# Mining quantitative association in peptide Sequences of Flavivirus Subfamilies

# Priyanka Rajput and Dr. Usha Chouhan Department of Bioinformatics.Manit,Bhopal,India

**Abstract:** Flavivirus, one of the most important viral pathogen which is also called from the yellow fever virus(flaivirus :yellow in latin), belongs to the family Flaviviridae. The study of relationships among amino acid and other parameters in molecular sequences of these virus are crucial for understanding mechanism of pathogenicity, infection, regulation and control of the disease. In view of above an attempt has been made to develop a model for mining quantitative association patterns in the amino acid sequence of flavivirus subfamily family. The sequences have been taken form online database "NCBI" .A model is propesed to generate the quantitative association relationships for 12sub-families flavivirus. The results generated have been analyzed for similarities and differences in associations among amino-acid of this family. The association rules have been generated for redundant and non-redundant protein sequences using frequent and in-frequent patterns. Key words:-dataset, item set, Threshold, Support, Confidence, Pattern, quantitative association mining.

### I. Introduction

The flavivirus family contains many viral agents which produces encephalitis. Flavivirus encephalitis are either mosquito- borne, tick-borne, or have an unknown vector[4]. The viruses of the family Flaviviridae are important arthropod-borne viruses in both human and veterinary medicine. They are transmitted by mosquito and ticks and usually are maintained in a transmission cycle in nature. They are widely distributed throughout the world with the exception of the polar region, although a specific flavivirus may be geographically restricted to a continent or a particular part. They produce a broad spectrum of clinical responses in humans ranging from asymptomatic infection to fulminant encephalitis or hemorrhagic fever. Nearly 60 flaviviruses are known to exist but many are yet to be shown to cause disease in humans[5].Major symptoms include mild acute febrile syndromes, severe neurological, hepatic and/or hemorrhagic disease. The geographical diversity of flavivirus has shown the occurrence of JEV in Asia, causing menigo encephalitis in children. [6], and WNV in west Africa, middle east, and from 1999 in North America[7] .DENV shows worldwide existence ,affecting 2.5 billion[8]. YFV causes serious infections manifested by fulminant hepatitis and severe hemorrhagic disease. YFV still kills a considerable number of people annually, despite the availability of an effective vaccine [9].

In 1993, Agrawal proposed an algorithm for extracting association rules from large databases [10]. Since then, association rule mining has become one of the main techniques for Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD). A good number of algorithms are reported in the literature [11-17] for association rule mining

Association analysis has proved to be a powerful approach for analyzing traditional market basket data, and has even been found useful for some problems in bioinformatics in a few instances. However, there are a number of other important problems in bioinformatics, such as finding biomarkers using dense data like SNP data and real-valued data like gene-expression data, where such techniques could prove to be very useful, but cannot currently be easily and effectively applied[18].

The problem of mining association rules in large relational table are introduced by Srikant R and agrawal [19]. This technique can generate too many similar rules. They gave an algorithm for mining quantitative association rules. Attempts are also reported in the literature [21-24] for mining associations in molecular sequences.

The quantitative association rules approach read the nature of different amino acid that are present in the protein. This very basic analysis provides understandings into the Co-occurrence of certain amino acids in a protein. Such association rules are desirable for enhancing our understanding of protein composition.[20]

The peptide sequence contains lot of information about the various features and characteristics of the organism which needs to be explored by various techniques for better understanding of function and processes of the organism .In view of above a model for mining association relations in 12 sub families of flavivirus is developed . The similarity and differences in association in amino-acid of these families have been analyzed and the association rules have been generated for redundant and non-redundant protein sequences using frequent and in-frequent patterns.

#### **Materials And Methods** II.

To perform the analysis of Mosquito borne the first step is to collect data of molecular sequences of sub family like :- Mosquito borne ,Tick borne and known vector from NCBI database.

For studying the frequent patterns in redundant and non-redundant sequences frequency can be calculated as

 $\mu_{i}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(A)$ ---(1)

where  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(A)$  is the sum of frequency of amino

acid and  $\mu_i(A)$  is the frequency of amino acid.

A is the  $i^{th}$  sequence.

In this paper we assume that threshold can be defined by user

The threshold is given by

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(ai) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(ai)}{2} ---- (2)$$

Data set (Proteins of Mosquito borne, Tick borne and known vector subfamily like: St Louis encephalitis, Japanese encephalitis, Murray



Where a is amino acid and i is varies from 1 to 20 Flow chart[2]: Showing the Methodology n is no. of sequence.

The apriori algorithm is employed to find frequent patterns in all the sequences. These patterns are used to generate ordinary association rule.

The frequency Support for n amino acid can be calculated as:  $\sum \mu_{i}(A1 \cap A2 \cap A3 \cap \dots A_{n-1} \cap A_{n})$ 

---- (3)

---- (4)

```
Confidence for n amino acids can be calculated by;

\frac{\sum_{i}^{L} \mu i (A1 \cap A2 \cap A3 \cap ....An - 1 \cap An)}{\sum_{i}^{L} \mu i (A1 \cap A2 \cap A3 \cap ....An - 1)}
```

#### III. Results And Discussion

After applying the apriori algorithms on flavivirus subfamilies datasets, it has been found that there are variations in frequent amino acid patterns for redundant and non-redundant data set. In mosquito borne subfamilies for redundant dataset, for Japanese encephalitis **G** has high frequency(118562.0) whereas **C** has low frequency.(26913.0), for Marry valley encephalitis **L** has high frequency (4083.0) along with **C** has low frequency(841.0), for St. Louis encephalitis **G** has high frequency (19961.0) along with **H** has low frequency(4264)), for illhues **W** has high frequency (3620.0) along with **C** has low frequency(524.0), for West Nile **L** has high frequency(297889.0) along with **C** has low frequency(63989.0) and for non-redundant dataset, for Japanese encephalitis **G** has high frequency.(82991.0)) along with **C** has low frequency(602), for St. Louis encephalitis **G** has high frequency (2905.0) along with **C** has low frequency(602), for St. Louis encephalitis **G** has high frequency (367.0), for West Nile **L** has high frequency (15593.0) along with **H** has low frequency.(347.0), for illhues **W** has high frequency (267.0), for St. Louis encephalitis **G** has low frequency.(367.0), for West Nile **L** has high frequency (15593.0) along with **H** has low frequency.(367.0), for West Nile **L** has high frequency.(2043.0) along with **C** has low frequency.(367.0), for West Nile **L** has high frequency.(2043.0) along with **C** has low frequency.(367.0), for West Nile **L** has high frequency.(2043.0) along with **C** has low frequency.(367.0), for West Nile **L** has high frequency.(2043.0) along with **C** has low frequency.(367.0), for West Nile **L** has high frequency.(2043.0) along with **C** has low frequency.(367.0), for West Nile **L** has high frequency.(40681.0)).

In tick borne subfamilies for redundant and non-redundant dataset, for Central European L has high frequency( 181311.0) along with W has low frequency ( 27134.0), for Louping ill G has high frequency( 2555.0)) along with C has low frequency ( 533.0), for Powassan G has high frequency ( 7969.0) along with C has low frequency ( 1780.0), for Russion spring-rodent V has high frequency ( 5529.0) along with Mhas low frequency(508.0), for Summar encephalitis G has high frequency( 89.0) along with C,Y are low frequency(L.F.( 19.0)).

In Known vectors for redundant dataset, for Ricio L has high frequency(13898.0)along with W has low frequency (2254.0), for Rio bravo L has high frequency.(2202.0)) along with C has low frequency (373.0)) and for non-redundant dataset, for Ricio L has high frequency(12700.0)) along with W has low frequency(2072.0), for Rio bravo L has high frequency(1482.0) along with C has low frequency (278.0)) mosquito borne subfamilies, G(glycine) and L(luecine) are most frequency amino acid and histidine(H) and cysteine(C) are least frequent amino for redundant and non- redundant dataset.

Frequency of amino acid depends on the total number of sequences for example west Nile virus and central european have lager number of sequences than other viruses so amino acid frequency of west Nile virus and centeral european are higher than other subfamilies.

The figures 1,2 and 3 show the frequency of amino acids for various subfamilies of flavi virus.



Figure1: Variation in Frequency of Redundant and Non-redundant amino acid Mosquito Subfamily



Figure2: Variation in Frequency of Redundant and Non-redundant amino acid of Tick borne subfamily



Figure3: Variation in Frequency of Redundant and Non-redundant amino acid Known Vector Subfamily

Japanese		Marry vally		St. Louis encephalitis		Ilheus		West Nile	
encephalit	is	encephali	tis						
Redund	Non-	Redund	Non-	Redundan	Non-	Redundant	Non-	Redunda	Non-
ant	redundan	ant	redundant	t	redundant		redundan	nt	redundant
	t						t		
SUPPO	SUPPOR	SUPPO	SUPPOR	SUPPOR	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	SUPPOR	SUPPOR	SUPPOR
RT OF	T OF	RT OF	T OF	T OF	OF FIVE	OF	T OF	T OF	T OF
SIX	SIX	EIGHT	SEVEN	FIVE	FREQUE	THREE	THREE	SIX	SEVEN
FREQU	FREQU	FREQ	FREQUE	FREQUE	NT	FREQUEN	FREQU	FREQU	FREQUE
ENT	ENT	UENT	NT	NT	PATTERN	T	ENT	ENT	NT
PATTE	PATTER	PATTE	PATTER	PATTER	[G, A, L,	PATTERN	PATTER	PATTER	PATTER
RN	N	RN	N[E, G,	N	T, V]	[G, A, L, I,	N	N[E, G,	N
[G, A,	[E, G, A,	[E, G,	A, L, K,	[G, A, L,		T, SJ	[G, A, L,	A, L, T,	[E, G, A,
L, T, V,	L, T, V,	A, L, K, T	1, v, s,	T, V]			I, T, V,	V, S, RJ	L, T, V,
SJ	S]	K, I,	RJ				S]		S, R]
		v, 5]							
GALT	EGALT	EGAL	EGALTV	GALTV	GALTV	GAL	GAL	EGALT	EGALTV
VS	V	KTVS	S	SUPPOR	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	SUPPOR	V	R
79153.0	50686.0	SUPPO	SUPPOR	T =	= 12020.0	= 3084.0	T =	SUPPOR	SUPPOR
		RT =	T =	15208.0			1737.0	T =	T =
		2490.0	1812.0					185116.0	123574.0
TOTAL	GALTV	TOTA	GALKTV	TOTAL	TOTAL	GLS	GLV	GALTV	TOTAL
NO OF	S	L NO	S	NO OF	NO OF	SUPPORT	SUPPOR	S	NO OF
SIX	55074.0	OF	SUPPOR	FIVE	FIVE	= 2999.0	T =	SUPPOR	SEVEN
FREQU		EIGHT	T =	FREQUE	FREQUE		1582.0	T =	FREQUE
ENT		FREQ	1765.0	NT	NT			195490.0	NT
PATTE		UENT		PATTER	PATTERN				PATTER
RN: 1		PATTE		N: 1	:1				N: 1
		RN: 1							
	TOTAL		TOTAL	SUPPOR		ALT	GLS	GALTV	
	NO OF		NO OF	T OF		SUPPORT	SUPPOR	R	
	SIX		SEVEN	FIVE		= 2955.0	T =	SUPPOR	
	FREQU		FREQUE	FREQUE			1595.0	T =	

ENT PATTER N: 2	NT PATTER N: 2	NT PATTER N [G, A, L, T, V]			184127.0	
		GALTV SUPPOR T = 15208.0	LIS SUPPORT = 3061.0	ALT SUPPOR T = 1586.0	TOTAL NO OF SIX FREQU ENT PATTER N: 3	
			TOTAL NO OF THREE FREQUEN T PATTERN : 4	TOTAL NO OF THREE FREQU ENT PATTER N: 4		

Table-2 Maximum support	in case of Tick Borne
-------------------------	-----------------------

Central Eu	iropean	Louping i	11	Powassan		Russion sprin	ng-rodent	Summar en	cephalitis
Redunda	Non-	Redund	Non-	Redundant	Non-	Redundant	Non-	Redunda	Non-
nt	redundant	ant	redundant		redundant		redundant	nt	redundant
SUPPO	SUPPOR	SUPPO	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	SUPPOR	SUPPOR	SUPPORT
RTOF	TOF	RTOF	OF FIVE	OFSIX	OF SIX	OFTEN	TOF	TOF	OF FOUR
FOUR	FOUR	FIVE	FREQUE	FREQUE	FREQUEN	FREQUEN	TEN	FOUR	FREQUE
FREQU	FREQUE	FREQU	N I DATTED	N I DATTED	I	I	FREQUE	FREQUE	N I DATTED
DATTE	DATTED	EN I DATTE	N	N	FALLERN	FALLERN	DATTED	DATTED	N
RN	N	RN	IG A I	IF G A	T V S R1	[L, 0, A, L, ]	N	N	IGAI
IG. A.	IE. G. A.	IG. A.	T. V1	L, T, V, S.	1, 1, 5, 10	P. S1	IE. G. A.	[G. A. L.	T. VI
L. T. V.	L. T. V.	L. T. VI	-, .,	R1		-,~,	L. I. K. T.	T. V1	-, .]
S]	SI	, , ,					V, P, S]	, ,	
-	-								
GALV	GALV	GALTV	GALTV	EGALTV	EGALTV	EGALIKT	EGALIK	GALV	GALV
SUPPO	SUPPOR	SUPPO	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	VPS	TVPS	SUPPOR	SUPPORT
RT =	T =	RT =	= 1092.0	=4971.0	=4118.0	SUPPORT	SUPPOR	T = 61.0	= 61.0
106432.	//644.0	1/83.0				= 3593.0	T =		
GALS	GALS	TOTAL	TOTAL	EGALVS	EGALVS	ΤΟΤΑΙ	3391.0 TOTAI	GUTV	GLTV
SUPPO	SUPPOR	NO OF	NO OF	SUPPORT	SUPPORT	NO OF	NO OF	SUPPOR	SUPPORT
RT =	T =	FIVE	FIVE	=4928.0	=4097.0	TEN	TEN	T = 59.0	= 59.0
105082.	76939.0	FREQU	FREQUE	.,2010	102710	FREQUEN	FREQUE	1 0710	2710
0		ENT	NT			Т	NT		
		PATTE	PATTER			PATTERN:	PATTER		
		RN: 1	N: 1			1	N: 1		
TOTAL	GLVS			EGALVR	EGALVR			TOTAL	TOTAL
NO OF	SUPPOR			SUPPORT	SUPPORT			NO OF	NO OF
FOUR	T =			= 4989.0	= 4280.0			FOUR	FOUR
FREQU	/5114.0							FREQUE	FREQUE
DATTE								DATTED	DATTED
RN: 2								N: 2	N: 2
	ALVS	1		EGATVS	GALTVS				
	SUPPOR			SUPPORT	SUPPORT				
	T =			= 4876.0	= 4040.0				
	76605.0			~					
	TOTAL			GALTVS	TOTAL				
	NO OF			SUPPORT	NUOF				
	FUUK			= 4886.0	SIX				
	NT				T				
	PATTER				PATTERN				
	N: 4				: 4				
				TOTAL					
				NO OF					
				SIX					

		FREQUE			
		NT			
		PATTER			
		N: 5			

Rocio		Rio bravo				
Redundant	Non-redundant	Redundant	Non-redundant			
SUPPORT OF THREE       SUPPORT OF THREE         FREQUENT PATTERN       FREQUENT PATTERN         [G, A, L, T, V, P, S]       [E, G, A, L, T, V, P, S]         CLT       SUPPORT = \$132.0       [CLT       SUPPORT = 7407.0		SUPPORT OF THREE FREQUENT PATTERN [G, A, L, V, S]	SUPPORT OF THREE FREQUENT PATTERN [G, L, T, V, S]			
GLT SUPPORT = 8132.0	GLT SUPPORT = 7407.0	GLV SUPPORT = 1344.0	GLV SUPPORT = 919.0			
GLV SUPPORT = 8237.0	GLV SUPPORT = 7576.0	GLS SUPPORT = 1288.0	GLS SUPPORT = 885.0			
GLP SUPPORT = 8190.0	GLP SUPPORT = 7511.0	TOTAL NO OF THREE FREQUENT PATTERN: 2	TOTAL NO OF THREE FREQUENT PATTERN: 2			
GLS SUPPORT = 8440.0	GLS SUPPORT = 7699.0					
TOTAL NO OF THREE FREQUENT PATTERN: 4	TOTAL NO OF THREE FREQUENT PATTERN: 4					

Table-3 Maximum support in case of Known Vector

The Tables 1,2 and 3 show maximum support for k-frequent amino acid in case of Mosquito borne, Tick borne and known vector sub families respectively. Based on the maximum support for frequent amino acid among the subfamilies of mosquito borne, tick borne and known vector family of Flavivirus it is found that A,G,L,T, and V (Alanine, Glycine, Leucine, Threonine & Valine) are frequent in all subfamilies except of Rio bravo(known vector subfamilies). S(Serine) is also frequent in all subfamilies except St. Louis encephalitis's, Louis encephalitis .E(Glutamate) is frequent in Japanese encephalitis(redundant dataset), st.Louis encephalitis(redundant dataset), st.Louis encephalitis(redundant and non-redundant dataset) and ilhues, west nile, central European(non-redundant), powassan, russion spring rodent and Rocio(non-redundant).R(Arginine) is frequent for marry vally (non-redundant dataset), west nile and powassan.K(Lysine) is frequent for Marry vally, Russion spring rodent , Russion spring-rodent. P(Proline) is frequent for Russion spring rodent , Rocio subfamilies of Flavi virus.

Table 4 – Probable Structures and physicochemical Prosperities of Protein Sequences of Sub families

Subfamily	Redundant			Non-redundant		
	Frequent	Probable	Physicochemical Properties	Frequent	Probabl	Physicochemical Properties
	Amino Acid	Structur		Amino Acid	e	
		e			Structu	
					re	
Mosquito Bor	ne Subfamilies					
Japanese encephalitis	G, A, L, T, V, S	Helix, Sheet and Coil	Polar aliphatic (G), polar uncharged(S, T),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)	E, G, A, L, T, V, S	Helix	Acidic Negative charged protein stable (E), Polar aliphatic (G),polar uncharged(S,T),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V) and hydrophobic(G,L,V),
Marry vally encephalitis	E, G, A, L, K, T, V, S	Helix	Acidic Negative charged protein stable(E), Polar aliphatic (G), non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V), and polar uncharged(T,S),basic charged(K) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)	E, G, A, L, K, T, V, S, R	Helix	CBetaBranched(T,V) Acidic Negative charged protein stable (E), Polar aliphatic hydrophobic (G), non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V), polar uncharged(T,S),basic charged(K,R) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)
St. Louis encephalitis	G, A, L, T, V	Helix & Sheet	Polar aliphatic (G),polar uncharged(T),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V) and hydrophobic(G,L,V),	G, A, L, T, V	Helix	Polar aliphatic (G),polar uncharged(T),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V) and hydrophobic(G,L,V),

	Mining	quantitative	association	in	peptide	Sequences	of	Flavi	virus	Subfami	lies
--	--------	--------------	-------------	----	---------	-----------	----	-------	-------	---------	------

			CBetaBranched(T,V)			CBetaBranched(T,V)		
Ilheus	G, A, L, I, T, S	Sheet	Polar aliphatic (G),polar uncharged(S, T),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,I) and hydrophobic(G,L) CBetaBranched(T)	G, A, L, I, T, V, S	Sheet	Polar aliphatic (G),polar uncharged(S, T),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,I,V) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)		
Tick Borne su	bfamilies		•			•		
Central European	G, A, L, V, S	Helix And coil	Polar aliphatic (G), polar uncharged(S),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(V)	E, G, A, L, T, V, S	Helix, Coil and Sheet	Acidic Negative charged protein stable (E), Polar aliphatic (G),polar uncharged(S,T),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)		
Louping ill	G, A, L, T, V	Helix, Coil	Polar aliphatic (G), non- polar aliphatic(A,L,V), and polar uncharged(T) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)	G, A, L, T, V	Helix And sheet	Polar aliphatic hydrophobic (G), non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V), polar uncharged(T) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)		
Powassan	E, G, A, L, T, V, S, R	Helix & Sheet	Acidic Negative charged protein stable (E), Polar aliphatic hydrophobic (G), non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V), polar uncharged(T,S),basic charged(R) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)	E, G, A, L, T, V, S, R	Helix & Sheet	Acidic Negative charged protein stable (E), Polar aliphatic hydrophobic (G), non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V), polar uncharged(T,S),basic charged(R) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)		
Russian Spring - rodent	E, G, A, L, I, K, T, V, P, S]	Helix, Coil and Sheet	Acidic Negative charged protein stable (E), Polar aliphatic hydrophobic (G), non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V), polar ,Aliphatic Neutral Non- polar(P),uncharged(T,S),bas ic charged(R) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)	E, G, A, L, I, K, T, V, P, S]	Helix, Coil and Sheet	Acidic Negative charged protein stable (E), Polar aliphatic hydrophobic (G), non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V), polar ,Aliphatic Neutral Non- polar(P),uncharged(T,S),bas ic charged(K) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)		
Summer encephalitis	G, A, L, T, V	Helix and Sheet	Polar aliphatic (G), non- polar aliphatic(A,L,V), and polar uncharged(T), hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V),	G, A, L, T, V	Helix and sheet	Polar aliphatic (G), non- polar aliphatic(A,L,V), and polar uncharged(T), hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V),		
Known Vecto	r Subfamilis	0.11		E G A A T	77.1			
Rocio	G, A, L,T, V,P, S	Coil and Helix	Polar aliphatic (G), polar uncharged(T,S),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), Non- polar(P), CBetaBranched(T,V)	E, G, A, L, T, V, P,S	Helix and Coil	Acıdıc Negative charged protein stable (E), Polar aliphatic (G),polar uncharged(S,T),non-polar aliphatic(A,L,V) and Non- polar(P),hydrophobic(G,L,V ), CBetaBranched(T,V)		
Rio bravo	G, A, L, V,S	Helix, Coil	Polar aliphatic (G), non- polar aliphatic(A,L,V), and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)	G, L, T, V	Coil	Polar aliphatic hydrophobic (G), non-polar aliphatic(L,V), polar uncharged(T) and hydrophobic(G,L,V), CBetaBranched(T,V)		

It has been found in Table 4 that maximal frequent amino acid patterns of flavi virus subfamilies are different. Most of the amino acids are common and belong to hydrophobicity, CBetaBranched, polar aliphatic and uncharged, non-polar aliphatic group. The variations among the subfamilies are in Acidic Negative Charged, Protein stability and basic positive charged group.

Subfamily	Helix Formation										
	(A,R,E,Q,L	., <b>K,M,H</b> )									
	Redundant				Non-redund	ant					
	1- Frequent Patterns	2-Frequent Patterns	3-Frequent Patterns	4- Frequent Patterns	1- Frequent Patterns	2-Frequent Patterns	3- Frequent Patterns	4- Frequen t Patterns			
Japanese encephalitis	A,L	AL	None	None	A,L,E,R	AL,AE,AR, LE,LR	EAL,ALR	None			
Marry vally encephalitis	A,L,E,K, R	AL,AE,AK, AR,LE,LK,L R,EK,ER	EAL, EAK, EAR,ELK, ELR,ALK, ALR	EALK	A,L,E,K, R	AL,AE,AK, AR,LE,LK, LR,EK,ER	EAL,EAK, EAR,ELK, ELR,ALK, ALR	EALK			
St. Louis encephalitis	A,L	AL	None	None	A,L,E,K	AL	None	None			
Ilheus	A,L	AL	None	None	A,L	AL	None	None			
West Nile	A,L,E,K, R	AL,AE,AR, LE,LR,ER	ELR,ALR	None	A,L,E,K,R	AL,AE,AR, LE,LK,LR, ER	EAL,ELR, ALR,EAR	EALR			
Central European	A,L	AL	None	None	A,L,E,	AL,AE,LE	None	None			
Louping ill	A,L	AL	None	None	A,L	AL	None	None			
Powassan	A <b>,</b> L,E,R	AL,AE,AR,LE ,LR,ER	EAL,EAR ,ELR,ALR	EALR	A,L,E,R	AL,AE,AR ,LE,ER	ALR,ELR, EAL	EALR			
Russian Spring – rodent	A,L,E,K,	AL,LE,EK,LK	EAL,EAK ,ELK,ALK,	EALK	A,L,E,K	AL,AE,AK, EK,LK	EAL,EAK ,ALK,	EALK			
Summer encephalitis	A,L,	AL	None	None	A,L	AL	None	None			
Rocio	A,L	AL	None	None	A,E,L	AL,EL	None	None			
Rio bravo	A,L	AL	None	None	L	None	None	None			

# Talbe-5 Probable Helix Structure of Protein of flavi virus based on amino acid association

### Talbe-6 Probable Sheet Structure of Protein of flavivirus based on amino acid association

Subfamily	Sheet Formation												
	(V,I,T,C,W,F,	Y)											
	Redundant				Non-redundant								
	1-Frequent Patterns	2-Frequent Patterns	3- Frequent Patterns	4- Frequen t Patterns	1-Frequent Patterns	2-Frequent Patterns	3- Frequent Patterns	4- Frequen t Patterns					
Japanese encephalitis Threshold 12112.5	V,T	VT	None	None	V,T	VT	None	None					
Marry vally encephalitis	V,T	VT	None	None	V,T	VT	None	None					
St. Louis encephalitis	V,T	VT	None	None	V,T	VT	None	None					
Ilheus	V,I,T	None	None	None	V,I,T	None	None	None					
West Nile	V,T	VT	None	None	V,T	VT	None	None					
Central European	V,T	None	None	None	V,T	VT	None	None					
Louping ill	V,T	VT	None	None	V,T	VT	None	None					
Powassan	V,T	VT	None	None	V,T	VT	None	None					

Russian Spring – rodent	V,I,T	VL,VI	LIV	None	V,I,T	VI,VL,LI	ITV	None
Summer encephalitis	V,T	VT	None	None	V,T	VT	None	None
Rocio	V,T	None	None	None	V,T	None	None	None
Rio bravo	V	None	None	None	V,T	None	None	None

Talbe-7 Probable Coil Structure of Protein of flavivirus based on amino acid association

Subfamily	Coil									
	(N,D,P,S,G)									
	Redundant			Non-redundant						
	1-Frequent Patterns	2-Frequent Patterns	3- Frequent Patterns	4-Frequent Patterns	1-Frequent Patterns	2-Frequent Patterns	3-Frequent Patterns	4- Frequ ent Patter ns		
Japanese encephalitis Threshold 12112.5	G,S	GS	none	None	G,S	GS	None	None		
Marry vally encephalitis	G,S	GS	None	None	G,S	GS	None	None		
St. Louis encephalitis	G	None	None	None	G	None	None	None		
Ilheus	G,S	GS	None	None	G,S	GS	None	None		
West Nile	G,S	GS	None	None	G,S	SG	None	None		
Central European	G,S	GS	none	None	G	None	None	None		
Louping ill	G	None	None	None	G	None	None	None		
Powassan	G, S	GS	None	None	G,S	GS	None	None		
Russian Spring – rodent	G,S,P	GS,GP,PS	GPS	None	G,S,P	GS,GP,PS	GPS	None		
Summer encephalitis	G	None	None	None	G	None	None	None		
Rocio	G,P,S	GP,GS	none	None	G,P,S	GP,GS	None	None		
Rio bravo	G,S	GS	None	none	G, S	GS	None	None		

The Table 5 presents the amino acid association patterns which are responsible for formation of secondary structure Helix, in various Flavi virus subfamilies. It has been observed that redundant dataset of Japanese encephalitis (Mosqiti borne subfamily),Central European (Tick borne subfamily) and Rocio (Known Vector) have two frequent patterns AL (amino acid Alanine and Lucien) which are responsible for formation of Helix and non-redundant dataset of Japanese encephalitis (Mosqiti borne subfamily),Central European (Tick borne subfamily),Central European (Tick borne subfamily), Central European (Tick borne subfamily), Central European (Tick borne subfamily), Central European (Tick borne subfamily) and Rocio(Known Vector) have three frequent patterns A, L, E (amino acid Alanine, Lucien and Glutamic acid) which are responsible for formation of secondary structure Helix. Along with Japanese encephalitis has amino acid R(Arginine) which are responsible for formation of Helix. Table 6 and 7 presents the amino acid association patterns which are responsible for formation of secondary structure Sheet and Coil respectively in various flavi virus sub families.

In Table 6 it has been observed that redundant and non-redundant dataset of Japanese encephalitis (Mosqiti borne subfamily),Central European (Tick borne subfamily) and Rocio (Known Vector) have two frequent patterns VT (amino acid Valine and Threonine) which are responsible for formation of Sheet.

In Table 7 it's observed that most of subfamilies have two frequent patterns GS which are responsible for formation of secondary structure Coil.and amino acid P(proline) is also present in the some subfamilies which is

important in coil formation. Parallel analysis can be ready for rest of the subfamilies in table 5,6 and 7 to produce association rule.

It has been shown in table 5,6 and 7 that in all the 12 subfamilies of Flavi virus family association patterns of amino acid exposed high tendency to form Helix rather than Sheet and Coil.

The association rules generated on the basis of aboveFlavi Virus Subfamilies are given below:-

### Mosquito Borne Subfamilies:-

For 2 frequent Patterns:-

- I. {A(Frequent)∩L(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- **II.** {A(Frequent)∩E(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- **III.** {A(Frequent) ∩ K(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- IV. {A(Frequent) ∩ R(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- V. {L(Frequent)∩E(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- VI. {L(Frequent) ∩ K(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- VII. {L(Frequent) \cap R(Frequent) => maintain charge of protein and help in protein stability }
- VIII. {E(Frequent) \cap K(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation and Protein Solubility}
- IX. {E(Frequent) \cap R(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation and Protein Solubility }
- X. {V(Frequent)∩T(Frequent)=>Tendency for Sheet Formation}
- XI. {G(Frequent)∩S(Frequent)=>Tendency for Coil Formation}

For 3 frequent Patterns:-

- I. { E(Frequent)∩A(Frequent)∩L(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- II. {A(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) \cap R(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- III. { E(Frequent) \cap A(Frequent) \cap K(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}
- IV. { E(Frequent) \cap A(Frequent) \cap R(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}
- V. { E(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) \cap K(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}
- VI. {A(Frequent) $\cap$ L(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- VII. { E(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) \cap R(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}

For 4 frequent Patterns:-

L{E(Frequent) \cap A(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) \cap K(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}

II. {E(Frequent) A(Frequent) L(Frequent) R(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation} For Tick Borne Subfamilies:-

For 2 Frequent Patterns

{A(Frequent) ∩ L(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}

- I. {A(Frequent)∩E(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- II. {A(Frequent) ∩ R(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- **III.** {L(Frequent)∩E(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
- IV. {L(Frequent) \cap K(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}
- V. {L(Frequent) ∩ R(Frequent)=>maintain charge of protein and help in protein stability }
- VI. {E(Frequent) ∩ K(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation and Protein Solubility}
- VII. {E(Frequent) ∩ R(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation and Protein Solubility }
- VIII. {V(Frequent) \cap T(Frequent) => Tendency for Sheet Formation}
- IX. {V(Frequent)∩I(Frequent)=>Tendency for Sheet Formation}
- X. {L(Frequent) ∩ I(Frequent)=>Tendency for Sheet Formation}
- XI. {G(Frequent)∩S(Frequent)=>Tendency for Coil Formation}
- **XII.** {G(Frequent) ∩ P(Frequent)=>Tendency for Coil Formation}
- XIII. {P(Frequent) \cap S(Frequent) => Tendency for Coil Formation}
- For 3 frequent Patterns:-
- VIII. { E(Frequent) \cap A(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}
- IX.  $\{A(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) \cap R(Frequent) = > Tendency for Helix Formation\}$
- X. {  $E(Frequent) \cap A(Frequent) \cap K(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation$  }
- XI. { E(Frequent) \cap A(Frequent) \cap R(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}
- XII. { E(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) \cap K(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}
- XIII. {A(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) \cap K(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}
- **XIV.** {  $E(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) \cap R(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}$
- XV. {I(Frequent) \cap V(Frequent) =>Tendency for Sheet Formation}
- **XVI.** {G(Frequent) $\cap$ P(Frequent) $\cap$ S(Frequent)=>Tendency for Coil Formation}
- For 4 frequent Patterns:-
  - I. I.{E(Frequent) \(\)A(Frequent) \(\)L(Frequent) \(\)K(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}
  - II.  ${E(Frequent) \cap A(Frequent) \cap L(Frequent) \cap R(Frequent) => Tendency for Helix Formation}$
- For Known Vector:-
- For 2 Frequent Patterns:-
  - I. {A(Frequent) ∩ L(Frequent)=>Tendency for Helix Formation}
  - II. {G(Frequent) \cap S(Frequent) => Tendency for Coil Formation}
- **III.** {G(Frequent) ∩ P(Frequent)=>Tendency for Coil Formation}

#### IV. Conclusion

The approach for mining quantitative associations is appropriate in view of analysis and prediction of secondary structure of sequences for each subfamily of flavivirus. Further quantitative associations have been obtained successfully to generate association rules for predicting the physiochemical properties and secondary structure. This approach will lead to develop fuzzy set and soft set based approaches for mining association in flavivirus sequences and their structures. These studies can be performed to generate association rules, signatures and their relationships along with physiochemical properties.

#### V. **Conflict Of Interest**

The authors are no conflict of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Department of Biotechnology, New Delhi for Providing Bioinformatics Infrastructure facility at MANIT Bhopal to carry out this.

#### References

- [1]. M. W. Gaunt, A. A. Sall, X. de Lamballerie, A. K. I. Falconar, T. I. Dzhivanian, and E. A. Goul(2001)d, "Phylogenetic relationships of flaviviruses correlate with their epidemiology, disease association and biogeography," Journal of General Virology, vol. 82, part 8, pp. 1867-1876.
- [2]. Shi, P-Y (editor) (2012). Molecular Virology and Control of Flaviviruses. Caister Academic Press. ISBN 978-1-904455-92-9.
- A. Oya and I. Kurane(2007), "Japanese encephalitis for a reference to international travelers," Journal of Travel Medicine, vol. 14, [3]. no. 4, pp. 259-268.
- PanisadeeAvirutnan, Anja Fuchs, Richard E. Hauhart(March 2010),"Antagonism of the complement component C4 by [4]. flavivirusnonstructural protein NS1"J Exp Med 2010 207:793-806
- B. J. Blitvich(2008), "Transmission dynamics and changing epidemiology of West Nile virus," Animal Health Research Reviews, [5]. vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 71-86.
- [6].
- S. B. Halstead(2007), "Dengue," *The Lancet*, vol. 370, no. 9599, pp. 1644–1652.
   E. Gould and T. Solomon(2008), "Pathogenic flaviviruses," *The Lancet*, vol. 371, no. 9611, pp. 500–509. [7]
- Jaiwei Han and Yongjian (1995)"Discovery of multiple level association rule from large database", VLDB Conference, pp. 420-431. [8]. [9]. PanisadeeAvirutnan, Anja Fuchs, Richard E. Hauhart (March 2010), "Antagonism of the complement component C4 by flavivirusnonstructural protein NS1"J Exp Med 2010 207:793-806
- [10]. Gowtham Atluri at al "Association Analysis Techniques for Bioinformatics Problems" {gowtham, rohit, gang fang, gaurav, steinbac, kumar } @cs.umn.edu, http://www.cs.umn.edu/~kumar/dmbio
- Pandey, Anjana and KamalRaj Pardasani."Rough set Model for Discovering Multidimensional Association Rules."International [11]. Journal of Computer Science and Network Security9.6(2009):159-164.
- Khare, Neelu, Neeru Adlakha, and K.R. Pardasani."An Algorithm for Mining Multidimensional Association Rules using Boolean [12]. Matix."Recent Trends in Information, Telecommunication and Computing (ITC), 2010 International Conference on. IEEE, 2010.
- Khare,N.;Adlakha,N.;Pardasani,K.R."An algorithm for mining conditional hybrid dimensional association rule using Boolean Matix,"Computer and automation engineering (ICCAE),2010 The 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on,vol.2,no.,pp.644,648,26-28 [13]. Feb.2010
- [14]. Khare ,Neelu Adlakha, and K.R. Pardasani."Karnaugh MAP Model for Mining Association Rules in Large Databases."(IJCNS) International Journal of Computer and Network Security 1.1(2009).
- Pandey, Anjana, Niket Bhargava, and K.R. Pardasani." Counting inference appoarch to discover calendar based temporal association [15]. rules." SPIT-IEEE Colloquium. Vol.5.2007.
- Panday, Anjana, and K.R. Pardasani." PPCI algorithm for mining temporal association rules in large database." Journal of [16]. Information & Knowledge Management 8.04(2009):345-352.
- Khare, Neelu, Neelu Adlakha, and K.R. Pardasani." An Algorithm for Mining Multidimensional Fuzzy Association Rules." arXiv [17]. preprint arXiv:0909.5166(2009).
- Agrawal, R. and Srikant, R. (1994). Fast algorithms for mining association rules . In proc of the 20th Int'l Conference on Very Large [18]. Databases 407-419
- [19]. Srikant R and Agrawal(1996) "Mining quantitative association rules in large relational tables" SIGMOD '96 Proceedings of the 1996 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data, Volume 25 Issue 2, June 1996 Pages 1-12
- Nitin Gupta, Nitin Mangal, Kamal Tiwari, Pabitra Mitra(2006) "Mining Quantitative Association Rules in Protein Sequences" [20]. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Volume 3755, pp 273-281
- Anuja Shankar & KamalRAj Pardasani"Mining Fuzzy amino acid association patterns in various orders of class [21]. Apphaproteobacteria"Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics. Accepted for Publication 2013
- [22]. Kumari T,Pardasani KR.,"Mining Fuzzy amino acid Association Patterns in Class C GPCRs"Computational Life sciences, Springer. Accepted of Publication 2013
- [23]. Kumari T, Pardasani KR.,"Mining Fuzzy associations among amino acids of class A GPCRs."OnlineJ Bioinform.,13(2):202-213,2012
- [24]. Anuja Shankar & Kamal Raj Pardasani,"Amino acid composition based model for prediction and identification of Alpa and Epsilon-proteobacteria"Online Journal of Bioinformatics, 14(1)(2013) Publiched date 14 mar 2014