A Simple Rp-Hplc Method for Simultaneous Estimation of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide. HCl inTablet Dosage Form

Vamshikrishna. G^{1*}, Neelima. M¹, Bhavani. M¹, Sreekanth. G¹, Shobha Rani. S²

 Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Care College of Pharmacy, Warangal Andhra Pradesh, India.
 Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Kukatpally,

epariment of Fnarmaceutical Analysis, Jawanarial Nenru Technological University, Kukalp Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract: A simple, rapid, specific, precise and accurate reverse phase high performance liquid chromatographic (RP-HPLC) method has been developed and validated for the simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl in tablet dosage form. The chromatographic separation was achieved on AM Chemteq C18 (250mm x 4.6mm, 5 μ) column at a detector wavelength of 220nm using an isocratic mobile phase comprising a mixture of Methanol: 0.5% Ammonium dihydrogen orthophosphate buffer (pH: 3) (35:65v/v) pumped at a flow rate of 1.0ml/min. Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl eluted at the retention times of 4.35 and 7.5min respectively. The method was validated with respect to parameters such as specificity, linearity, precision, accuracy, robustness, limit of detection, limit of quantification, system suitability. The proposed RP-HPLC method can be used for the estimation of these drugs in tablet dosage form.

Keywords: HPLC, Paracetamol, Metoclopramide.hcl, Validation.

I. Introduction

{N-(4-hydroxyphenyl) acetamide } antipyretic[1]. Paracetamol is analgesic and Metoclopramide.HCl, 4-amino-5-Chloro-N-[2-(diethylamino)ethyl]-2-methoxy benzamidemono hydrochloride[2,3].Paracetamol is thought to act primarily by increasing the pain threshold by inhibiting both isoforms of cyclooxygenase, COX-1 and COX-2, enzymes involved in prostaglandin (PG) synthesis. Unlike NSAIDs, it does not inhibit cyclooxygenase in peripheral tissues and, thus, has no peripheral antiinflammatory effects whereas Metaclopramide. HClinhibits gastric smooth muscle relaxation produced by dopamine, therefore increasing cholinergic response of the gastrointestinal smooth muscle. It accelerates intestinal transit and gastric emptying by preventing relaxation of gastric body and increasing the phasic activity of antrum. It is used to treat nausea and vomiting. It is used for the relief of symptoms associated with acute and recurrent diabetic gastric stasis[1-3].

Literature survey revealed that analytical methods like UV, HPLC have been reported for the estimation of Paracetamol, Metoclopramide.HCl alone and in combination with other drugs. But there is only one HPLC method for the estimation of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl in combined dosage form[4-22].

The objective of the study is to develop a simple, precise, accurate and cost effective RP-HPLC method for the simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl in a tablet dosage form, with improved conditions and parameters for routine use in the laboratories. The chemical structures of the assayed compounds are given below (Fig. 1).



Paracetamol Metoclopramide.HCl FIGURE 1:The chemical structures of paracetamol and metoclopramide.HCl

2.1Materialsand Methods

II. Experimental work

HPLC Grade Methanol and AR grade HCl were purchased from Merck. AR grade orthophosphoric acid was purchased from Merck and AR grade Ammonium dihydrogen orthophosphate

from Universal laboratories. In-house Millipore water was used throughout the study. Fixed dose combination tablets PARAMET containing 325mg PARA and 5mg of Metoclopramide.HClwere procured from local market.

2.2Instrumentation

The HPLC analysis was accomplished on WATERS high pressure liquid chromatography outfitted with 515 reciprocating dual column HPLC pump, a manually operating Rheodyne injector with 20µl sample loop, a 25cm x 4.6mm I.D. analytical column (AM Chemteq C18) containing C-18 reversed-phase material of 5µ size and a 2489 model UV-Visible detector. All the parameters of HPLC were controlled by EMPOWER – 2 software. Other instruments used were Systemics double beam UV-Vis spectrophotometer of model 2201, Shimadzu electronic balance of model AY-220, MKVI digital pHmeter and Bio-technics ultrasonic bath sonicator.

2.3. Liquid Chromatographic Conditions

Chromatographic separation was obtained by isocratic elution mode which was performed using a mobile phase containing methanol and 0.5% w/v ammonium dihydrogen orthophosphate buffer (pH-3) in the ratio of 35:65 v/vat a flow rate of 1ml/min through AM Chemteq C18 (250mm x 4.6mm, 5μ m) column. The selective detection of the column effluents were monitored at a wavelength of 220nm.Injection volume was 20µl. The run time was taken as 10mins.

2.4. Preparation of Stock and Working Mixed Standard Solutions

25mg of Paracetamol and 0.384mg of Metoclopramide.HCl were weighed accurately and transferred into 25ml volumetric flask and sufficient amount of 1N HCl was added to dissolve. Then the volume was made up to the mark with water. 4ml of this solution was transferred into 10ml volumetric flask and the volume was made up to the mark with water. The concentrations of Paracetamol and Metaclopramide.HCl in the final solution were 400μ g/ml and 6.12μ g/ml respectively. The solution was filtered through 0.45μ filter paper and then degassed by sonication.

2.5. Preparation of Test Solution

20 tablets were weighed and powdered. The tablet powder was weighed accurately which is equivalent to 25mg of Paracetamol and transferred into a 25ml volumetric flask and sufficient amount of 1N HCl was added to dissolve and sonicated for 10min. Then the volume was made up to the mark with water. 4ml of this solution was transferred into 10ml volumetric flask and the volume was made up to the mark with water. The concentrations of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl in the final solution were 400μ g/ml and 6.12μ g/ml respectively as per the label claim. The solution was filtered through 0.45μ filter paper and then degassed by sonication.

2.6. Assay

 20μ l, of blank, standard and test solutions were injected separately into HPLC system. Chromatograms were recorded and peak responses were measured and the percentage drug content was calculated by using the following formula:

%Drug content =
$$\frac{\text{test area}}{\text{std area}} \times \frac{\text{std concentration}}{\text{test concentration}} \times \frac{\text{avg wt}}{\text{label claim}} \times 100$$

III. Method Validation

The developed analytical method was validated as per ICH guidelines with respect to parameters such as, linearity, precision, accuracy, robustness, limit of detection, limit of quantification, specificity, system suitability and solution stability[23-24].

3.1. Linearity

Mixed standard stock solution (1mg/ml) was prepared by taking 25mg of each, Paracetamol and Metaclopramide. HCl into 25ml volumetric flask and required amount of 1N HCl was added to dissolve, then the volume was made up to the mark with water. Different concentrations of mixed standard solutions of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl ranging from $0.1-1000\mu g/ml$ were prepared using water as a diluent and $20\mu l$ of each of standard solution was injected into the HPLC system. Chromatograms were recorded and peak responses were measured. A calibration curve was constructed by plotting peak area against concentration and regression equation was computed(Table 1&Fig. 2-4).

	Table 1:Linear	ity Data Of	Paracetamol And	d Metoclopramie	de.HCl
C N-		Paracetamol		Metocloprami	de.HCl
5.IN0	Concentration (µg/mi)	Rt(min)	Peak area	Rt(min)	Peak area
1	0.1	4.419	2358	7.66	21890
2	1	4.353	91195	7.62	178172
3	10	4.353	339143	7.621	522557
4	50	4.366	1720771	7.62	3055654
5	100	4.353	3134499	7.56	5553696
6	200	4.355	5891655	7.62	10569870
7	300	4.35	9653364	7.641	17544365
8	400	4.366	12924917	7.623	23673390
9	500	4.34	17476740	7.612	31476557
10	600	4.352	19478596	7.66	35886716
11	700	4.347	23400726	7.62	43684589
12	800	4.354	24918627	7.56	48299463
13	900	4.35	25115854	7.56	51527710
14	1000	-	-	7.562	58860655



Figure 2:Linearity Overlain Chromatogram Of Paracetamol And Metoclopramide.HCl



3.2.Precision

System precision was performed by injecting six replicate injections of standard solution at (100µg/ml) concentration and the chromatograms were reviewed for the %RSD of peak areas. Method precision was demonstrated by preparing six test solutions (Paracetamol-500µg/ml and Metoclopramide.HCl -7.65 µg/ml) as per the test procedure & recording the chromatograms of six test solutions. The % RSD of % assays of six samples was calculated. Intermediate precision of the analytical method was determined by performing method precision on another day by different analysts under same experimental conditions. The results were shown in Tables2-7&Fig.5.

1 401	2.5ystem 1 1eels	ion uata or i araccia		prannuc.men	
C N-	Paracetamol		Metocloprami	ide.HCl	
5. 1N0	Rt	Peak area	Rt	Peak area	
1	4.378	3423308	7.528	5863929	
2	4.364	3489609	7.595	5993372	
3	4.327	3478265	7.639	5906477	
4	4.352	3494533	7.567	5954580	
5	4.355	3497506	7.579	5916359	
6	4.351	3427336	7.553	6032475	
	Avg	3468426.167		5944532	
	SD	34049.2857		56212.43	
	%RSD	0.9		0.9	

Table 2. System Precision data of Paracetamol and Metoclonramide HCl

Table 3:Method Precision data Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl

S No.	Paracetamol		Metoclopramic	le.HCl	
5.110	Rt	Peak area	Rt	Peak area	
1	4.524	14975494	7.570	431490	
2	4.540	14993783	7.623	435743	
3	4.470	15228670	7.670	438669	
4	4.418	15091349	7.642	436878	
5	4.378	15144959	7.570	436319	
6	4.427	14993994	7.553	439740	
	Avg	15113416.17		436473.16	
	SD	90687.28		2865.19	
	%RSD	0.6		0.66	

Table 4:Interday Precision data of Paracetamol

~ • •	Dav 1		Day 2		Dav 3	
S.No	Rt	Peak area	Rt	Peak area	Rt	Peak area
1	4.446	3398769	4.384	3427992	4.387	3433106
2	4.418	3454702	4.402	3448818	4.346	3465123
3	4.417	3468502	4.386	3477958	4.365	3468265
4	4.435	3445888	4.330	3479636	4.382	3474522
5	4.412	3484095	4.356	3492721	4.375	3497506
6	4.436	3455244	4.380	3444706	4.374	3427336

Pooled Avg3458049 **Pooled SD** 25722.5

Pooled	% RSD 0. 74				
		Table 5:Interday	Precision dat	a of Metoclopran	nide.HCl
S.No	Day 1		Day 2		Day
	Rt	Peak area	Rt	Peak area	Rt
1	7.728	5940361	7.558	5937617	7.562
2	7.670	5964688	7.633	5886223	7.613
3	7.659	5905103	7.583	5854289	7.598
4	7.674	5916135	7.562	5876421	7.625
5	7.624	5900850	7.590	5934493	7.553

5950910

: 38430.3 Pooled SD

6



7.670

7.552

PooledAvg:

Peak area

5956158

5892123

5899325

5946451

5852352

5925236

Day 3 Rt

7.562

7.613

7.598

7.625

7.553

7.552

5987476

5916814.706

C No	Analyst- 1		Analyst- 2	
3.110	Rt	Peak area	Rt	Peak area
1	4 523	14975495	4 356	15173072
2	4.548	14993782	4.438	15243471
3	4.47	15228662	4.479	15058541
4	4.419	15091339	4.416	15155391
5	4.379	15144960	4.361	15148742
6	4.427	14993990	4.348	15153549
	PooledAvg :	15113416.17		
	PooledSD :	90687.28		
	Pooled %RSD :	0.6		



	Analyst- 1		Analyst- 2		
S.No	Rt	Peak area	Rt	Peak area	
1	7.652	435956	7.573	431494	
2	7.622	436361	7.620	435733	
3	7.612	434652	7.669	438671	
4	7.656	437516	7.624	436887	
5	7.626	434940	7.569	436310	
6	7.774	431601	73521	439739	
PooledAvg:	435821.7				
Pooled SD	: 2462.69				

Pooled %RSD : 0.56





3.3. Accuracy

The accuracy of the proposed method was evaluated by recovery studies which were carried out by standard addition method, where a known amount of test sample solution was spiked with mixed standard solution at three levels of 50%, 100% and 150%. At each level recovery studies were carried out in triplicate and expressed as percent recoveries (Tables 8-9).

	Table 8: Accuracy data of Paracetamol								
	Standard			Test		Spiked		Avg	Avg
Spiked levels	Conc (µg/ml)	Peak area	Mean peak area	Conc (µg/ml)	Peak area	Peak area	Mean peak area	Amount recovered (µg/ml)	% Recovery
		1641727				15183645			
50%	50	1665584	1714896	400	13146655	15192706	15181537	49.17	99.7
5070	50	1837376				15168260			
		3438476				17018881	16977971		
100%	100	3489682	3443990.6	400	13913068	16903547	7	100.53	101
10070	100	3403814				17011487	/		
		5107144				18565030	18502563		
1500/	150	5172383	5141145	400	13324166	18593844	2	146.67	99.6
130%	150	5143908				18618816	3		

Table 9:Accuracy data of Metoclopramide.HCl

Spiked levels	Standard Conc (ug/ml)	Peak area	Mean peak area	Test Con (ug/ml)	Peak area	Spiked Peak area	Mean peak area	Avg.Amount recovered (ug/ml)	Avg % Recovery
	(1.8,)	3158618	peun area	(P.B.	ui cu	3770048	peun area	(H.B.	100000019
50%	50	3137314	3254226	6.12	427599	3750323	3762558	47.76	101.8
		3466745				3767303			
		6600617				7158966			
100%	100	6679858	6660037	6.12	347813	7143707	7177728.6	96.27	101.1
		6699636				7230513			
		9991758				10427704			
150%	150	9989570	9991614.1	6.12	428141	10545289	10513227	143.12	100.36
		9993515				10566688			

3.3.1. Preparation Of Standard And Test Solutions

Mixed standard solutions containing 50μ g/ml, 100μ g/ml, 150μ g/ml of each Paracetamol and Metaclopramide. HCl were prepared in triplicate from the mixed standard stock solution by appropriate dilutions. A test solution containing 400μ g/ml of Paracetamol (6.12 µg/ml of Metoclopramide.HCl) was prepared by appropriate dilution of the test stock solution.

3.3.2. Procedure of Spiking

Spiking at 50% level was accomplished in triplicate, by adding 4ml of sample stock solution (1mg/ml of Paracetamol and 0.0153mg/ml of Metoclopramide.HCl) solution to the 0.5ml of mixed standard stock solution in a 10 ml volumetric flask. The contents of the volumetric flask were shaken then cautiously filtered through whatmann filter paper. The volumetric flask and filter paper were washed with small quantities of the solvent, and then the volume was made up to the mark with filtrate. The resultant solution was filtered through 0.45 μ filter.

In the similar manner spiking at 100% was carried out, by adding 4ml of sample stock solution to the 1ml of mixed standard stock solution and at 150% was carried out by adding 4ml of sample stock solution to the 1.5ml of mixed standard stock solution.

3.4. Robustness

The robustness of the proposed method was determined by analysis of aliquots from homogeneous lots by differing parameters like flow rate, mobile phase composition, wavelength (Table 10).

		Paracet	Paracetamol			opramide.HCl	
Parameter		Rt	Mean Area	%RSD of Peak Areas	Rt	Mean Area	%RSD of Peak Areas
F 1	0.9	4.8	11511776	1.1	8.51	21328415	0.72
Flow rate	1.0	4.46	10451114	0.65	7.76	19096721	0.92
(mi/min)	1.1	3.93	9531102	0.3	6.55	17362569.8	0.41
W/1	217	4.39	6149906.1	0.167	7.67	14361337.5	0.90
wavelength	220	4.39	6735644.3	0.030	7.68	12167739.8	0.84
(nm)	223	4.38	7908634.3	0.219	7.66	10077804	1.10
	33:67	4.49	13737260	0.47	8.05	24692164	0.74
Mobile Phase	35:65	4.48	13589198	0.53	7.64	24745677	0.73
	37:63	4.19	13608012	1.2	6.7	24438978	0.77

3.4.1 Effect of Variation In Flow Rate

A study was conducted to determine the effect of variation in flow rate. Mixed standard solution (300 μ g/ml of each Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl) was prepared according to the test procedure and injected six times into the HPLC system by changing the flow rate from 1ml/min to 0.9ml/min and 1.1ml/min.

3.4.1 Effect of Variation In Mobile Phase Composition

A study was conducted to determine the effect of variation in mobile phase composition. Mixed standard solution (400 μ g/ml of each Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl) was prepared according to the test procedure and injected six times into the HPLC system by changing the ratio of mobile phase i.e. methanol: buffer from 35:65 to 37:63 and 67:33.

3.4.2 Effect Of Variation In Wavelength

A study was conducted to determine the effect of variation in wavelength. Mixed standard solution (200 μ g/ml of each Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl) was prepared according to the test procedure and injected six times into the HPLC system by changing the wavelength from 220nm to 223nm and 217nm.

3.5.Limit of Detection And Limit of Quantitation

Limit of Detection (LOD) is calculated based on the standard deviation of the y-intercept (σ) and the slope of the calibration curve (S) at levels approximating the LOD according to the formula: LOD = 3.3(σ /S). LOQ is calculated based on the standard deviation of the intercept (σ) and the slope of the calibration curve (S) at levels approximating the LOQ according to the formula: LOQ = 10(σ /S). The standard deviation of y-intercept obtained from the LINEST function (Table 11).

 Table 11: LOD and LOQ Data Of Paracetamol And Metoclopramide.HCl

Paracetamol			Metoclopramide.HCl		
Conc.(x) (µg/ml)	Peak Area (Y)	Statistical Analysis	Conc.(x) (µg/ml)	Peak Area (Y)	Statistical Analysis
0.1	2358	S = 34347.8	0.1	30859	S = 47225.7
0.5	18126	c = -470.14	0.5	50569	c = 27188.5
1	34195	$\sigma = 945.3$	1	751172	$\sigma = 727.3$
2.5	83521	$LOD = 0.09 \mu g/ml$	2.5	146521	$LOD = 0.05 \mu g/ml$
5	172015	$LOQ = 0.27 \mu g/ml$	5	262576	$LOQ = 0.15 \mu g/ml$

3.6. Specificity

The blank, standard and test solutions were injected into HPLC system and the chromatograms were recorded. As there were no peaks were found at the retention time of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl the proposed method was specific for the detection of the same (Fig. 6-8).





Figure 8: Standard Chromatogramof Paracetamol And Metoclopramide.HCl

3.7. System Suitability

Mixed standard solution ($200\mu g/ml$) of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl was prepared from the mixed standard stock solution (1mg/ml) and injected six times into the HPLC system. The system suitability parameters were evaluated from standard chromatograms obtained by calculating the % RSD of retention times and peak areas, average of tailing factor and theoretical plates from six replicate injections. The results were given in the Tables 12-13.

	Table 12: System Suitability Data of Paracetamol							
S.No	Retention time	Peak area	Theoretical plate number	Tailing factor				
1	4.372	6527850	3659.54	0.94				
2	4.386	6684616	3382.45	0.92				
3	4.379	6750163	3313.91	1.0				
4	4.372	6707196	3145.52	0.98				
5	4.384	6788723	3238.400	0.9				
6	4.356	6527820	3340.13	0.91				
Mean	4.374	6664395	3346.658	0.94				
SD	0.0109	111670.7	-	-				
%RSD	0.2	1.6	-	-				

Table 13: System Suitabilit	ty Data	of Metoclo	pramide.HCl

S.No	Retention time	Peak area	Theoretical number	plate	Tailing factor	Resolution
1	7.509	12345419	3206.73		1.16	7.8
2	7.583	12280338	2672.73		1.15	7.9
3	7.567	12499392	2817.13		1.08	8.0
4	7.539	12333966	2613.24		1.05	7.9
5	7.558	12357710	2585.34		1.15	8.0
6	7.50	12451987	2674.21		1.0	8.1
Mean	7.54	12378135	2771.563		1.09	7.95
SD	0.0334	81437	-		-	-
%RSD	0.4	0.65	-		-	-

3.8. Solution Stability

Stability was estimated with standard and sample solutions. The standard solution $(100\mu g/ml)$ and the test sample solution $(300\mu g/ml)$ were prepared as per the test procedure and then injected into the HPLC. Chromatograms were recorded and peak responses were measured. The same procedure was repeated at an interval of 24 hours until there was a significant change in the peak area values (Tables 14-15).

	Table 14: Stability Study Data of Paracetamol						
Standard s	olution		Test solution				
Time (hours)	Peak area	% Assay	Time (hours)	Peak area	% Assay		
Initial	3588404	100	Initial	8104703	100		
24 48	3566988 3499191	99.4 97.5	24 48	8026004 7875735	99.02 97.1		

Table 15: Stability Study Data of Metoclopramide.HCl					
Standard solution		Test solution			
Time (hours)	Peak area	% Assay	Time (hours)	Peak area	% Assay
Initial	6648675	100	Initial	251887	100
24	6638559	99.8	24	250134	99.3
48	6592911	99.1	48	249346	98.99
72	6456731	97.1	72	242180	96.1

IV. Results And Discussion

Paracetamol is an anti-pyretic and analgesic drug and Metoclopramide.HCl is an anti-emetic drug. The combination of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl is used to relieve the pain and nausea which may occur during migraine attacks. In the present work, an attempt was made to provide a simple, precise, accurate RP- HPLC method which is successfully applied for the determination of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl in tablet dosage form.HPLC conditions were optimized to obtain an adequate separation of eluted compounds. Initially, various mobile phase compositions were tried to get good optimum results. Mobile phase and flow rate selection was based on peak parameters (tailing factor, theoretical plates, and run time.). The mobile phase of a mixture of methanol and 0.5% ammonium dihydrogen orthophosphate (adjusted to pH-3 using orthophosphoric acid) in the ratio of 35:65v/v was delivered at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The optimum wavelength for detection was 220nm and a run time of 10min. The drugs were first dissolved in (solvent) sufficient quantity of 1N HCl and water was used as diluent for further dilutions. The retention time for Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl were found to be 4.4 and 7.6min respectively. The calibration curve was linear in the concentration range of 0.1-800µg/ml and 0.1- 1000µg/ml with correlation coefficient values of 0.996 and 0.997 for Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl respectively.System suitability tests are an integral part of chromatographic method. They are used to verify the reproducibility of the chromatographic system and to ascertain its effectiveness. From the system suitability studies it was observed that all the parameters within the limits. The % recoveries were found in the range of 98- 102% indicates that the method was accurate. Precision of the proposed method was done at the levels of repeatability (intraday) and intermediate precision. The values of %RSD were within the limits indicates that the method was precise. The chromatogram of blank was recorded and no peak was observed at the retention time of drug peaks. So the developed method was specific for assay of titled drugs. Robustness of the method was done by differing parameters like flow rate. mobile phase composition and wavelength. From the results it was concluded that in spite deliberate changes made, responses were within the limits therefore the developed method was robust. By performing solution stability studies it was observed that standard and test solutions were found to be stable for 48 hours.The lowest possible concentrations of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl that can be detected were found to be 0.06µg/ml and 0.04µg/ml respectively and that can be quantities were found to be 0.12µg/ml and 0.13µg/ml respectively.

V. Conclusion

The RP- HPLC method was developed and validated for simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide.HCl in combined dosage form. The method was found to be simple, sensitive, accurate, precise, robust, and economical. It can be used in laboratories for routine analysis of titled drugs individually and in combined tablet dosage forms.

References

- [1]. David AW, Thomas LL. FOYE'S principles of medicinal chemistry. 5th ed. Maryland: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002.
- [2]. George MB, Craig WS. Pharmacology. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2006.
- [3]. Laurence LB, John SL, Keith LP. Goodman & Gilman's the pharmacological basics of therapeutics. 11th ed. United States of America: The McGraw-Hill Companies; 2006.
- [4]. Grace S.N, CritchleyJAJH. The estimation of Paracetamol and its major metabolites in both plasma and urine by a single High Performance Liquid Chromatography assay. Journal of Pharmaceutical & Biomedical Analysis;1994; 1563-1572.
- [5]. Bhavsar AS, Talele GS, Fursule RA, Surana SJ. Simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Valdecoxib in tablet formulation by RP-HPLC method. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 68, 2006,675-677.
- [6]. Karthik A, Subramanian G, Ranjith Kumar A, Udupa N. Simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Domperidone in tablets by RP-HPLC method. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 69, 2007, 142-144.
- [7]. Gopinath R, Rajan S, Meyyanathan SN, Krishnaveni N, Suresh B. RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Aceclofenac in tablets. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2007, 69, 137-140.
- [8]. Shaikh KA, Devkhile AB.Simultaneous determination of Aceclofenac, Paracetamol, and Chlorzoxazone by RP-HPLC in Pharmaceutical Dosage Form.Journal of Chromatographic Science, 46(7), 2007, 649-652.
- [9]. Pattan SR, Jamdar SG, Godge RK, Dighe NS, Daithankar AV. RP- HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Etoricoxib from bulk and tablets. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2009, 329-335.
- [10]. Prasanna Reddy B, Reddy MS. RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Ibuprofen in tablets. Asian Journal of Research in Chemistry, 2009, 70-72.
- [11]. Prasanna Reddy B. Simultaneous RP-HPLC determination of Nimesulide and Paracetamol in tablets. International Journal of PharmTech Research, 1(3), 2009, 514-516.
- [12]. Borkar D, Godse VP, Bafana YS, Bhosale AV. Simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Promethazine Hydrochloride in Pharmaceutical formulations by a RP-HPLC Method. International Journal of ChemTech Research, 1(3), 2009, 667-670.
- [13]. Deepti J, Raman N, Rajendra N. Simultaneous estimation of Tramadol hydrochloride, Paracetamol andDomperidone by RP-HPLC in tablet formulation.Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 33, 2010, 786-792.
- [14]. Nagwa H. Quantitative Analysis of Metoclopramide in tablet formulation by HPLC. Analytical Letters, 27, 1994, 549-559.
- [15]. Nieder M, Jaeger H. HPLC determination of Metoclopramide in plasma and urine and its application to biopharmaceutical investigations. Journal of Separation Science, 10, 2005, 659–664.
- [16]. Supriya S, Sheetal M, Kadam, Vilasrao J. Development and Validation of Stability Indicating HPLC method for estimation of Metoclopramide Hydrochloride from a novel formulation. Journal of Pharmacy Research, 2, 2009, 290-295.
- [17]. Vinay W, Manjunath Y, Mohan Varma. Development and Validation of UV spectroscopic method for the determination of Metoclopramide Hydrochloride in bulk and tablet formulation. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 3, 2011,171-174.
- [18]. Ahmad Khan, Syed Baqir, Muhammad Harris, Rabia Ismail. Validation and application of RP-HPLC method for the quantification of Metoclopramide Hydrochloride in oral formulations. Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 25, 2012, 135-140.
- [19]. Patel SA, Patel CN, Patel MM. Visible spectrophotometric methods for the estimation of Metoclopramide Hydrochloride in tablets. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 68, 2006, 397-399.
- [20]. Wadher SJ, Pathankar PR, ManishaPuranik, Ganjiwale RO, Yeole PG. Simultaneous spectrophotometric estimation of Paracetamol and Metoclopramide Hydrochloride in Solid dosage form. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 70, 2008, 393-395.
- [21]. Dudhane NP, Vidhate SS, Borkar BH, Lohiya RT, Umekar MJ. Simultaneous UV Spectrophotometric estimation of Metoclopramide hydrochloride and Paracetamol in solid dosage form. Journal of Pharmaceutical sciences and Research, 2, 2010, 48-52.
- [22]. Dudhane NP, Lohiya RT, Umekar MJ. Validated RP-HPLC Method for estimation of Metoclopramide Hydrochloride and Paracetamol in solid dosage form. Journal of Pharmacy Research, 3, 2010, 3064-3066.
- [23] Asian guidelines for validation of analytical procedures adopted from ICH guidelines. ICH Q2A-27th Oct 1994; ICH Q2B-6th Nov 1996.
- [24]. ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline, Validation of analytical procedures: Text and Methodology Q2 (R1). Current Step 4 version, Parent guideline; 1994 Oct 27. (Complementary Guideline on Methodology dated 6th Nov 1996). p. 4-13.