

Impact of BAP and different auxins on *In vitro* shoot proliferation of *Rheum emodi* Wall.

Shugufta, Parveen¹, Azra N. Kamili² and A.M. Shah²

¹S.P College, Deptt. of Botany Srinagar.

²Plant Tissue Culture Laboratory, Centre of Research for Development, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, 190006, J&K India

Abstract: *In vitro* raised shoots of *R. emodi* were cultured on MS nutrient medium to assess the influence of different concentrations of BAP (2.5-15 μM) separately, and also its combination with different auxins i.e. NAA/2,4-D/IAA/IBA. Out of all the trials tested the best response for shoot proliferation was observed on 7.5 μM of BAP supplemented with 5 μM of IBA. Each isolated shoot was then sub cultured for rooting under the influence of different concentrations of IAA (2.5-15 μM). The best rooting response was observed at 12.5 μM of IAA. The plantlets were transferred to pots containing autoclaved potting mixture of sand: peat: soil: vermiculite in the ratio of 1:1:1:1 and were finally acclimatized and hardened to green house where about 80% of plantlets survived.

Key words: *Rheum*, *In vitro*, BAP, MS, IAA

Abbreviations: *Rheum*, *In vitro*, MS –Murashige and Skoog; BAP- 6-benzyl amino purine, IAA- Indole - 3 acetic acid, IBA- Indole 3-butyric acid

I. Introduction

India is one of the twelve mega diversity countries of the world with a rich diversity of biotic resources [1]. A total of 560 plant species of India have been included in the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) Red List of Threatened species, out of which 247 species are in the threatened category. On a global basis, the IUCN has estimated that about 12.5% of the world's vascular plants, totalling about 34,000 species are under varying degrees of threat [2]. IUCN [3] recognises the following categories: extinct, extinct in the wild, critically endangered, endangered, vulnerable, near threatened, least concern, data deficient and not evaluated. Critically endangered, endangered and vulnerable together form the threatened category.

Rheum emodi Wall. ex Meisn. (Polygonaceae) is a perennial stout herb belonging to a large genus '*Rheum*' and is distributed in the temperate and subtropical regions of Himalaya from Kashmir to Sikkim, between an elevation of 2800 and 3800 m. In Garhwal Himalaya it is generally found between 2800 and 3600 m in an alpine zone on rocky soil, between boulders and near streams. It has been pointed out that nearly 60,000 plant species may be in danger of extinction leading to gene erosion during the next 30–40 years [4], and *Rheum emodi* among the top of that list, particularly for Garhwal Himalaya. It has been categorised as vulnerable [5][6] and recently it has been categorised as critically endangered [7] and is therefore identified as a top-priority species for conservation and cultivation.

1.1 Active compounds and Ethnomedicinal importance

The most important constituents from rhubarb roots are the anthraquinone derivatives such as Chrysophanol, Aloe-emodin, Emodin, Physcion, Rhein and its glycoside, Glucorhein etc. Tannins are also present in rhubarb which includes hydrolysable tannins, containing ester or glycosidic bonds composed of gallic acid, glucose and other monosaccharides and condensed tannins, derived primarily from the flavone derivatives catechin and leucocyanidin [8] [9] [10]. The history of rhubarb dates back to ancient China and the Mediterranean region as a highly popular laxative drug and a general tonic [11]. Indian rhubarb is used as purgative and astringent tonic; its stimulating effect combined with apparent properties renders it especially useful in atonic dyspepsia. Powdered roots are sprinkled over ulcer for healing and is also used for cleaning teeth. Leaf stalks are eaten either raw or boiled, sprinkled with salt and pepper.

1.2 Need for Conservation

Due to these properties, the species has excessive demand, which leads to its illegal over-exploitation from natural habitat, resulting in habitat destruction and now this species is on the verge of becoming a rarity. The development of reliable *in vitro* protocol is of great importance for conservation of rare and threatened plant species by virtue of producing uniform planting material for offsetting the pressure on the natural populations especially for medicinal and ornamental plants. Indiscriminate over exploitation of this natural

resource to meet the ever increasing demand and insufficient attempts for replenishment and cultivation have resulted in severe depletion of wild stock resulting in critically low population levels of this species[12]. Keeping in view the importance of *Rheum emodi*, and the threat to it, this species needs to be conserved, for this reason present investigation was undertaken to conserve the wild stocks of this species.

II. Materials And Methods

Invitro grown shoot tips of *R. emodi* were used as explants which were inoculated on MS [13] medium augmented with BAP (2.5-15 μ M) either separately or in combination with different concentrations of NAA/2,4-D/IAA/IBA. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 5.5 before gelling the medium with 0.8% Difco- bactoagar. The cultures were maintained at 25 \pm 2⁰ with 55-65% relative humidity and exposed to 12 h photoperiod by cool fluorescent tubes (3000lux). The cultures were sub cultured after every 3-4 weeks of culture period.

III. Results And Discussion

Shoot tips cultured under the influence of different concentrations of BAP separately or in combination with NAA/2,4-D/IAA/IBA showed different degrees of response (Table 01). Most of the combinations tested resulted in shoot proliferation but the best response in terms of shoot multiplication and proliferation was observed when the medium was supplemented with 7.5 μ M of BAP and 5 μ M of IBA with 25.07 (1.20) as the average number of shoots (Fig 1), however the shoots of longer size were observed at lower concentration combination of both BAP and IBA i.e. 2.5 μ M. Similar results were reported by Lal and Ahuja[14] who reported the propagation of Indian rhubarb (*R.emodi*) on MS medium supplemented with BAP and IBA. Roggemans and Claes[15], Roggemans and Boxus [16] also reported the similar observations in *R.rhaponticum*; Farzami *et al.* [17] in *R.ribes*; Thomas *et al.* [18] in *R. rhubarbarum*, *R. rhaponticum*, *R. undulatum*; Malik *et al.*[19] in *R. emodi* also reported formation of multiple shoots on MS supplemented with BAP and IBA and Verma *et al.*[20] who reported formation of multiple shoots in *R. emodi* on MS with BAP and IAA. These reports does not agree with the results of Walkey[21] who reported plantlet formation of *R. rhaponticum* on LS medium.

These micro shoots were separated carefully and were cultured on different concentrations of IAA for rooting, although rooting was observed at all the concentrations of IAA 2.5-15 μ M but the best rooting response was observed at 12.5 μ M of IAA with the size of shoots varying insignificantly (Fig 2.) (Table 02). Similar results were observed by Thomas *et al.* [18] on MS +IAA in *R. rhubarbarum*, *R. rhaponticum*, *R. undulatum*. Plantlets with at least 2-4 roots were transferred to pots containing autoclaved potting mixture of sand: peat: soil: vermiculite in the ratio of 1:1:1:1 and were finally acclimatized in mist house (Fig 3). After one month the plants were repotted in pots containing mixture of soil, sand and compost in the ratio of 2:1:1 and were kept in net house for acclimatization. It was noticed that about 80% of plants showed new leaf formation, became photosynthetically active and showed normal growth patterns till the season ended. Lal and Ahuja[14][22] also reported hardening of *invitro* raised plantlets of *R. emodi* with 89%-90% survival rate.

IV. Figures And Tables

Table 01. Impact of different concentrations of BAP separately or in combination with NAA/2,4-D/IAA/IBA on shoot regeneration from shoot tips of *R. emodi*

Auxin conc. (μ M)	Cytokinin conc. (μ M)	Response	Average no. of shoots/explant (n=10) Mean(S.D.)	Average length of shoots in cm. (n=10) Mean(S.D.)
	BAP 2.5	Callus	–	–
	BAP 5.0	Direct shoots	2.99 (1.23) ^d	3.37 (0.52) ^e
	BAP 7.5	Direct shoots	3.73 (0.79) ^c	3.67 (0.48) ^c
	BAP 10	Direct shoots	18.08 (0.88) ^a	4.64 (1.23) ^a
	BAP 12.5	Direct shoots	3.90 (0.94) ^b	4.10 (0.92) ^b
	BAP 15	Direct shoots	2.55 (0.52) ^e	3.52 (0.84) ^d

BAP with NAA

NAA 2.5	BAP 2.5	No response		
	BAP 5.	Callus	–	–
	BAP 7.5	Direct shoots	2.55 (0.52) ^h	4.08 (1.03) ^e
	BAP 10	Direct shoots	2.83 (1.15) ^g	3.50 (0.97) ^g
	BAP12.5	Direct shoots	1.71 (0.88) ⁱ	2.69 (0.63) ^j
	BAP 15	Callus	–	–
NAA 5.0	BAP 2.5	Callus	–	–
	BAP 5.	Callus	–	–
	BAP 7.5	Direct shoots	4.13 (0.79) ^d	3.32 (0.84) ^h
	BAP 10	Direct shoots	10.08 (0.74) ^a	5.79 (0.42) ^a
	BAP12.5	Direct shoots	4.83 (0.88) ^c	5.48 (0.53) ^b
	BAP 15	Direct shoots	1.64 (0.79) ^j	4.28 (0.48) ^d
NAA 7.5	BAP 2.5	Callus	–	–
	BAP 5	Callus	–	–
	BAP 7.5	Indirect shoots	3.17 (1.26) ^f	4.57 (0.52) ^c
	BAP 10	Indirect shoots	5.40 (1.08) ^b	3.81 (0.88) ^f
	BAP12.5	Indirect shoots	3.37 (0.52) ^e	3.46 (0.53) ^g
	BAP 15	Indirect shoots	1.32 (0.52) ^k	3.09 (0.32) ⁱ

BAP With 2,4-D

2,4-D (2.5)	BAP 2.5	Callus	–	–
	BAP 5	Indirect shoots	1.32 (0.52) ^e	3.18 (0.42) ^f
	BAP 7.5	Indirect shoots	1.47 (0.70) ^e	3.46 (0.53) ^d
	BAP 10	Indirect shoots	2.96 (0.99) ^a	4.16 (0.63) ^a
	BAP12.5	Indirect shoots	1.52 (0.52) ^c	3.27 (0.48) ^e
	BAP 15	No response	–	–
2,4-D (5)	BAP 2.5	Callus	–	–
	BAP 5	Callus	–	–
	BAP 7.5	Indirect shoots	1.37 (0.71) ^d	4.08 (1.03) ^b

Impact of BAP and different auxins on Invitro shoot proliferation of Rheum emodi Wall

	BAP 10	Indirect shoots	2.70 (0.79) ^b	3.57 (0.52) ^c
	BAP12.5	Indirect shoots	1.00 (0.00) ^f	3.18 (0.42) ^f
	BAP 15	No response	–	–

BAP with IAA

IAA 2.5	BAP 2.5	No response	–	–
	BAP 5	No response	–	–
	BAP 7.5	Indirect shoots	1.32 (0.52) ^b	2.35 (0.52) ^d
	BAP 10	Indirect shoots	2.70 (0.79) ^a	2.66 (0.48) ^b
	BAP12.5	Indirect shoots	2.66 (0.48) ^a	2.17 (0.42) ^e
	BAP 15	No response	–	–
IAA 5.0	BAP 2.5	No response	–	–
	BAP 5.0.0	No response	–	–
	BAP 7.5	Indirect shoots	1.00 (0.00) ^c	2.45 (0.53) ^c
	BAP 10	Indirect shoots	2.66 (0.48) ^a	2.77 (0.42) ^a
	BAP12.5	Indirect shoots	1.32 (0.52) ^b	2.17 (0.42) ^e
	BAP 15	No response	–	–

BAP with IBA

IBA 2.5	BAP 2.5	Direct shoots	2.26 (1.08) ^k	5.79 (0.42) ^a
	BAP 5.0	Direct shoots	2.76 (0.99) ⁱ	5.48 (0.53) ^b
	BAP 7.5	Direct shoots	8.56 (0.97) ^e	4.47 (0.53) ^c
	BAP 10	Indirect shoots	4.72 (0.92) ^g	4.28 (0.48) ^d
	BAP 12.5	Indirect shoots	2.55 (0.52) ^j	4.09 (0.32) ^e
	BAP 15	Indirect shoots	1.00 (0.00) ^o	3.73 (0.79) ^g
IBA 5.0	BAP 2.5	Direct shoots	1.64 0.79 (^m)	3.89 (0.32) ^f
	BAP 5.0	Direct shoots	10.07 (0.88) ^d	3.46 (0.53) ⁱ
	BAP7.5	Direct shoots	25.07 (1.20) ^a	3.25 (0.67) ^k
	BAP 10	Indirect shoots	13.77 (1.03) ^b	3.25 (0.67) ^k
	BAP 12.5	Indirect shoots	11.43 (1.35) ^c	3.46 (0.53) ⁱ
	BAP 15	Indirect shoots	1.76 (1.05) ^l	3.18 (0.42) ^l
IBA 7.5	BAP 2.5	Indirect shoots	5.03 (0.88) ^f	3.68 (1.03) ^h

	BAP 5.0	Indirect shoots	3.57 (0.52) ^h	3.25 (0.67) ^k
	BAP 7.5	Indirect shoots	2.55 (0.52) ^j	3.37 (0.52) ^j
	BAP 10	Indirect shoots	1.32 (0.52) ⁿ	3.09 (0.32) ^m
	BAP 12.5	Indirect shoots	1.00 (0.00) ^o	2.23 (0.67) ⁿ
	BAP 15	No response	–	–

Values given are means (standard deviation). Fisher’s LSD was applied when value of analysis of variance (ANOVA) was significant ($P < 0.05$), and values within a column followed by same alphabet in superscript don’t differ significantly. Data scored after 12 weeks of culture period; Ten replicates taken in each treatment

Table O2. Response of *invitroraised* microshoots of *R. emodi* for rooting on different concentrations of IAA

Medium	Auxin conc. μ M	Response	Average no. of roots/shoot (n=10) Mean(S.D.)	Average length of roots /shoot in cm.(n=10) Mean(S.D.)
MS	IAA 2.5	Long ,Thin adventitious roots	3.00(0.940) ^f	7.20(1.22) ^{ns}
	IAA 5	Long ,thin adventitious roots	11.20(0.919) ^e	7.50(1.269) ^{ns}
	IAA7. 5	Long ,thin adventitious roots	16.80(1.229) ^d	7.80(1.229) ^{ns}
	IAA 10	Long ,thin adventitious roots	21.00(0.943) ^c	8.40(1.075) ^{ns}
	IAA 12.5	Long ,thin adventitious roots	29.60(1.174) ^a	7.10(1.37) ^{ns}
	IAA 15	Long ,thin adventitious roots	23.00(0.943) ^b	6.60(1.776) ^{ns}

Values given are means (standard deviation). Fisher’s LSD was applied when value of analysis of variance (ANOVA) was significant ($P < 0.05$), and values within a column followed by same alphabet in superscript don’t differ significantly. Data scored after 8 weeks of culture period; Ten replicates taken in each treatment



Fig.1.Direct multiple shoot formation of shoot tips on MS +BAP 7.5 μ M +IBA 5 μ M



Fig.2.Roots formed on MS+IAA (12.5 μ M) after 8 weeks of culture period



Fig3.Invitroraised Plantlets for hardening

V. Conclusion

The concentration and ratio of hormones varies from plant to plant and needs to be standardized for a particular plant tissue. In the present study a number of concentrations and combinations were tried for exploiting maximum potential for organogenesis /regeneration of this species. The present findings summarises the protocol for invitro propagation and conservation of this important threatened plant species and the feasibility of its large-scale propagation.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Director CORD,University of Kashmir ,Sgr J&K for providing the lab facilities for carrying these investigations.

References

- [1] Bapat, V.A., Yadav, S.R., Dixit, G.B., 2008. Rescue of endangered plants through biotechnological applications. *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett.* 31: 201-210.
- [2] Phartyal, S.S., Thapliyal, R.C., Koedam, N., Godefroid, S. 2002. *Ex situ* conservation of rare and valuable forest tree species through seed gene bank. *Curr. Sci.* 83: 1351-1357
- [3] IUCN, WHO and WWF, 1993. Guidelines on the conservation of medicinal plants. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, ISBN: 2-8317-0136-8.
- [4] Sahu, P. and Sahu 2001, T. R., *J. Med. Aromat. Plant Sci*; **22**, 690–692.
- [5] Kaul, M.K. 1997. *Medicinal plants of Kashmir and Ladakh, Temperate and Cold Arid Himalaya*. Indus Publishing Co., New Delhi 173 pp.
- [6] IUCN, 1980. *World conservation strategy*. Living Resource conservation for sustainable development.
- [7] Malik, A.R., Siddique, M.A.A., Sofi, P.A. and Butola, J.S. 2011. Ethnomedicinal practices and conservation status of medicinal plants of North Kashmir Himalayas. *Research Journal of Medicinal Plant*, 5:515 – 530.
- [8] Roux, D. G. and Ferreire, D. 1982. The direct biometric synthesis, structure and absolute configuration of angular and linear condensed tannins, 47-76: In *Progress in Chem of Organic Nat Prod.* V.41, Herz W., Grisebach H. and Kirby G.W. (eds), Spring Berlin Heidelberg, New York.
- [9] Haslam, E. 1982. The metabolism of gallic acid and hexahydro-xydiphenic acid in higher plants, 1-16: In *Progress in Chem of Organic Nat Prod.* V.41, Herz W., Grisebach H. and Kirby G.W. (eds), Spring Berlin Heidelberg, New York.
- [10] Shah, C. S. and Qadry J S, 1991. *A Test Book of Pharmacognosy*, Baba Lal & Somchand Shah, Ahmedabad, 88-89.
- [11] Foust, C. M. and Marshall, D. E., 1991. *Hort-Science*, **26**, 1360–1363.
- [12] Shah, N.C. 1983. In: Jain, S.K. and Rao, R.R. (ed.) *An Assessment of threatened plants of India*. BSI, Howrah: 40-49
- [13] Murashige, T. and Skoog, F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol. Plant* **15**:473-497.
- [14] Lal, N. and Ahuja, P.S. 1989. Propagation of Indian Rhubarb (*Rheum emodi* Wall) using shoot tip and leaf explants culture. *Plant Cell Reports* **8**:493-496.
- [15] Roggemans, J. and Claes, M.C. 1979. Rapid clonal propagation of rhubarb by *invitro* culture of shoot tips. *Sci Hortic* **11**:241-246.
- [16] Roggemans, J. and Boxus, P. 1988. Rhubarb (*Rheum rhaponticum* L.). *Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry Vol. 6. Crops II* (ed. By Y.P.S Bajaj) 339-350.
- [17] Farzami, S. M. and Ghorbanli, M. 2005. Formation of catechin in callus cultures and micro propagation of *Rheum ribes* L. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* **8**(10):1346-1350.
- [18] Thomas, J. and Sharon Hamill, 2005. *Investigation of Rhubarb Virus diseases – A scoping study*. A Report of a project commissioned and funded by Grow com.
- [19] Malik, S., Sharma, N., Sharma, U.K., Singh, N.P., Bhushan, S., Sharma, M., Sinha, A.K. and Ahuja, P.S. 2010. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of anthraquinone derivatives in rhizomes of tissue culture raised *R. emodi* Wall plants. *J. Plant Physiology* **167**(9):749-56.
- [20] Verma, P., Mathur, A.K., Jain, S.P. and Mathur, A. 2012. *Invitro* Conservation of twenty three overexploited Medicinal Plants belonging to the Indian sub continent. *Scientific world journal*. Published online.
- [21] Walkey, D.G. 1968. The production of virus free rhubarb by apical culture. *J. Hort Sci* **43**:281-287.
- [22] Lal, N. and Ahuja, P.S. 1993. Assessment of liquid culture procedures for *invitro* propagation of *Rheum emodi*. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* **34**:223-226.