34	40	
2	Mastagloea sp.	17	31	36	23	35	44	11	20	32	
3	Navicula sp.	27	40	51	33	45	55	23	35	46	
4	Nitzischia sp.	11	28	23	26	36	42	13	28	29	
5	Pinnularia sp.	23	24	30	26	31	31	23	25	23	
С	Chlorophyceae	-		•		-	•		•		
1	Chara sp.	38	94	29	42	86	23	34	70	28	
2	Chlorella sp.	14	69	29	15	60	26	17	52	27	
3	Cladophora sp.	11	32	18	15	34	23	7	24	13	
4	Cosmarium sp.	12	25	17	15	31	22	9	20	18	
5	Microspora sp.	14	35	0	18	44	4	18	20	5	
6	Oedogonium sp.	36	100	16	28	84	13	22	76	15	
7	Pedistrum sp.	0	33	0	4	30	4	6	26	5	
8	Scenedesmus sp.	4	12	5	8	22	8	8	11	8	
9	Spirogyra sp.	34	128	42	43	114	49	29	111	44	
10	Ulothrix sp.	0	20	9	6	29	16	5	12	6	
11	Volvox sp.	3	30	10	12	39	17	4	21	6	
12	Zygnema sp.	41	53	31	39	58	35	31	46	29	
D	Euglenophyceae										
1	Euglena sp.	0	21	0	2	25	2	3	14	1	

		Site 1			Site 2			Site 3		
S.N.	Name of species	Mon	Win	Sum	Mon	Win	Sum	Mon	Win	Sum
A)	Myxophyceae									
1	Anabaena sp.	15	16	51	33	40	61	22	14	37
2	Chlorocouls sp.	25	22	39	32	33	44	26	33	22
3	Microscystis sp.	25	30	40	27	37	55	19	26	38
4	Nostoc sp.	9	16	23	22	17	28	17	18	23

DOI: 10.9790/3008-1404044144

Spatial distribution and Seasonal Diversity of Phytoplankton from Asolamendha Lake of ...

5	Oscillatoria sp.	18	21	23	24	23	39	22	20	28
6	Scytonema sp.	14	12	23	30	32	35	20	17	29
7	Stigonema sp.	17	21	20	20	29	37	12	17	16
В	Bacillariophyceae									
1	Diatoma sp.	29	34	37	35	45	52	19	37	39
2	Mastagloea sp.	21	35	35	27	39	47	19	26	31
3	Navicula sp.	24	44	44	39	47	59	26	37	42
4	Nitzischia sp.	9	33	19	31	40	50	15	34	31
5	Pinnularia sp.	22	20	31	36	38	34	23	26	26
С	Chlorophyceae									
1	Chara sp.	37	79	33	42	53	32	28	51	31
2	Chlorella sp.	13	58	28	19	75	36	23	54	35
3	Cladophora sp.	14	40	16	19	33	27	15	29	15
4	Cosmarium sp.	13	35	20	15	27	21	14	17	22
5	Microspora sp.	12	36	4	17	46	7	18	21	5
6	Oedogonium sp.	25	80	23	32	85	35	22	62	16
7	Pedistrum sp.	8	27	5	10	32	9	9	25	9
8	Scenedesmus sp.	8	13	3	11	17	6	6	10	6
9	Spirogyra sp.	25	135	42	40	121	57	20	82	44
10	Ulothrix sp.	7	14	8	11	20	15	5	6	5
11	Volvox sp.	4	30	10	8	31	12	4	13	6
12	Zygnema sp.	25	54	36	36	61	43	18	38	29
D	Euglenophyceae									
1	Euglena sp.	2	18	3	5	30	4	3	10	2

Mon = Monsoon , **Win** = Winter , **Sum** = Summer

References

- Adoni A.D. 1985: Work book on limnology, Indian MAB committee, Department of Environment, govt. of India, Pratibha Publisher, Sagar, PP 216.
- [2]. Altaf, H. Ganai and Saltanat Parveen. 2013: Effect of physic-chemical condition on the structure and composition of the phytoplankton community in Wular lake at Lankrishipora, Kashmir . Acad. Journals.
- [3]. Bajpai, A.K. and Agarkar M.S. 1997: Lower plant at high altitudes, I. some plankton from Auli Skiing field. Ecol. Environ. Conservation 3. 97-100.
- Bhoyar V.V. and Tamloorkar H.L. 2012: Seasonal variation in phytoplankton abundance of Ambola lake Maharashtra. International Multidisciplinary research journal 2(5), 33-35.
- [5]. Chunne S.C. and Nasare P.N. 2018: Phytoplankton diversity of Nandgaon and Arwat lakes of Chandrapur district, Maharashtra, India. IJCERS.5(1).187-191.
- [6]. Dhimdhime S.D. Waghmare N.V., Shinde V.D. and Ambhore N.E. (2012): Plankton study of Siddheshwar dam of Hingoli district, (M.S.) India. Intern. Mul. Res. Jour. 2 (5). 15-18.
- [7]. Fule U.W., Nimgare S.S., Telkhade P.M. and Zade S.B. 2012: Phytoplankton diversity in Nal-damayanti (Sinbhora) reservoir, Morshi, Dist Amravati, Maharashtra state, India, Bianano Frontier, 5.201-204.
- [8]. Gupte A. 2017: Diversification in Phytoplankton Population of Shelar lake. International Jour. of Fisheries and Aquatic studies 5, 265-267.
- [9]. Hedge , G.R., and Sujata 1997: Distribution of planktonic algae in three fresh water lentic habitat of Dharwad , Phykos.36, 49-55.
- [10]. Kadam S.U., Kadam S.S. and Md. Babar 2014: Phytoplankton diversity of reservoir in Parbhani District, Maharashtra, India. Inte Jour. Of. Curr.Micro. and Applied Sci..3, 459-466.
- [11]. Khanna , D.R. and Bhutiani, R. 2004: Water analysis at a glance. ASEA Publication House,,1-172.
- [12]. Nasare, P.N. 2018: Phytoplankton diversity of Gaurala lake in Bhadravati, Dist. Chandrapur, Maharashtra, India. Int. Jour. of Life Sci. 12, 169-172.
- [13]. Pailwan I.F. 2005: Limnology and Fisheries potential of perennial Tanks of Kolhapur Distt.Ph.D. thesis submitted to Shivaji University, Kolhapur.
- [14]. Pandey B.N., Jha A.K. and Das P.K.L. 1993: Hydrobiological study of a swamp at Purnia, Bihar in relation to its phytoplankton fauna. Palafni paramount publication.
- [15]. Philipose M.T. 1959: Freshwater plankton of Inland fisheries . Proc. Symp. Algal. ICAR New Delhi, 272-291.
- [16]. Shaikh P.R. and Bhosale A.B. 2012: Plankton biodiversity of Siddheshwar dam in Hingoli, Maharashtra, India. Jour.of Env. Res. & Development, 7, 905-916.
- [17]. Summaiya K., Singh N. 2017: Phytoplankton dynamics of fresh water lake Varhala in Thane district, Maharashtra. Global Jour. of Sci. Frontier Res Env.& earth sci.117, 12-18.
- [18]. Summarwar, S. 2012 Studies on Plankton diversity in Bilaspur reservoir . International Journal of life science Biotechnology and Pharma Research, 1. 65-72.
- [19]. Telkhade P.M., Tijare R.V. and Zade S.B. 2013: Phytoplankton seasonal diversity of Tadoba Lake (TATR) district Chandrapur, Maharashtra state, India. Int Jour. of Researches in Biosciences, Agriculture and Technology, 1. 112-116.
- [20]. Tijare R.V. 2013: ecology of phytoplankton with relation to physicochemical parameters of Bothali (Mendha) Lake, Gadchiroli M.S. Indian Streams Research Journal. 3, 1-4.
- [21]. Tonapi, G.T. 1980: Freshwater animals of India an ecological approach . Oxford and I.B.H. Publ.Co.New Delhi. 314-315.
- [22]. Waghmare V.N. and Mali R.P. 2007: The study on phytoplankton of Kalamnuri minor irrigation dam, Kalamnuri, dist. Hingoli, M.S. J. Aqua. Biol. 22, 59-62.