The lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans: With Special References to Arugambey & Kabalana Surfing Areas

W.A.P. Kumara¹, M.L.M. Silva², R.W.B.M.D. Madusanka³

¹(Department of Sport Science & Physical education, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka) ²(Department of Sport Science & Physical education, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka) ³(Department of Political Science, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka) Corresponding Author: W.A.P. Kumara1

Abstract: Surfing is one of most popular sport in the world. As well as many foreign surfers come to Sri Lanka for surfing. there are many surfing beaches in Sri Lanka. among those Arugambey is the best surfing beach. In addition, Weligama, Hikkaduwa, Ahangama, are the best surfing areas. But the problem was local surfers' participation percentage is very law. this research was done for find out the factors for lack of participation for surfing. the specific objective of this research was to identify the factors which can be impact to participation for surfing by Sri Lankans. and specific objectives ware There was to identify the most impact factors and to ranking the factors which can most influence. one independent variable name as participation for surfing and there are six independent variables such as prices, culture, awareness, accessibility, sponsors and facilities. participation sample method using for select the sample and closed – ended questionnaire were used for data collection. Questionnaire were distributed through the 50 surfers in Arugambey and Kabalana. The data was analysis from SPSS 21.0 using factor loading and cross tabulation. The findings of this study show 8 factors influence for lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans. Out of factors including accessibility was the most prominent factor influencing on surfing by Sri Lankans. Other factors are awareness, resources, sponsors, social attitudes, facilities, culture and prices.

Key Words: surfing participation, surfing areas, factors, lack of participation

Date of Submission: 15-09-2018

Date of acceptance: 30-09-2018

I. Introduction

surfing is very famous in whole world. it is an outdoor sport activity. lots of surfers surf as a sport. many times, its becomes a recreational activity. Sri Lanka has many surfing beaches. Arugambey, Hikkaduwa, Weligama, Midigama, etc. So many foreign tourists come to Sri Lanka for surfing. As my pilot survey I was able to find out the difference among local surfers and foreign surfers for participation to surfing. Sri Lanka has international surfing beach. it is the Arugambey surfing beach. It has become an international surfing beach by International Surfing Association(ISA). As well as world surfing game was held on this beach in 2004.

At the present Surfing is most important part of the Sri Lankan tourism. it is very important for Sri Lankan economics. There are lots researches in the world about /surfing. They have done many studies for surfing. following I get some of them.

Surfing is a leisure activity that originated more than a thousand years ago, in theHawaiian Islands (Mountinho, Dionisio, and Leal 2007). The first 'unconscious'surfers were fishermen who used the waves as a means to quickly move their canoesacross the reefs and back to the beach with their catch (Young 2008). However, surfing in Hawaii soon evolved from a work-based skill to a widely undertakenpleasure activity (Kampion 2003; Gabbard 2000; Young 2008) whose novelty sooncaught the attention of the world. In 1915, the Hawaiian Duke Kahanamokuintroduced the art of riding a wave to Australia, and the first ever Australian surferwas a young woman named Isobel Letham (Gabbard 2000)Surfing has now become the most popular global water-based leisure activityafter swimming (Young 2008). Surfing has also become a multi-billion-dollar part of the global tourism industry, where millions of surfers travel worldwide t numeroussurfing destinations in search of the 'perfect wave' (Ponting 2009). 'Surf tourism' isnow a labelled phenomenon, and has been defined in the academic literature as:

"People traveling to either domestic locations. . . or international locations. . ., and where the active participation in the sport of surfing, where the surfer relies on the power of the wave for forward momentum, is the primary motivation for destination selection (Fluker 2002, p. 7)." (Fendt & Wilson, 2012)

The lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans: With Special References to rugambey & Kabalan.

Surfers are a special subset of recreational beach users. Surfers are typically frequent visitors to the beach, often making daily or weekly trips; surfers commonly have a strong cultural passion and sense of ownership of their surf spot as a "natural cultural resource." They typically visit the beach in the early morning or late evening, extending the hours of tourism in coastal communities (Nelsen et al., 2007). Many avid surfers live or work close to a particular surf spot for the sole purpose of being able to surf daily. In some instances, their career choices are directly related to their desire to be able to surf as frequently as possible. On average, experienced surfers have at least a college degree and are in the upper middle-class income bracket (Nelsen et al., 2007). Depending on the location, ease of access, and length of stay at a surf spot, surfing related expenditures can add significantly to the local coastal economy. As an example, Nelsen and Pendleton estimate that expenditures to local businesses, including fuel and food add \$40.16 per surfer per surf session to the coastal economy at Trestles Beach, California (in Lazarow et al., 2007). Surf breaks are the product of complex interactions of nearshore bathymetry, wave characteristics (height, period and direction), tide level, local wind conditions, and, if present, interactions with shoreline structures. Surfers are keenly aware of the environmental conditions that produce high-quality surfing. Most surfers spend a significant amount of time monitoring weather and wave forecasts and viewing real-time wave buoy data in an attempt to anticipate the time and location of quality surfing. The location that a surfer will choose to surf is often dependent on the wave direction, tide level and wind conditions. Small changes in the local bathymetric conditions caused by shifting sands, sediment supply, storm activity, and structure interaction can significantly change the quality of a surf break positively or

negatively for short to long periods of time. In particular, changes in the nearshore beach slope can shift the breaking characteristics of the waves from plunging breakers ideal for surfing, to spilling breakers that are not as easy to surf . (Science and Technology Committee, 2011)

surfing is a very famous sport in whole world. it is an outdoor sport. as well as it is a recreational activity. use a surfing board for surfing and it is based on waves. As an outdoor sport event, surfing is very adventure sport. Sri Lanka is very small country. As well as there is beach around the country. Though anyone lives in middle of the country who can arrive to any surfing beach during 1-10 hours. But local surfers very lower better than foreigner.

Surfing is very suitable sport for Sri Lanka. It is impact for Sri Lankan tourism and economy. In addition, surfing is very recreational event. But the problem what I identified is lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans. So, I expected to this study find out what are the factors for lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans.

II. Methodology

Research approaches are plans and the procedures for research that span the steps from broad assumptions to detailed methods of data collection, analysis, and interpretation. This plan involves several decisions, and they need not be taken in the order in which they make sense to me and the order of their presentation here. The overall decision involves which approach should be used to study a topic. Three research approaches are advanced: (a) qualitative, (b) quantitative, and (c) mixed methods. Unquestionably, the three approaches are not as discrete as they first appear. Qualitative and quantitative approaches should not be viewed as rigid, distinct categories, polar opposites, or dichotomies. Instead, they represent different ends on a continuum (Newman & Benz, 1998).

Quantitative research is an approach for testing objective theories by examining the relationship among variables. These variables, in turn, can be measured, typically on instruments, so that numbered data can be analyzed using statistical procedures. The final written report has a set structure consisting of introduction, literature and theory, methods, results, and discussion. Like qualitative researchers, those who engage in this form of inquiry have assumptions about testing theories deductively, building in protections against bias, controlling for alternative explanations, and being able to generalize and replicate the findings (Creswell, 2014).

In quantitative research behavior of variables are measured quantitatively. As current research is intended to reveal a relationship between tourist satisfaction and service quality. It is necessarily considered as a quantitative research.

This study based on exploratory research and inductive research approach.key objective based on exploratory research approach. and there are two specific objectives for this study. Primary data was collected to accomplish key specific objective. Before to the collect primary data, I have done a pilot survey. and find out 6 tentative hypotheses. those are needed to build up my questionnaire. the sample was 50 random sample in Eastern and South surfing beaches. Factor loading method has used for this study. and used exploratory factor analysis and found the factors.

Source of data collection

As this study was exploratory in nature, it was intended to collect primary data as much as possible. Deriving accurate information from field surveys was highly dependent upon the survey methods surfing tourist. The Data collected from Questionnaire was developed for the surfing tourist's participation by Sri Lankans. the questionnaire based on six tentative hypotheses. (1) prices (2) facilities (3) culture (4) awareness (5) accessibility (6) sponsors.

Study areas

Eastern areas

Arugam Bay is on the list of the top ten surf points in the world. Situated on the South East side of Sri Lanka Arugam Bay receives the same Antarctic winter swells that hit Indonesia in the in the middle of the year. The best time of the year is between May and November when the predominant wind is offshore for at least the first half of the day.

Due to its location and southerly swell direction the area is dominated by right hand point breaks. There is a beach break in front of the Stardust Beach Hotel, which can be fun for body surfing or for beginners but that's about it. Three of the point breaks "The Point", "Pottuvil Point" and "Crocodile Rock" are within an hour's tuktuk ride from the Hotel, with "The Point" being visible (15 min walk) from the hotel. There are several other points that are within a 1-hour's ride or can be accessed by boat. Some of the staff at the hotel surf and are only to happy to help you plan surf trips to some of these lesser known breaks.(

http://www.arugambay.com/surfing.html)

Southern areas

Kabalana to Madiha in the South of Sri Lanka could be called Sri Lanka's tribute to Hawaii's seven miles miracle on the North Shore of Oahu. There are a handful of fun surf spots from lefts, rights, beach breaks, reef breaks and A-frames – something for everyone, over 13 miles of coastline, mostly protected by inshore reefs.

Kabalana to Madiha in the South of Sri Lanka could be called Sri Lanka's tribute to Hawaii's seven miles miracle on the North Shore of Oahu. There are a handful of fun surf spots from lefts, rights, beach breaks, reef breaks and A-frames – something for

everyone, over 13 miles of coastline, mostly protected by inshorereefs.(<u>http://roar.lk/travel/surfing</u>-southern-sri-lanka/)

The sampling

The most important stage of a study is the sampling and consequently it had to be handled properly. Therefore, the target group of this study was the tourists to Sri Lankan surfing Ares. In the research, sample is represented by the tourist who are arriving to Eastern and Southern surf points. The data

collected by surfers who was come to surfing in these areas. The season of eastern on April to November and Southern season on November to April. The questionnaires for data collection was in Sinhala media.

Pilot survey

Pilot survey holds a major part of the research. Several pilot surveys were basically done according to the model building. Pilot survey on Arugambey was done on the 10th of November in 2016. There were four members in the operation and the team arrived at the location around 3.00p.m. There were several objectives that had achieved through the pilot survey. Pilot survey had been carried out to test the suitability of the questionnaire to the particular site.

| Table 1 : Reliability Test | | |
|----------------------------|------------|--|
| Reliability Statistics | | |
| Cronbach's Alpha | N of Items | |
| .711 | 22 | |

Data Processing and Scaling

The survey data was entered into an excel spreadsheet and SPSS datasheet. Any question that utilized a Likert scale was coded with a number system. If the respondent circled five answers, Questionnaire used following scale five boxes ranging from very high and very law. For the purpose of quantitative of this study was converted in to numerical values as given in the tables below

| Ranging | Numerical scale |
|-----------|-----------------|
| Very high | 5 |
| High | 4 |
| Neutral | 3 |
| Law | 2 |
| Very law | 1 |

| Table 2: | Data | Processing | Scaling |
|----------|------|------------|---------|
|----------|------|------------|---------|

According to the above table the maximum score obtainable against a statement (question) in the questionnaire is 5 and the minimum is 1. In the other words if a respondent very high, the given statement the score for that question would be 5, if high of the statement the score would be 4, if neutral 3, if law 2, very law 1. The high and the lowest score an individual can score are 5 and 1 respectively.

Data presenting and analysis

In research method, there is inductive research approach. Here in this research SPSS 22.0 statistical tool was used to present and analysis the data. When analyzing the data collected through the questionnaire

the researcher used number of statistical tools, FACTOR analysis method and cross tabulation. Through the cross tabulation, the way in which constraints differs on demographic factors is studied.

To obtain means, percentages, and standard deviations descriptive statistics will calculate. For an inferential analysis of the data, will use to find and to compare the constraints toward participation of surfing.

Analysis Of The Data

Factor analysis for participation constraints in sports

Table 3 : KMO and Bartlett's Test

| KMO and Bartlett's Test | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------|--|
| Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy512 | | | |
| | Approx. Chi-Square | 353.423 | |
| Bartlett's Test of Sphericity | df | 171 | |
| | Sig. | .000 | |

The KMO statistics recommends to be greater than 0.5. In this KMO value is 0.512, which falls in to the range of recommended value.

| | | | | | | - | Total | Variance I | Explained |
|--------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|----------|------------|----------------|
| Component | Initial Eigen | values | | Extractio | on Sums of S | Squared Loadings | Rotation | | uared Loadings |
| | Total | % о | fCumulative % | Total | % of | Cumulative % | Total | % 0 | ofCumulative % |
| | | Variance | | | Variance | | | Variance | |
| 1 | 3.477 | 18.300 | 18.300 | 3.477 | 18.300 | 18.300 | 2.610 | 13.738 | 13.738 |
| 2 | 2.426 | 12.767 | 31.068 | 2.426 | 12.767 | 31.068 | 2.446 | 12.876 | 26.614 |
| 3 | 2.105 | 11.080 | 42.148 | 2.105 | 11.080 | 42.148 | 2.343 | 12.332 | 38.946 |
| 4 | 1.813 | 9.543 | 51.691 | 1.813 | 9.543 | 51.691 | 1.760 | 9.261 | 48.208 |
| 5 | 1.591 | 8.373 | 60.065 | 1.591 | 8.373 | 60.065 | 1.699 | 8.943 | 57.151 |
| 6 | 1.339 | 7.046 | 67.111 | 1.339 | 7.046 | 67.111 | 1.438 | 7.566 | 64.717 |
| 7 | 1.160 | 6.103 | 73.214 | 1.160 | 6.103 | 73.214 | 1.394 | 7.336 | 72.053 |
| 8 | 1.018 | 5.356 | 78.570 | 1.018 | 5.356 | 78.570 | 1.238 | 6.517 | 78.570 |
| 9 | .780 | 4.103 | 82.673 | | | | | | |
| 10 | .657 | 3.457 | 86.130 | | | | | | |
| 11 | .576 | 3.031 | 89.161 | | | | | | |
| 12 | .430 | 2.261 | 91.422 | | | | | | |
| 13 | .403 | 2.122 | 93.544 | | | | | | |
| 14 | .311 | 1.639 | 95.183 | | | | | | |
| 15 | .257 | 1.350 | 96.534 | | | | | | |
| 16 | .217 | 1.144 | 97.678 | | | | | | |
| 17 | .183 | .961 | 98.639 | | | | | | |
| 18 | .149 | .783 | 99.422 | | | | | | |
| 19 | .110 | .578 | 100.000 | | | | | | |
| Extraction M | ethod: Princip | oal Compo | nent Analysis. | | | | | | |

Table 4 : Total Variance Explained

According to the total variance explained, there are eight constraints which have the eigen value greater than 1. There are eight components in the table which is automatically shown. In this study, the survey data reveals the obstacles in academic environment in the percentage of 76.03%. The other not revealed 23.97%

percentage of obstacles suggestion for future research.





According to the above screen plot, there are eight components which have the eigen value greater than one.

| Rotated Compone | ent Matrix | (^a | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | Compoi | nent | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Facility 02 | .846 | | | | | | | |
| Price 04 | .691 | | | | | | | |
| Price 02 | .655 | | | | | | | |
| Accessibility 04 | .531 | | | .478 | | | | |
| Awareness 02 | | .865 | | | | | | |
| Accessibility 02 | | .838 | | | | | | |
| Awareness 01 | | .820 | | | | | | |
| Facility 04 | | | .838 | | | | | |
| Facility 05 | | | .828 | | | | | |
| Facility 06 | .513 | | .614 | | | | | |
| Sponsor 03 | | | .547 | | | | | |
| Facility 01 | | | | .781 | | | | |
| Sponsor 05 | | | | .708 | | | | |
| Culture 04 | | | | | .706 | | | |
| Culture 03 | | | | | .690 | | | |
| Price 03 | | | | | .615 | | .442 | |
| Facility 03 | | | | | | .922 | | |
| Culture 02 | | | | | | | .877 | |
| Price 01 | | | | | | | | .896 |
| Extraction Method | : Principal | Compon | ent Analy | sis. | | | | |
| Rotation Method: | Varimax v | vith Kaise | r Normal | ization. ^a | | | | |
| a. Rotation converg | ged in 11 i | terations. | | | | | | |

Table 5: Rotated Component Matrix

Through the rotated components matrix there are obstacles identifying under eight components. These identified obstacles accessibility, awareness, resources, sponsors, social attitudes, facilities, culture and prices.

| Table 6: Accessibility | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Questions | Eigen value | | |
| Facility 02 (Q19) | 0.846 | | |
| Prices 04 (Q15) | 0.691 | | |
| Prices 02 (Q13) | 0.655 | | |
| Accessibility 04 (Q17) | 0.531 | | |

| Questions | Eigen value | |
|-----------------------|-------------|--|
| Awareness 02 (Q11) | 0.865 | |
| Accessibility 02 (09) | 0.838 | |
| Awareness 01 (Q10) | 0.820 | |

| Table 8 : Resources | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Questions | Eigen value | | |
| Facility 04 (Q21) | 0.838 | | |
| Facility 05 (Q22) | 0.828 | | |
| Facility 06 (23) | 0.614 | | |
| Sponsors 03 (Q31) | 0.547 | | |

Table 9 : Sponsors

| Questions | Eigen value |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Facility 01 (Q18) | 0.718 |
| Sponsor 05 (Q33) | 0.708 |

| Table IV : Social attituaes | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Questions | Eigen value | | |
| Culture 04 (Q27) | 0.706 | | |
| Culture 03 (Q26) | 0.690 | | |
| Price 03 (Q14) | 0.615 | | |

Table 11 : Facility

| Questions | Eigen value |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Facility 03 (Q20) | 0.922 |
| | |

| Table 12 : Culture | |
|--------------------|-------------|
| Questions | Eigen value |
| Culture 02 (Q25) | 0.877 |

Table 13 : prices

| Questions | Eigen value |
|----------------|-------------|
| Price 01 (Q12) | 0.896 |

III. Conclusion

This research was an exploratory factor analysis and found the factors for lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans. the method of the research is factor loading method. There are so many famous surfing beaches in Sri Lanka. before this study the problem was lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans. So after this research, could find out the influence factors for lack of participation by researcher. Those are accessibility, awareness, resources, sponsors, social attitudes, facilities, culture and prices. As well as the most influence factor for lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans. secondly influence factor is awareness. According to this research those factors are the final effect.

Based on the finding of the researcher would recommend the followings to the lack participants of the surfing by Sri Lankans.

- Sri Lankan printed and electronic medias should more than promote to improve the participation for surfing by Sri Lankans.
- > The government should develop more programmes event for surfing.
- Sri Lankan sport ministry must develop resources for surfing.
- Many surfers have the main question is no sponsors to participate surfing games. So, they want to sponsors. private and government companies can sponsor to them.
- Sri Lankans should change the appearance for surfing. Because surfing is very good sport in the world. surfing includes to the Olympic game in TOKIYO 2020.
- Should develop more facilities associate surfing beaches. some of facilities are hotel, accommodation, security etc....
- Should decrease the prices of surfing board.

Effect of this research could find out 8 factors for lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans. Those are accessibility, awareness, resources, sponsors, social attitudes, facilities, culture and prices. Future researches can use these factors as hypothesis. Then use these factors as hypothesis would defined how to develop participation for surfing by Sri Lankans.

As well as the sample was 50 surfers. Future researches would get better effect to develop the sample more than 50.

References

- Beaumont, E., & Brown, D. H. K. (2015). Once a Local Surfer, Always a Local Surfer: Local Surfing Careers in a Southwest English Village. Leisure Sciences, 37(1), 68–86. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490400.2014.952462
- [2] Buchanan, R. (2011). The Pleasure and Participation Sports Model as Reflected Through an Advanced Physical Education Course. Retrieved from
- http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_graddiss/1062/\nhttp://trace.tennessee.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2210&context=utk_graddiss
 [3] Canniford, R., & Karababa, E. (2012). Partly primitive: discursive constructions of the domestic surfer. Consumption Markets &
- Culture, 3866(July 2013), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/10253866.2012.662818
 [4] Chang, L. (2013). INFLUENCING FACTORS ON CREATIVE TOURISTS ' REVISITING INTENTIONS : THE ROLES OF
- MOTIVATION, EXPERIENCE. [5] Cheng, T.-M., & Lu, C.-C. (2015). The Causal Relationships among Recreational Involvement, Flow Experience, and Well-being
- [5] Cheng, T.-M., & Lu, C.-C. (2015). The Causar Relationships antong Recreational involvement, Flow Experience, and wen-being for Surfing Activities, 1665(April). https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2014.999099
- [6] Corne, N. P. (2009). The Implications of Coastal Protection and Development on Surfing. Journal of Coastal Research, 252(2), 427–434. https://doi.org/10.2112/07-0932.1
- [7] Fendt, L. S. (2015). What is it like to be a surfer girl : a phenomenological exploration of women 's surfing.
- [8] Fendt, L. S., & Wilson, E. (2012). "I just push through the barriers because I live for surfing": how women negotiate their constraints to surf tourism. Annals of Leisure Research, 15(1), 4–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/11745398.2012.670960
- [9] From, B., & Water, C. (2015). Norwegian School of Hotel Management Master 's Thesis "100 percent fun ": A case study of benefits from cold water surfing in Jæren, Norway Author: Yasmine Mounir Elmahdy Supervisor: Åsa Grahn July 2015 NORWEGIAN SCHOOL OF HOTEL MANAGEMENT MASTER 'S, (July).
- [10] Godbey, G. (2009). Outdoor recreation, health, and wellness: Understanding and enhancing the relationship. Recreation, (May), 1– 42. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1408694
- [11] Helland, C. (2002). Surfing for Salvation. Religion, 32(4), 293–302. https://doi.org/10.1006/reli.2002.0406
- [12] Hungenberg, E., Gray, D., Gould, J., & Stotlar, D. (2016). An examination of motives underlying active sport tourist behavior: a market segmentation approach. Journal of Sport and Tourism, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/14775085.2016.1189845
- [13] Jennings, G. (2006). Water-based tourism, sport, leisure, and recreation experiences. Water-Based Tourism, Sport, Leisure, and Recreation Experiences, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-7506-6181-2.50005-1
- [14] kerby, L. (2010). Surfing and Spirituality. College of Arts and Sciences.
- [15] Martin, S. A., & Assenov, I. (2013). Developing a Surf Resource Sustainability Index as a Global Model for Surf Beach Conservation and Tourism Research. Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research, 1665(September), 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2013.806942
- [16] Patthey, P., Wirthner, S., Signorell, N., & Arlettaz, R. (2008). Impact of outdoor winter sports on the abundance of a key indicator species of alpine ecosystems. Journal of Applied Ecology, 45(6), 1704–1711. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01547.x
- [17] Reynolds, Z., & Hritz, N. (2012). Surfing as adventure travel : Motivations and lifestyles. Journal of Tourism Insights, 3(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.9707/2328-0824.1024
- [18] Scarfe, B. E., Elwany, M. H. S., Mead, S. T., & Black, K. P. (2003). Science of Surfing Waves and Surfing Breaks A Review. Journal of Coastal Research. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2008.06.311
- [19] Science and Technology Committee. (2011). Surfers as coastal protection stakeholders. Shore & Beach, January, 1–9.
- [20] Towner, N. (2016). Community participation and emerging surfing tourism destinations: a case study of the Mentawai Islands. Journal of Sport & Tourism, 20(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/14775085.2016.1151819
- [21] Wheaton, B. (2016). Surfing through the life-course: silver surfers' negotiation of ageing. Annals of Leisure Research, 0(0), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/11745398.2016.1167610

W.A.P. Kumara1The lack of participation for surfing by Sri Lankans: With Special References to Arugambey&Kabalana Surfing Areas" IOSR Journal of Sports and Physical Education (IOSR-JSPE) 5.5 (2018): 01-07.