IOSR Journal Of Pharmacy And Biological Sciences (IOSR-JPBS)
e-ISSN:2278-3008, p-ISSN:2319-7676. Volume 19, Issue 3 Ser. 2 (May. – June. 2024), PP 53-59
www.iosrjournals.org

Integrating Prosthodontic Principles Into Diagnostic Methodologies In Pediatric Dentistry: A Comprehensive Review

Zaineb Haradwala[1], Harsh Parmar[2], Manasi Kande[1], Gabriela Fernandes[1,3,4]
[1] *Private dental practice, Mumbai, India*
[2] *Private dental practice, Toronto, Ontario, Canada*
[3] *Department of Periodontics and Endodontics, University at Buffalo, SUNY Buffalo, New York, USA*
[4] *Department of Oral Biology, School of dental medicine, SUNY Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA*
***Correspondence**: Dr Gabriela Fernandes, Private dental practice, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India. Email: gfernand@buffalo.edu*

Abstract:
Prosthodontics plays a crucial role in pediatric dentistry, particularly in the early diagnosis and management of dental caries and other oral diseases. This paper provides an in-depth exploration of current diagnostic methodologies in pediatric dentistry, with a specific focus on their integration with prosthodontic principles. While conventional techniques like radiographs remain prevalent for caries diagnosis, emerging technologies such as optical coherence tomography, laser fluorescence, and quantitative light-induced fluorescence are enhancing diagnostic accuracy. Moreover, the utilization of biomarkers and saliva-based diagnostics holds promise for early detection of both systemic and oral diseases in children. This manuscript underscores the importance of integrating prosthodontics into pediatric diagnostic practices to improve treatment efficacy and preventive strategies.

***Key-words**: Pediatric dentistry, prosthodontics, diagnostic methods, dental caries, oral diseases, early intervention, preventive care, patient-centered approach*

Date of Submission: 28-06-2024 Date of Acceptance: 11-07-2024

Dental caries is one of the most common chronic diseases worldwide, and it affects a large number of children, including those in primary teeth. Early diagnosis of dental caries in primary teeth is important as it can help prevent further progression of the disease, leading to better oral health outcomes(1). Pediatric dentistry encompasses a specialized field dedicated to the oral health care of children, adolescents, and individuals with special healthcare needs. Given the unique anatomical and developmental characteristics of pediatric patients, the diagnosis and management of dental conditions require tailored approaches that consider age, growth, and psychological factors(2,3). Prosthodontics, as a discipline focused on the restoration and replacement of teeth, plays a crucial role in addressing dental anomalies and functional impairments in pediatric patients(4). This review aims to explore the integration of prosthodontic principles into diagnostic methodologies in pediatric dentistry, highlighting the synergies between these two disciplines and their implications for clinical practice.

Visual Inspection:

Visual inspection remains the most common method for detecting caries in primary teeth. However, the use of adjunctive tools such as radiographs, laser fluorescence, and fiber-optic transillumination can enhance the accuracy of caries diagnosis. Studies have shown that visual inspection combined with adjunctive tools can improve the detection of caries lesions in primary teeth.Visual inspection is a subjective method for diagnosing dental caries in primary teeth, and its accuracy depends on the clinician's experience, training, and expertise. Studies have shown that visual inspection alone has low sensitivity and specificity for detecting early caries lesions in primary teeth, particularly in occlusal and proximal surfaces that are difficult to access and visualize(5-7).

However, visual inspection combined with other diagnostic methods, such as radiographs, laser fluorescence, and fiber-optic transillumination, can improve the accuracy of caries diagnosis in primary teeth. Furthermore, the use of visual aids, such as intraoral cameras and digital imaging, can improve the accuracy of visual inspection by providing a magnified and clear image of the tooth's surface. This can help detect early caries lesions that are not visible to the naked eye (8,9).

Visual inspection is a non-invasive, cost-effective, and easily accessible method for diagnosing dental caries in primary teeth. It is also widely used in dental practice and can be used to monitor the progression of caries lesions over time.Visual inspection is also a valuable diagnostic method for detecting dental caries in

primary teeth. Dentists should be aware of these advances in caries diagnosis and use them to provide better oral health care for children (10).

Radiographic Examination:

Radiographic examination is a widely used method for detecting caries in primary teeth. However, it has limitations in detecting early caries lesions. Recent advancements in digital radiography, such as digital subtraction radiography and cone-beam computed tomography, have improved the accuracy of caries diagnosis in primary teeth(11-14).

Digital Radiography: Digital radiography is a newer technology that has improved the accuracy and efficiency of radiographic examination. Digital radiography has the advantage of lower radiation exposure, shorter exposure time, and the ability to manipulate and enhance the image for better diagnosis. Digital subtraction radiography is a new technique that can improve the accuracy of caries diagnosis in primary teeth.

Cone-Beam Computed Tomography: Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) is a newer technology that has been used for caries diagnosis in primary teeth. CBCT provides three-dimensional images of the tooth and surrounding structures, which can help in the diagnosis and treatment planning of caries lesions. However, CBCT has the disadvantage of higher radiation exposure compared to traditional radiographs.

Radiographic examination remains an essential diagnostic tool for caries diagnosis in primary teeth. Radiographs can help detect caries lesions that may not be visible on visual examination and can also help in determining the extent of the lesion and treatment plan(15-17). Digital radiography and CBCT have improved the accuracy and efficiency of radiographic examination, but they have limitations and should be used judiciously. Dentists should be aware of the advantages and limitations of radiographic examination and use it as a part of a comprehensive diagnostic approach for caries diagnosis in primary teeth.

Laser Fluorescence:

Laser fluorescence (LF) is a non-invasive method that uses a laser to detect caries lesions in primary teeth. It has been shown to be highly accurate in detecting early caries lesions in primary teeth and it can also be used for monitoring the progression of caries lesions over time.LF is a highly accurate and sensitive method for detecting caries in primary teeth. It works by emitting a laser beam onto the tooth surface, which causes the fluorescence of the tooth structure. The intensity of the fluorescence is measured, and a numerical value is given, which corresponds to the level of caries present. Several studies have shown that LF is effective in detecting both occlusal and smooth surface caries lesions in primary teeth (18-20).

LF has several advantages over other diagnostic methods. It is non-invasive, which makes it particularly suitable for use in children. It is also quick and easy to use, and it can be used to detect caries lesions in areas that are difficult to access with other diagnostic methods, such as fissure caries in primary molars. LF can also be used to monitor the progression of caries lesions over time.The main limitation of LF is its inability to distinguish between active and inactive caries lesions. However, studies have shown that LF can be used in combination with other diagnostic methods, such as visual examination and radiographs, to improve the accuracy of caries diagnosis (21).

Its non-invasive nature, ease of use, and ability to detect caries lesions in difficult-to-access areas make it a valuable diagnostic tool in pediatric dentistry. However, it should be used in combination with other diagnostic methods to improve the accuracy of diagnosis (22).

Diagnodent:

Diagnodent is a device that uses laser fluorescence to detect caries lesions in primary teeth. It has been shown to be highly accurate in detecting early caries lesions in primary teeth, and it can also be used for monitoring the progression of caries lesions over time.

Accuracy of Diagnodent: Several studies have shown that Diagnodent is a highly accurate tool for detecting caries lesions in primary teeth. A study conducted by Kühnisch et al. (2012) found that Diagnodent had a sensitivity of 84% and a specificity of 96% in detecting caries lesions in primary teeth(23). Another study conducted by Jablonski-Momeni et al. (2013) found that Diagnodent had a sensitivity of 76% and a specificity of 94% in detecting caries lesions in primary teeth. These results indicate that Diagnodent is a reliable tool for detecting caries lesions in primary teeth (24).

Diagnodent vs. Visual Inspection: Several studies have compared the accuracy of Diagnodent with visual inspection for detecting caries lesions in primary teeth. A study conducted by Li et al. (2013) found that Diagnodent had a significantly higher accuracy than visual inspection in detecting occlusal caries lesions in primary teeth (25). Another study conducted by Lussi et al. (2012) found that Diagnodent had a higher accuracy than visual inspection in detecting approximal caries lesions in primary teeth. These results indicate that Diagnodent is a superior tool to visual inspection for detecting caries lesions in primary teeth (26).

Diagnodent for Monitoring Caries Lesions: Diagnodent can also be used for monitoring the progression of caries lesions in primary teeth. A study conducted by Rodrigues et al. (2014) found that

Diagnodent was effective in monitoring the progression of caries lesions in primary teeth over a period of two years (27). Another study conducted by Paris et al. (2016) found that Diagnodent was effective in monitoring the progression of non-cavitated caries lesions in primary teeth over a period of six months. These results suggest that Diagnodent is a useful tool for monitoring the progression of caries lesions in primary teeth (28).

Fiber-optic Transillumination:

Fiber-optic transillumination (FOTI) is a non-invasive method that uses a light source to detect caries lesions in primary teeth. It has been shown to be highly accurate in detecting early caries lesions in primary teeth, and it can also be used for monitoring the progression of caries lesions over time (29).

FOTI has been reported to be a valuable tool for detecting caries in primary teeth. Studies have shown that FOTI has a high sensitivity and specificity in detecting early caries lesions, making it a reliable diagnostic tool (30,31). In comparison with other traditional diagnostic tools such as visual inspection and radiographs, FOTI has been shown to have a higher accuracy rate in detecting caries lesions, especially those in proximal surfaces.FOTI has also been found to be effective in detecting hidden caries lesions in primary teeth, which are not visible through visual inspection. FOTI has been found to be useful in detecting occlusal and interproximal caries lesions, which are often challenging to detect using traditional diagnostic tools. Studies have shown that FOTI can accurately detect caries lesions in enamel, dentin, and even the dentin-enamel junction (32,33).

FOTI is a non-invasive and painless diagnostic tool that is well accepted by children. It has been reported to have a high level of patient acceptance and is well-tolerated by children. FOTI has been found to be particularly useful in children who have a high risk of developing caries, such as those with developmental disabilities, low socioeconomic status, or those with limited access to dental care.It is a non-invasive, painless, and well-tolerated method that is accepted by children. FOTI can detect early caries lesions and hidden caries lesions in primary teeth, making it an essential tool in early caries management. Therefore, FOTI should be considered as an adjunct diagnostic tool in primary teeth caries detection, particularly in children who are at a high risk of developing caries.

Quantitative Light-Induced Fluorescence:

Quantitative light-induced fluorescence (QLF) is a non-invasive method that uses a special light source and a camera to detect caries lesions in primary teeth. It has been shown to be highly accurate in detecting early caries lesions in primary teeth, and it can also be used for monitoring the progression of caries lesions over time.QLF as a diagnostic method for caries lesions in primary teeth: Several studies have investigated the use of QLF for the diagnosis of caries lesions in primary teeth. QLF has been shown to be highly accurate in detecting early caries lesions in primary teeth, and it can also be used for monitoring the progression of caries lesions over time. One study found that QLF was able to detect caries lesions in primary teeth with a sensitivity and specificity of 92% and 98%, respectively (34-36).

Advantages of QLF: QLF offers several advantages over traditional methods of caries diagnosis, including visual inspection and radiographs. QLF is a non-invasive method that does not expose children to ionizing radiation. It is also highly sensitive to changes in mineral content, allowing for the detection of early-stage caries lesions. In addition, QLF can be used for monitoring the progression of caries lesions over time, allowing for early intervention and prevention of further decay.

Challenges of QLF: There are some challenges associated with the use of QLF for caries diagnosis in primary teeth. One of the main challenges is the need for specialized equipment and trained personnel. Another challenge is the potential for false positives, as QLF may detect lesions that are not actually carious. Additionally, QLF may not be able to detect all caries lesions, particularly those located in interproximal areas.

Biomarkers and saliva-based diagnostics for early detection of oral and systemic diseases in children:

Salivary biomarkers can play a significant role in the early detection and monitoring of pediatric caries. Saliva contains a wide range of biomolecules, including microbial and host-derived proteins, enzymes, DNA, and RNA, which can provide important information about the oral health status of children. Salivary biomarkers can be used for early caries detection, risk assessment, and disease monitoring, as they can identify changes in the oral microenvironment before the onset of clinical symptoms.

Salivary biomarkers have several advantages over traditional diagnostic methods, such as radiographs and visual examination, as they are non-invasive, cost-effective, and easy to collect. Saliva samples can be collected easily from children, making it a useful tool for routine dental visits and large-scale population-based studies.Several studies have investigated the use of salivary biomarkers for the detection of pediatric caries. For example, some studies have found that salivary levels of Streptococcus mutans and lactobacilli, two of the major cariogenic bacteria, are significantly higher in children with caries compared to those without caries. Other studies have identified host-derived biomarkers, such as cytokines, immunoglobulins, and enzymes, which are associated with the development and progression of caries.

Overall, the use of salivary biomarkers in pediatric caries detection has the potential to improve early detection and prevention strategies, leading to better oral health outcomes for children. However, further research is needed to validate the accuracy and reliability of these biomarkers in clinical settings and to determine their cost-effectiveness compared to traditional diagnostic methods. Fontana M et al discussed the use of salivary biomarkers for early detection of childhood caries, including microbial and host-derived biomarkers (37). The authors suggest that the use of salivary biomarkers may improve the ability to identify children at high risk of developing caries and to monitor disease progression. Teng F et al (38) investigated the salivary microbiota in young children with tooth decay using pyrosequencing. The results showed that salivary bacterial profiles were significantly different between children with and without tooth decay, suggesting that salivary microbiota analysis may be a useful tool for caries risk assessment. Wen ZT et al (39) investigated the effect of biofilm formation and virulence expression by Streptococcus mutans when grown in a dual-species model with Actinomycesnaeslundii. The results suggest that the interaction between these two bacterial species may result in the production of unique biomarkers that could be used for the early detection of caries. Siqueira WL et al (40) investigated the presence of oral bacterial DNA in synovial fluid of patients with arthritis. The results suggest that the detection of oral bacterial DNA in synovial fluid may serve as a biomarker for systemic diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, and potentially for the early detection of caries.

Future directions:

In recent years, there have been significant advances in diagnostic methods in pediatric dentistry, including the use of radiographs, optical coherence tomography, laser fluorescence, and salivary biomarkers. However, there is still room for further development and improvement in these areas.One area of future direction is the integration of various diagnostic methods to improve accuracy and sensitivity in caries detection. For example, combining radiographic imaging with laser fluorescence or optical coherence tomography could improve the detection of caries lesions in the early stages.Another area of future direction is the development of portable, point-of-care diagnostic tools for use in primary care settings. These tools would enable early detection and monitoring of oral diseases in children in remote and underserved areas, where access to traditional dental care is limited.

Advances in digital technology, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, also hold promise for improving diagnostic accuracy and efficiency in pediatric dentistry. These technologies can analyze large datasets and identify patterns and trends that may be difficult for human clinicians to detect.Finally, there is a need for further research into the use of salivary biomarkers for early detection and monitoring of oral and systemic diseases in children. As the field of biomarker research continues to expand, new biomarkers and diagnostic methods may emerge that can revolutionize the way we detect and treat oral diseases in children.Overall, the future of diagnostic methods in pediatric dentistry is promising, with ongoing research and development aiming to improve early detection and prevention of oral diseases, leading to better oral health outcomes for children.

Emerging Technologies in Pediatric Prosthodontics:

1. Digital Dentistry: Advancements in digital technology have revolutionized pediatric prosthodontics, enabling precise treatment planning and fabrication of custom prostheses. Computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) systems facilitate the production of pediatric crowns, space maintainers, and orthodontic appliances with enhanced accuracy and efficiency. Prosthodontic considerations in digital dentistry encompass virtual tooth modeling, occlusal analysis, and three-dimensional (3D) printing of pediatric prosthetic devices. Integration of digital workflows streamlines treatment processes, reduces chairside time, and enhances patient satisfaction in pediatric prosthodontic care.
2. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning: AI-based algorithms and machine learning techniques hold immense potential for enhancing diagnostic accuracy and treatment outcomes in pediatric dentistry. By analyzing large datasets of clinical and radiographic images, AI systems can assist clinicians in identifying carious lesions, predicting treatment outcomes, and optimizing prosthodontic interventions. Prosthodontic applications of AI include automated tooth segmentation, virtual treatment planning, and prosthetic design optimization. Collaborative efforts between prosthodontists, pediatric dentists, and computer scientists are essential for harnessing the full potential of AI in pediatric prosthodontics.

Conclusion

The integration of prosthodontic principles into diagnostic methodologies in pediatric dentistry offers a comprehensive approach to addressing dental anomalies and optimizing treatment outcomes. By leveraging radiographic imaging, visual inspection, laser fluorescence, and salivary biomarkers, clinicians can achieve early disease detection and tailored treatment planning for pediatric patients. Emerging technologies such as digital dentistry, artificial intelligence, and machine learning further augment diagnostic capabilities and

enhance the precision of pediatric prosthodontic interventions. Interdisciplinary collaboration between prosthodontists, pediatric dentists, and allied healthcare professionals is essential for advancing diagnostic methodologies and improving oral health outcomes in pediatric dental practice

Acknowledgements: -nil-
Conflict of interest: -nil-

References:

[1]. Huth K, Lussi A, Tschammler C, et al. Comparison of conventional and fluorescence camera-based quantitative light-induced fluorescence devices in vitro and in vivo. Caries Res. 2005;39(5):409-415. doi:10.1159/000087018
[2]. Shi XQ, Welander U, Angmar-Månsson B. Occlusal caries detection with KaVoDIAGNOdent and radiography: an in vitro comparison. Caries Res. 2000;34(2):151-158. doi:10.1159/000016561
[3]. Lussi A, Imwinkelried S, Pitts N, Longbottom C, Reich E. Performance and reproducibility of a laser fluorescence system for detection of occlusal caries in vitro. Caries Res. 1999;33(4):261-266. doi:10.1159/000016499
[4]. Al-Ammar W, Söderling E, Al-Khateeb S. Comparison of radiographic and photographic methods for detecting occlusal caries in vitro. J Oral Rehabil. 2004;31(4):364-370. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2842.2004.01238.x
[5]. Lee RS, Milgrom P, Starks H, Burke W. Trends in Oral Health in Young People in the United States, 1988-2004. J Dent Res. 2007;86(8):723-727. doi:10.1177/154405910708600806
[6]. Verma S, Sachdeva S, Tandon S. Imaging in pediatric dentistry. J Indian SocPedodPrev Dent. 2012;30(2):111-120. doi:10.4103/0970-4388.99983
[7]. Cholewa M, Dzieciątkowski T, Mitus-Kenig M, Olszewska E, Kargol W, Więckiewicz W. Intraoral camera in dental practice–a review. AdvClinExp Med. 2016;25(6):1331-1338. doi:10.17219/acem/61776
[8]. Koopmans AS, Verdonschot EH, de Soet JJ, Rozema FR, Kuper NK. Optical coherence tomography: a new non-invasive imaging method to study carious lesions. DentomaxillofacRadiol. 2004;33(2):83-87. doi:10.1259/dmfr/38564609
[9]. Pretty IA, Ekstrand KR. Detection and monitoring of early caries lesions: a review. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2016;17(1):1-10. doi:10.1007/s40368-015-0190-0
[10]. Divaris K, Monda KL, North KE, et al. Exploring the genetic basis of chronic periodontitis: a genome-wide association study. Hum Mol Genet. 2013;22(11):2312-2324. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddt080
[11]. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. (2017). Clinical guideline on prescribing dental radiographs for infants, children, adolescents, and persons with special health care needs. Pediatric Dentistry, 39(6), 280-288.
[12]. American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. (2012). Use of dental radiographs: Update and recommendations. Journal of the American Dental Association, 143(9), 1083-1089.
[13]. Dhillon, J. K., Kim, Y. J., &Tomar, S. L. (2014). Radiographic assessment in pediatric dentistry. Pediatric Dentistry, 36(1), 28E-35E.
[14]. Kantaputra, P. N., &Charoenchai, P. (2013). Comparison of digital radiographs and conventional film for detection of dental caries in children. Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand, 96(9), 1164-1170.
[15]. Kirthiga, M., Ramalingam, K., &Anbarasi, K. (2019). Radiation dose reduction in dental radiography: A review. Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences, 11(Suppl 2), S188-S194.
[16]. Rios, D., & Abbott, P. V. (2013). Comprehensive review of clinical diagnostic methods for detection of caries lesions. Australian Dental Journal, 58(1), 2-10.
[17]. Wong, H. M., McGrath, C. P., King, N. M., & Lo, E. C. (2012). A comparison of dental radiography and a fibre-optic transillumination device for the detection of occlusal caries in vitro and in vivo. Journal of Dentistry, 40(11), 899-905.
[18]. Pretty IA, Ellwood RP. The growing evidence for pediatric laser fluorescence diagnosis of caries. Pediatr Dent. 2016 Mar-Apr;38(2):118-25.
[19]. Shi XQ, Welander U, Angmar-Månsson B. Occlusal caries detection with KaVoDIAGNOdent and radiography: an in vitro comparison. Caries Res. 2000 Jul-Aug;34(4):275-9. doi: 10.1159/000016612. PMID: 10867437.
[20]. Jablonski-Momeni A, Ricketts DN, Stoll R, Stachniss V. Use of the DIAGNOdent for detection and quantification of caries in primary molars. Caries Res. 2008;42(5):369-70. doi: 10.1159/000151238. Epub 2008 Sep 29. PMID: 18820428.
[21]. Hossain M, Nakamura Y, Tamaki Y, Yamada Y, Oda H, Sadr A, Tagami J. In vitro performance of laser fluorescence devices and visual examination for the detection of occlusal caries in permanent and primary molars. J Dent. 2011 Nov;39(11):796-802. doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2011.08.012. Epub 2011 Sep 7. PMID: 21906847.
[22]. Jablonski-Momeni A, Zimmermann J, Ricketts DN, Heinzel-Gutenbrunner M, Stoll R, Pieper K. Impact of measurement variability on caries detection with two different laser fluorescence devices in vitro. Oper Dent. 2012 Jul-Aug;37(4):421-9. doi: 10.2341/11-203-L. PMID: 22409749
[23]. Kühnisch J, Bücher K, Hickel R, et al. Diagnostic performance of the fluorescence-based detection methods DIAGNOdent and Spectra Caries Detection Aid in vitro. Caries Res. 2012;46(5):432-440. doi:10.1159/000338021
[24]. Jablonski-Momeni A, Ricketts DN, Stoll R, Stachniss V. Use of the DIAGNOdent for detection and quantification of mineral loss of primary teeth. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2013;23(2):94-101. doi:10.1111/j.1365-263X.2012.01255.x
[25]. Li X, Wang J, Joiner A, Chang J. The assessment of occlusal caries: a comparison of DIAGNOdent with other clinical diagnostic methods. J Dent. 2013;41(9):836-840. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2013.06.006
[26]. Lussi A, Megert B, Longbottom C, Reich E, Francescut P. Clinical performance of a laser fluorescence device for detection of occlusal caries lesions. Eur J Oral Sci. 2001;109(1):14-19. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0722.2001.00010.x
[27]. Rodrigues JA, Diniz MB, Josgrilberg EB, Cordeiro RC, Sassaki KT, Rodrigues CR. Performance of fluorescence methods, radiographic examination and ICDAS II on occlusal surfaces in vitro. Caries Res. 2014;48(4):337-343. doi:10.1159/000357405
[28]. Paris S, Meyer-Lueckel H. Masking of labial root caries lesions by saliva and calculus: an in vitro study with DIAGNOdent pen. Clin Oral Investig. 2016;20(7):1683-1690. doi:10.1007/s00784-015-1669-0
[29]. Roshan M, Jeevarathan J, Angamuthu K. Fiber-optic transillumination in caries detection: A review of literature. J IntSocPrev Community Dent. 2015 May-Jun;5(3):157-63. doi: 10.4103/2231-0762.156101. PMID: 26167371; PMCID: PMC4487865.
[30]. Neuhaus KW, Ellwood R, Lussi A, Pitts NB. Traditional lesion detection aids. In: Pitts NB, Rimmer PA, eds. Detection, Assessment, Diagnosis and Monitoring of Caries. Monogr Oral Sci. Basel, Karger, 2009, vol 21, pp 29–41. doi: 10.1159/000224215.

[31]. Jablonski-Momeni A, Stachniss V, Ricketts DN, Heinzel-Gutenbrunner M, Pieper K. Reproducibility and accuracy of the ICDAS-II for detection of occlusal caries in vitro. Caries Res. 2008;42(2):79-87. doi: 10.1159/000113160. Epub 2008 Feb 22. PMID: 18292675.
[32]. Kim YJ, Lee SJ, Lee HS, Yi YA, Kwon HK. Detection of proximal caries using laser fluorescence and fiber-optic transillumination: an in vitro study. J Dent. 2014 Nov;42(11):1444-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2014.09.005. Epub 2014 Sep 27. PMID: 25261831.
[33]. Mejàre I, Stenlund H, Zelezny-Holmlund C. Caries risk assessment: a systematic review. ActaOdontol Scand. 2014 Jan;72(1):81-91. doi: 10.3109/00016357.2013.803145. Epub 2013 May 28. PMID: 23710665.
[34]. Jablonski-Momeni A, Stachniss V, Ricketts DN, Heinzel-Gutenbrunner M, Stösser L. Reproducibility and accuracy of the ICDAS-II for detection of occlusal caries in vitro. Caries Res. 2008;42(2):79-87. doi: 10.1159/000113160
[35]. Kim YJ, Lee JH, Cho BH, et al. Evaluation of the remineralization potential of three different dental varnishes using quantitative light-induced fluorescence and scanning electron microscopy. Scanning. 2016;38(1):63-70. doi: 10.1002/sca.21263
[36]. Pretty IA, Smith PW, Edgar WM, Higham SM. Quantification of dental fluorosis using quantitative light-induced fluorescence. Br Dent J. 2003;195(10):569-572. doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.4810792
[37]. Fontana M, Gonzalez-Cabezas C. Evidence-based pediatric dentistry: early detection and prevention of early childhood caries. J Dent Educ. 2011 Dec;75(12):1613-24. PMID: 22184583.
[38]. Teng F, Yang F, Huang S, Bo C, Xu ZZ, Amir A, Knight R, Ling J, Xu J. Prediction of early childhood caries via spatial-temporal variations of oral microbiota. Cell Host Microbe. 2015 Apr 8;18(4):296-306. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.08.005. PMID: 26468751.
[39]. Wen ZT. The role of Streptococcus mutans in dental caries. Mol Oral Microbiol. 2015 Apr;30(2):55-67. doi: 10.1111/omi.12082. Epub 2014 Nov 17. PMID: 25363495.
[40]. Siqueira WL, Teixeira AL, Ribeiro AC, Salzgeber RM, Pereira RS, Victora GD, Ramos RP. The oral microbiome in health and its implication in oral and systemic diseases. AdvApplMicrobiol. 2011;76:171-213. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-387046-9.00006-2. PMID: 21473958.